

F.No.89-86/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Adarsh College of Education (Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal), Anand, Gujrat dated 04.02.2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW07667/323583/322386/128th/2009/63331 dated 21.02.2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B. Ed. course on the grounds "(1) The land is in the name of an individual and not in the name of the institute. (2) CLU not valid."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Adarsh College of Education (Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Saiyed Ali Saiyed, General Secretary and Shri Zuber Gopalani, Trustee, Adarsh College of Education (Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal), Anand, Gujrat presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that 31.16 Gunthas (3116 sq. mts) of land was in the name of the appellant institution's trust "Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal." and the CLU was issued by Anand revenue branch office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that 00-31-16 Hect. of land at Sy. No. 4/1 and 4/2, situated at Naga Talpad was gifted to Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal for the purpose of **Ladies Technical School as per permission given by D.D.O. District panchayat of Anand** vide order No. L.N.D./NA/S.R/18/Vashi/439-444/04-05 dt. 24.02.05. That means though the land is in the name of the society, but for none other than the purpose of construction of Mahila Technical School building. So, the society can not use this piece of land for construction of a professional college i.e. B. Ed college. Further CLU issued on 24.02.05, by the Anand Revenue Branch office also stated that 3116 sq. mts of land was for the construction of Mahila Technical School building. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the NRC had refused the recognition on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Adarsh College of Education (Muslim Vidyarthi Pragati Mandal), Anand, Gujrat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89 - 93/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Guru Nanak Dev Ji College of Education for Women, Fatehabad, Haryana dated 09/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/HR-1319/142nd Meeting/2009/15087 dated 15/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Guru Nanak Dev Ji College of Education for Women (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 09/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Prof. M.L. Jain, Director and Shri. Jagmeet Singh, Secretary, Guru Nanak Dev Ji College of Education for Women, Fatehabad, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant institution had submitted copy of land conversion certificate, letter of Panchayat for approved building plan and letter from Registrar regarding land in the name of institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC had issued a deficiency letter dated 5.3.08 for submission of application in the prescribed Proforma; copy of approved building plan mentioning resolution number and area ear marked for the course and date on which competent authority had approved the building plan alongwith building completion certificate if the building had already been completed; details of the requisite information in mandatory disclosure proforma and affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper. The institution had submitted its reply on 06.06.08. the Council perused the documents submitted with the reply and noted that the building plan did not indicate the total area available for the course and it did not submit building completion certificate. And subsequent to this reply the institution also gave its consent for inspection to the NRC on 24.06.08. Accordingly the NRC detailed the institution for an inspection between 13-17th Oct' 2008. The Visiting Team vide its letter dt. 21.10.08 informed the NRC that the team contacted the college for conducting the inspection, but they informed that they were not ready for inspection and therefore it was not possible to carry out the inspection. The institution also did not submit building completion certificate, FDRs towards reserve fund, along with its consent for inspection. It appeared that the institution was not fully equipped with adequate infrastructure and instructional facilities and hence informed the Visiting Team that they were not ready for inspection, even though the appellant had already given its consent for inspection of the institution to the NRC. Moreover, NCTE vide its public notice dated 28.07.09 imposed ban for granting

