

F.No.89 - 240/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Durge Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Sheopur, Madhya Pradesh dated 05/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63924 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed. course on the ground "The institute has submitted an affidavit of Rs. 100 stamp paper and as per affidavit the built up area is only 1425 sqm. and as per building plan attached with the application form. The total built up area is only 968 sqm. Both are not matching. The built up area is not adequate as per the NCTE norms, 2009. The institute did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Durge Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Vishram Meena, Koshadhyaksh, Shri Durge Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Sheopur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant institution has submitted a registered land document for an area of 0.418 hect which is equal to about 52,000 sq.ft. In the course of personal presentation he stated that the building construction has been stopped.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in the land document furnished along with the application the land had been identified at khasra No. 515/1 and the same details were given in the affidavit. However the land document submitted at the time of personal presentation was dated 26.11.2009 and which was later than the last date for submission of application i.e. 31.10.2009, the land had been identified at khasra No.522/11. This showed that the institution had not fulfilled the provisions of clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 on the date of application. Further while the built-up area had been indicated as 1600 Sq.mt. in the affidavit, the same had been shown as 1680 Sq.mts. in the building plan. These differences/ discrepancies gave rise to doubt about the genuineness of documents. In these circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the NRC dated 02-02-2010 be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it

should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. **The President, Shri Durge Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Khasra no. 515/1, Village - Sunvai, Tehsil - Vijaypur, District, Sheopur - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-280/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Ram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Rajnadgaon, Chhatisgarh dated 19/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RI-66306 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "Khasra No. given in the application form and given in the land documents does not match. The institution do not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8 (7) (i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Ram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Narendra Gautam, President, Shri Ram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Rajnadgaon, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that on page 3 of the application due to typing mistake the plot No. was typed as 35/1124 instead of 24 35/11 i.e. in plot No. 24 khasra No. was 35/11 and the total land owned by the society was 4.36 acre bearing khasra Nos. 35/11, 35/1, 35/12 and 35/13 which were located in plot No. 24.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in support of land at khasra No. 35/11 which was claimed to be the correct one in the appeal, the appellant had not submitted the relevant document along with the application. In the course of personal hearing he submitted copy of land document bearing khasra No. 35/11 with an area of 0.129 hect i.e. 1290 sq.mt. which is not adequate as per the NCTE norms. The Council also noted that while only one khasra No. was mentioned in the application four khasra Nos. had been mentioned in the affidavit. There were thus discrepancies/ deficiencies in the documents submitted by the appellant. The Council came to the conclusion that the Western Regional Committee was justified in rejecting the application and, therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of the Western Regional Committee confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 25-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Shri Ram Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot/Khasra No. 35/11 24, Village-Thakurtola, PO- Soni,, Rajnadgaon - 491441, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-344/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Siddhi Vinayak College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 03/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP318/REJECTION/133/2010/67314 dated 24/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "The converted area of land is only 17000 sq.ft. which is inadequate as per the NCTE norms."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Siddhi Vinayak College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Amit Paharia, Joint Secretary, Siddhi Vinayak College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. It was submitted that the total land in the name of the institution was 7519.94 sq.mts. and the built up area was 1563.50 sq.mts.; the institution converted an area of 1579.35 sq.mt. i.e. 17,000 sq.ft. for academic purpose on 16.9.2009 and applied for diversion of another 12,000 sq.ft. on 26.4.2010. The appellant also submitted a document showing conversion of an area of 15,000 sq.ft.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that at the time of application the appellant did not possess adequate land meant for educational purpose as per the NCTE Regulations and the additional 15,000 sq.ft. of converted land was in the name of the individual. the Council came to the conclusion that the Western Regional Committee was justified in rejecting the application of the institution and, therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of WRC dated 24.4.2010 confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Joint Secretary, Siddhi Vinayak College of Education, Khasra No. 73/4, Village - Dabka, Tehsil - Gwalior, Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-291/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, Maharashtra dated 19/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00686/125010/131/2010/65752 dated 08/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "Teaching staff not appointed by the institution as per NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Hambarde Santukrao, Deputy Registrar, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the State Govt. sanctioned one post of Professor, two posts of reader and two posts of lecturers; the procedure of staff recruitment was in continuation and advertisement for remaining posts was made frequently. In support of available staff the appellant submitted affidavits dated 24.10.2009 of four faculty members who were appointed as lecturers during the years 2005 to 2009. In an undertaking dated 16.4.2010, the appellant stated that Govt. of Maharashtra sanctioned one Professor, two readers and three lecturers for M.Ed. course and they could recruit only one reader and two lecturers sanctioned and one lecturer from University fund.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the university submitted an application for M.Ed. course on 17.07.03 with the WRC; WRC caused an inspection of the institution on 03.07.06 and the inspection team in its report mentioned that a principal, three teachers and a coordinator were appointed by the university, however no enclosures with regard to appointment of faculty were forwarded alongwith the report; subsequent to the inspection, the WRC vide its letter dt. 12.08.06 informed the institution to appoint the qualified faculty before the commencement of the academic session 2006-07 and after verification of the appointed faculty the WRC will issue formal/ unconditional order recognition and the institution shall make admissions only after receiving the unconditional order of recognition. The university did not submit any faculty details to the WRC in compliance with this letter. The WRC again vide its letter dt. 25.07.09 issued letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE regulations 2007, to appoint the faculty as per NCTE norms, instead of issuing a notice in reference to its earlier conditional letter dt. 12.08.06. When the institution did not respond to this 7(9) letter also, then the WRC on 27.10.09 served a notice to the institution. In response to

this notice, the institution submitted its reply on 03.11.09 and WRC after considering the reply refused recognition. It further examined the documents filed by the institution along with its reply dt. 03.11.09 and noted that it had appointed only 4 lecturers as against the requirement of 1 professor, 1 reader and 3 lecturers as per norms. It also noted from the submission made by the appellant that it advertised for remaining posts on 09.02.10 and this implied that the appellant had, so far not complied with the conditional letter, as it did not appoint, a reader and a professor as required under NCTE norms; the university admitted the students after receiving the conditional letter in 2006 and conducted sessions. It also observed that the institution conducted sessions for the last three academic sessions without obtaining formal recognition order from WRC; further the appellant also did not show the details of selection of the faculty members. The Council therefore felt that WRC was right to deny recognition but in order to safe guard the interest of the already passed out/ admitted students, the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to WRC with the direction to modify the refusal into withdrawal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set-aside the order of the WRC's dated 08.03.2010 and remand the case to the WRC for the direction to modify the refusal order into withdrawal order. Accordingly, the case is remanded to the WRC for issuing a modified order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University , Vishnupuri, Nanded - 431606, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-125/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Veer Narmad South Gujrat University, Surat, Gujarat dated 16/02/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5668 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "The institute did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Veer Narmad South Gujrat University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 22/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Ummed Singh, Professor, Veer Narmad South Gujrat University, Surat, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that that the University was offering M.Ed. (Grant-in-aid) one unit from 14.6.1973 and Western Regional Committee on 3.3.2007 approved a total intake of 40 including an addition of 15 students. The appellant submitted that they have applied for a unit of 25 as a separate self-finance unit and for this course there is separate infrastructure and teaching faculty. The appellant, therefore, pleaded that application of the provisions of clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to their proposal was not justified. In the course of hearing the appellant showed the admissions in M.Ed. course made in the years 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the university has been conducting M.Ed. course with 40 intake, on grant-in-aid basis and now proposed for another unit of M.Ed on self-finance basis. The Council taking into consideration that the proposed self-financing unit is a new one and the university has also been conducting the Grant-in-aid unit for a number of years has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the case be remanded to Western Regional Committee for further processing on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of WRC dated 22/01/10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing of the application on merit. Accordingly, the case was remanded for further processing on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Veer Narmad South Gujrat University, Surat, Gujarat to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Registrar, Veer Narmad South Gujrat University, Udhna Magdalla Road,, Surat - 395007, Gujarat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Azad Ali Shah Education Society, Aurangabad, Maharashtra dated 23/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5539 dated 21/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Azad Ali Shah Education Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 23/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Khan Sajid Pasha, Administration Officer, Azad Ali Shah Education Society, Aurangabad, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that that the land has been taken on lease for 99 years and lease of government or private person does not confer any different rights and such a classification is meaningless and unjust.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the land was on lease from a private person, namely, Shri Adil Khan and according to the provisions of clause 8(7)(i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, on the date of application, land in the name of institution or sponsoring society should be free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt. or Govt. institutions. Since the appellant institution did not satisfy this condition the Western Regional Committee was justified in rejecting the application. In the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of Western Regional Committee be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The President, Azad Ali Shah Education Society, Plot No. 2-3-5, Street- Opp. Veterinary Hospital, PO- Khadkeshwar,, Aurangabad - , Maharashtra