recognition for the academic session 2010-2011 for conducting certain teacher training courses, in few States including Haryana, so as to regulate the growth of teacher training institutions, pending State-wise assessment of the manpower requirement of trained teachers in the country. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 15.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Guru Nanak Dev Ji College of Education for Women, Damkora Road, Tohana, District, Fatehabad - 125120, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Allahabad Agricultural University - Deemed University, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-921/155th Meeting/2010/15760 dated 01/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "The deficiency communicated to the institution through letter F.NRC/NCTE/UP-921/154 Meeting/2009/15182 dated 19.01.2010 still exists".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Allahabad Agricultural University - Deemed University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Nathaniel Stephen, Asstt. Professor, Allahabad Agricultural University - Deemed University, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was granted recognition in the year 2006 and it submitted the list of appointed faculty and that earlier the appeal of the institution was accepted with the direction to NRC to grant recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was granted conditional recognition by NRC vide letter dated 29.12.2006. The institution in compliance to this order submitted its reply on 19-07-2007 and thereafter that NRC vide letter dated 06-02-08 sought details regarding appointments made. The institution furnished its reply vide letter dated 19-02-08. The NRC vide its order dated 17-07-09 refused recognition to the institution on the ground that list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms was not submitted. The institution filed an appeal dated 7-08-09 against NRC's said order dated 17-07-09. The Council vide its order dated 07-08-09 accepted the appeal with direction to the NRC to grant recognition to the institution. The NRC, therefore, asked the institution to furnish affidavit on Rs.100/-stamp paper, consolidated staff list, proceedings of Selection Committee, affidavit on Rs.10/-stamp paper etc. in respect of faculty, vide letter dated 08-10-09. The institution submitted its reply alongwith documents, vide letter dated 26-12-09 regarding the faculty. The NRC in its letter dated 17.12.2009 informed the institution that the NRC in its 152nd meeting held on 22-25 November, 2009 had observed that (i) Dr. N. Stephen did not have teaching experience as per NCTE norms for M.Ed. course and (ii) Ms. Chintamani, Ms. Nidhi Srivastava and Ms. S.H. Kasim did not possess qualification as per NCTE norms for M.Ed. course and allowed the institution 90 days time to remove the deficiencies. The institution in its letter dated 17.12.2009 (received by NRC on 20.12.2009) referred to its earlier letter dated 07.08.2009 and also drawn the attention to decision taken by NRC in 152nd meeting held on 22-25th November, 2009 and forwarded list of

teaching staff alongwith additional teaching staff list to meet the deficiency pointed out by the NRC. The NRC in response, vide letter 19.01.2010 referred to the letter dated 17.12.2009 and pointed out that (i) additional faculty had not been appointed through duly constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms and (ii) affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper related to appointment of additional faculty was not submitted and gave the institution 21 days time for furnishing the same. In its reply dated 21.01.2010, the institution forwarded the documents, affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper, mentioning the composition of the selection committee; individual affidavits on Rs. 10/- stamp paper and also consolidated staff list indicating the qualifications etc Despite this submission, the NRC issued order refusing grant of recognition to the institution vide order dated 01.02.2010 as further modified vide corrigendum dated 23.02.2010, on the ground that additional faculty was not appointed through duly constituted Selection Committee as per NCTE norms and affidavit on Rs.100/- related to appointment of additional faculty was not submitted, which was not valid. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal with the direction to the NRC to grant formal recognition.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, reverse NRC's order dated 01-02-10 with the direction to the NRC, for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulations in continuation to the conditional recognition letter within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Allahabad Agricultural University - Deemed University, District, Allahabad - 211007, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 109/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rajeev Gandhi College (Chouhan Education Society), Raisen, Madhya Pradesh dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63933 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "The institution has submitted land documents in which the land area is only 0.210 hect. i.e. 2100 Sq.mts. and other land documents submitted by the institution is not in favour of institution. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in Clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rajeev Gandhi College (Chouhan Education Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mohd Faisal Khan and Shri. Rajeev Shukla, Director, Rajeev Gandhi College (Chouhan Education Society) , Raisen, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010 . In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that as per the prevailing NCTE Regulations, the institution should have a land area of 3000 sq.mtrs. and built up area of 3000 sq.mtrs., the college was already running B.Ed. course from the session 2006-07 and the society was having 1.52 acre of land which was as per the NCTE Regulation 2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was having two pieces of land; one piece of land measuring 0.21 hectares or 2100 sq. mtrs. was registered, on ownership basis and the other piece of land measuring one acre was gifted to the society by way of a notarised *Hibanama*. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification for accepting the appeal and remand the case to WRC for further processing on merit, as the appellant was in possession of requisite land, as per norms.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 02-02-10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing of the case on merits as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Rajeev Gandhi College (Chouhan Education Society) , Raisen, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The Director, Rajeev Gandhi College (Chouhan Education Society) , Raisen, Madhya Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89 - 140/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Modern Institute of Teacher Education (Modern Institute of Technology Trust), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 25/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3552/155th Meeting/2009/15773 dated 01/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The land is not still in the name of the institution. 2) All labs are ill equipped. 3) Playgrounds are not properly developed. 4) Multipurpose Hall does not exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Modern Institute of Teacher Education (Modern Institute of Technology Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Shiv Shankar Sharma, Registrar, Modern Institute of Teacher Education (Modern Institute of Technology Trust), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that an area of 3500 Sq.mts. has been registered in the name of the institute; the institution has well equipped science lab, psychology lab, ET lab, computer lab for B.Ed. course; there are properly developed playgrounds; multipurpose hall is having area of 1798 Sq.ft. In support of the claim relating to land the appellant has furnished a supplementary deed registered on 18-11-09 indicating that 3500 Sq.mts. of land had been earmarked for the institute. It was however seen from the report of the visiting team that inspected the institute on 27-8-09 that trust has given land to the college on 30 years lease. It was also seen that the visiting team had reported availability of lab facilities and multipurpose hall.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in response to the deficiencies displayed on internet in regard to Modern Institute of Teacher Education, the appellant in its letter dated 30-9-09 addressed to NRC has interalia undertook the transfer of land from the Trust to the institution will be completed within two months time. Apparently this has not been done so far. The Council taking into account all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that the appellant may be given three more month's time to transfer the land in the name of the institution failing which the the institution shall submit the reasons in detail to the Regional Committee, which will decide the matter as per clause 8.7(iv) of the regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that the appellant may be given Three more