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.T.K. C.P.Ed College , Pudukottai, Tamilnadu dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2008-2009/16923 dated 02/12/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting C.P.Ed course on the ground "One Lecturer was found not appointed and documents were not submitted as per NCTE norms and regulations for C.P.Ed."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, B.T.K. C.P.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 22/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri B.T. Arasa Kumar, Chairman, B.T.K. C.P.Ed College, Pudukottai, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that besides one Principal and five lecturers appointed earlier, they have appointed two part-time lecturers and thus made up the shortage one of the part-time lecturers was regular w.e.f. 8.12.2009, just after a few days of issue of withdrawal order on 2.12.2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution intimated the Southern Regional Committee on 11.12.2009 about the appointment of a regular lecturer and forwarded other relevant particulars of that lecturer. Since the institution earlier had a shortage of one lecturer only and they have appointed an additional lecturer w.e.f. 8.12.2009 and fulfilled the norms of NCTE, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the order of SRC dated 2.12.2009 was reserved and the SRC directed to restore recognition to the institution immediately.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and SRC's order dated 02-12-09 was reversed with a direction to the SRC to restore recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The correspondent, B.T.K. C.P.Ed College , Manapparai Road, Opp- EB Office,
Viralimalai, , Pudukottai - , Tamilnadu

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-186/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of D.L. Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Distt. Thane, Maharashtra dated 08/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5557 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed(Addl.) course on the ground "The institution did not fulfil the provision of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, D.L. Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mohd. Shoeb Khan, President and Shri Shabbir Shaktr, Chairman, D.L. Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Distt. Thane, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had completed three academic sessions of the D.Ed. course, namely, 2006-07, 2007-08 and 2008-09. He also enclosed result sheets for these years.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the D.Ed. course being of two years duration, the students admitted in 2008-09 would be completing their course only in 2009-10. The Council also noted that according to the provisions of clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, an institution, for an additional unit of the existing course, can apply before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions. The institution at the time of application did not satisfy this condition. The Council therefore came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The President, D.L. Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Plot No. 111, Street- Kudus Devghar Road, Post- Khaniwali, Tal- Wada,, Distt. Thane - 401204, Maharashtra

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-183/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dr. Dilawarkhan Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Distt. Akola, Maharashtra dated 03/03/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06230/1221825(Minority)/128th/2009/62844 dated 05/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed (U) (Co.Ed) course on the ground "The land on lease has been acquired by the institution from an individual on 17/01/2008 and not from the Government. This is not permissible according to the NCTE Regulations 2007."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Dr. Dilawarkhan Adhyapak Vidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Dilawar. G. Khan, Secretary, Dr. Dilawarkhan Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Distt. Akola, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Western Regional Committee never raised any issue regarding lease of land while seeking other clarifications which have been replied to; they have applied on 21.5.2007; and the norms stipulating land on lease from Govt. which have come into force only from 27.11.2007, cannot be applied to the appellant institution. It was also submitted that it was a minority institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that at the time of application lease from private party was also admissible and therefore refusal on that ground was not in order. In the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of WRC set aside with a direction to issue orders under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that in supersession of the Council's order at 05-01-10, there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted, set aside the order of the WRC dt. 05-01-10 and remand the case to the WRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations within 15 days of issue of this order. Accordingly the case was remanded to the WRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Dilawarkhan Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Distt. Akola, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dr. Dilawarkhan Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Plot No. 36 to 39, Vill.-
Borgaon, Tq. Manju,, Distt. Akola - 444001, Maharashtra
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-319/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 26/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2445/Appeal/2010/17334 dated 20/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting M.Ed course from the session 2009-2010, failing which the recognition will be effective from the academic session 2010-2011 only instead of from the academic session 2008-09.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Integral University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 27/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Shaikh Azimuddin, HOD. Education, Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the University applied on 11.04.2007 for grant of recognition for conducting M.Ed. course; received a letter of intent at 28.11.2007; subsequently appointed the faculty, informed NRC and requested them on 17.03.2009 to accord recognition under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations; the NRC however, refused recognition on 04.09.2009 on the ground that one lecturer appointed did not have qualifications as per NCTE norms; an appeal against the refusal order was preferred and the Council in their order dated 11.09.2009 reversed the refusal on the ground that the institution substituted one lecturer who was not qualified by another who was qualified as per norms; and on furnishing further information called for, the NRC has granted recognition from the session 2009-10. The appellant has submitted that after completing all formalities including appointment of staff, students were admitted during the session 2008-09 who had also passed out. In order to save the future of the first batch of students, the appellant requested for grant of recognition from the academic session 2008-09 instead of 2009-10 session.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the earlier refusal dated 04.09.2009, which was set aside by the Council was on the ground that one lecturer, namely, Azinur Rehman was not qualified as per NCTE norms. The institution while appealing to the Council submitted that the appointment of Shri Azinur Rehman was in accordance with the norms applicable prior to 2007 and on being pointed about his inadequate qualifications another lecturer, namely, Dr. Dilip Kumar Sinha, who was qualified, was appointed vice Shri Rehman w.e.f. 21.05.2009. The Council taking in to consideration the availability of faculty qualified as per norms applicable from time to time and other submissions made by the appellant came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the NRC directed to issue a modified

formal recognition order, in continuation with the conditional letter dt. 28.11.07 in order to safe guard the interest of the passed out student.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 20-02-10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to issue a modified formal recognition order, in continuation with the conditional letter dt. 28.11.07 in order to safe guard the interest of the passed out student.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Integral University, Dasauli, Post- Bas'ha, Kursi Road,, Lucknow - 226026, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-271/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kautilya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 10/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63938 dated 02-02-2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "the land area in the land documents is only 0.139 hect +0.084 hecets (total area is 2230 Sq.mts) which is less than 2500 Sq.mts. as required under the NCTE norms, 2009; the institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kautilya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shailendr Singh, Secretary, Kautilya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has a land area of 2509.20sq.mts and submitted photocopies of land documents in support thereof.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the applicant submitted photocopies of only two land documents along with the application, the land indicated therein measuring 0.139 hect. (1390 sq. mtrs.) and 0.084 hect. (840 sq. mtrs.), whereas on page 7 of the online application the land in possession had been indicated as 4048.38 sq. mtrs. The Council also noted that along with the appeal the appellant enclosed a photocopy of a third land document showing 278 sq. mtrs. The appellant, despite being given time to show the originals of all the three documents, could not show them for verifying his claim of having 2509.29 sq. mtrs. of land.