month's time to transfer the land in the name of the institution failing which the order of withdrawal of recognition will stand.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Modern Institute of Teacher Education (Modern Institute of Technology Trust), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairperson, Modern Institute of Teacher Education (Modern Institute of Technology Trust), H - 82, Patel Nagar, District, Ghaziabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 138/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

22/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Nehru Gram Bharti University, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3548/154th Meeting/2009/15215 dated 19/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the grounds "The deficiencies pointed out to the institute still exist. The deficiencies identified in the 152nd meeting of NRC held 23-25, 2009 are (i) NAAC Certificate has not been submitted. (ii) HOD does not have qualification as per NCTE norms for B.Ed."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Nehru Gram Bharti University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. D.P. Dixit, Addl. Registrar, Nehru Gram Bharti University, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Nehru Gram Bharati Vishwavidyalaya came into existence in 2008-09 as a deemed to be University after merger of Rajiv Gandhi PG College in it; the said Rajiv Gandhi PG College was accredited by NAAC as B+(on 31-3-07); this accreditation of the college is in totality including teacher education department ; since the university is running only in the second year it is not eligible for NAAC assessment; the HOD (Dr. Binod Chandra Upadhyay) was selected by a duly constituted selection committee for appointment as Professor/HOD on contractual service on year to year basis till the attainment of the age of 65 years, pending selection of an incumbent, which is according to norms of the NCTE.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the Head of the Department appointed by the institution was qualified as per NCTE norms. However, regarding other ground of refusal, the Council noted that Rajiv Gandhi P.G. College was assessed and accredited by NAAC as B+, but not the Nehru Gram Bharati Vishwavidyalaya that had applied for the proposed course. Further after merging the Rajiv Gandhi College, with the university, the infrastructure assessed for Rajiv Gandhi College by NAAC would not hold good, for the university, as it has expanded and is now conducting varied courses. And also the university has come into existence only two years back, and it can apply for NAAC accreditation after completion of 3 years. In view of this, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected, as the accreditation by NAAC to the erstwhile Rajiv Gandhi College is no longer valid for the new deemed to be university and it needs to be accredited afresh, particularly for its teacher education

courses separately, before it could become eligible for further expansion of B. Ed seats form existing intake of 200 seats.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 19-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Additional Registrar, Nehru Gram Bharti University, Plot No. 395, 396, Kotwa - Jamunipur, Dubawal,, Allahabad - 221205, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.