AND WHEREAS the Council considering all aspects of the matter came to the conclusion that the applicant did not have the requisite land at the time of application and did not fulfill the requirements of clause 8(7)(1) of NCTE Regulations 2009 and the WRC was justified in rejecting the application. Therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's decision dated 02-02-2010 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Kautilya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Kalkheda Barkhedi Neelbad Road Khasra No. 270,272,273,135,137,138,493/1 Suraj Nagar, Huzur Bhopal , Bhopal - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-214/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Manav Mangal Women's College of Education, Distt. Hanumangarh, Rajasthan dated 18/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/RJ-1242/153 Meeting/2009/14933 dated 12/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting NTT course on the ground "The NRC in its 153rd meeting on the request of the management has decided to withdraw the recognition of NTT course of the institution from 2009-2010 academic session."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Manav Mangal Women's College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Rajeev Siaag, Secretary, Manav Mangal Women's College of Education, Distt. Hanumangarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that since there had been an appreciable fall in enrolment in NTT course of two year duration recognised by NCTE (enrolment in 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 being only 21, 8 and 3 respectively) and the Govt. of Rajasthan decided to convert NTT course into STC course from the academic session 2010-11, recognition may be accorded for S.T.C course so as to utilize the infrastructural facilities available. In the course of hearing the appellant was informed that change of recognition from one course to another cannot be accorded as requested and the institution shall have to make a fresh application for grant of recognition for a new course. Thereafter the appellant requested in writing that the recognition for NTT course may be extended for the year 2010-11 so as to enable the students admitted in 2009-10 to complete the two year course.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account all aspects of the matter came to the conclusion that the request of the appellant deserved to be accepted and the NRC directed to modify their order dated 12.01.2010 so as to make the withdrawal of recognition effective after completion of the academic session 2010-11. The case may accordingly be remanded back to NCTE for necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted, the order of the NRC dt. 12-01-10 was set aside and remanded the case to the NRC for issue of revised orders making

the withdrawal of recognition effective after completion of academic session 2010-11.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Manav Mangal Women's College of Education, Distt. Hanumangarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Manav Mangal Women's College of Education, Ward No. 23, Chautala Road, Sangaria,, Distt. Hanumangarh - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89-266/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pratibha College of Education, Mahasamund, Chhatisgarh dated 09/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66303 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "As per online application, the total land area is only 1699.36 sq.mtr. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8 (7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Pratibha College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Ashish Agarwal and Shri Manish Jain, Director, Pratibha College of Education, Mahasamund, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the society was having 1,82,982.50 sq. ft. of land and when converted it would be equal to 16,999.36 sq. mtrs. but due to typographical mistake the figure was typed as 1699.36 sq.mtr. and the same area of 1,82,982.50 sq. ft had been shown in the building plan.

AND WHEREAS besides the submissions made by the appellant, the Council noted that the applicant institution has sufficient land at various khasra Nos. the Council taking all aspects of the matter into consideration came to the conclusion that the mistake in the online application regarding the area of land is genuine and in the circumstances the appeal deserved to be accepted and the WRC directed to process the application on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and set aside the order of WRC dated 25/03/10 was set aside and the case was remanded to WRC for further processing of the application on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pratibha College of Education, Mahasamund, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Director, Pratibha College of Education, 955, 959, 960/1, 962 Educational 0, Balsi Saraipali, Mahasamund , Mahasamund - 493558, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kevla Nand B.ED College, Bijonor, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/U.P-1055/15.7 meeting/2010/20405-20411 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not replied letter F.No./NRC/F-7/UP-1055/2005/13550-51 dated 05.01.2006."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kevla Nand B.ED College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Vinit Tomar, Director, Kevla Nand B.ED College, Bijonor, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have sent a reply to the NRC's show cause notice dated 5.1.2006 on 16.1.2006 and in support thereof produced a postal acknowledgement which indicated the date of receipt as 20.1.2006. However, this letter is not in the file of NRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was accorded recognition for conducting B.Ed. course (intake 100) from the academic session 2004-2005 by the NRC on 28.4.2004 subject to the fulfillment of the conditions contained therein and the NRC issued a reminder on 23.3.2005 for furnishing a compliance report. Along with the appeal the appellant furnished information regarding selection/appointment of faculty. The Council noted that the faculty has been approved by M.J.P. Rohilkhand University.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking all aspects of the matter into consideration came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the order of the NRC dated 15.4.2010 reversed with the direction to restore the recognition.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, restore the order of NRC dated 15.04.10 with direction to NRC for restoring the recognition of the institution within 15 days of the issue of the order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Kevla Nand B.ED College, Dara Nagar Ganj, Bijonor - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-332/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rakhumai Mahanguji Morghade D.Ed. College, Balaghat Dist., Madhya Pradesh dated 13/03/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APWO7424/222418/104th/2008/49129 dated 26/12/2008 of the Western Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed. (H)(Co-Ed) course on the ground "The institution not submitted the requisite documents as per NCTE norms."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rakhumai Mahanguji Morghade D.Ed. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/03/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Chandra Kishor Morghade, Member, Shri Rakhumai Mahanguji Morghade D.Ed. College, Balaghat Dist., Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 18.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after submission of the application on 17.03.2008, no deficiency letter was received and in the order of WRC dated 26.12.2008 closing the application no specific deficiency has been mentioned.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the WRC did issue a deficiency letter on 02.06.2008 pointing out deficiencies namely, lack of legally valid land document, approved building plan, land title certificate, building completion certificate and FDR for Rs 3 lakh towards reserve fund. From the documents and affidavit furnished along with the application it was observed that the land was on lease form a private party, which is not permissible under the NCTE Regulations 2009. Further along with the appeal, the appellant furnished a gift deed dated 19.05.2008 and an affidavit dated 13.03.2009 claiming that the land was on ownership basis. He has not given any explanation for altering the status of the land.

AND WHEREAS the Council after considering all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that as the land at the time of application was on lease from a private party, which is not permissible under the NCTE Regulations, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 26-12-08 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rakhumai Mahanguji Morghade D.Ed. College, Plot No. 414, Vilage Lanji Bhilai Road, Balaghat Dist. - 481222, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-359/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Baldev Shridhar Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1131/157 Meeting/2010/20207 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The Institution has not replied to letter F.NO./NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1131/2008/54316 dated 11 July 2008".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Baldev Shridhar Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Dy. Manager, Baldev Shridhar Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that there appeared to be a serious error on the part of NRC because file number mentioned was F.NRC/NCTE/F.3/UP/1474/2004/7692/7700 dated 16-09-04 whereas appellant's file was F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP/1131/2003 dated 13-04-04. It was also submitted that the appellant was not issued/served the so called letter dated 11-07-08 and that there had neither been any inspection made or any letter received and the institution was having permanent affiliation and was running smoothly.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the show cause notice dated 11-07-08 placed on the relevant file of the NRC was addressed to Mewar Institute of Management, Gaziabad, UP and not addressed to the appellant institution. Therefore, it was obvious that the recognition of the institution was wrongly withdrawn by the NRC. the Council was, therefore, of the view that there was adequate justification for accepting the appeal and reversing the NRC's order dated 15-04-10 with direction to the NRC to restore recognition of the institution immediately.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed NRC's order dated 15-04-10. Accordingly the order of NRC dated 15-04-10 was reversed with direction to the NRC to restore recognition to the institution, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Baldev Shridhar Mahavidyalaya, Bhawaraha Pandeypur Radhe,, Ghazipur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-366/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of CMS College of Education, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu dated 28/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2010/18358 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Original FDRs are not submitted. 3) The Survey Numbers are not matching with the land document. 4) The built up space mentioned in the approved building plan and Building Completion Certificate are not matching. 5) The institution has not completed three years of recognition of NCTE norms".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, CMS College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. S.N. Balasubramanian, Secretary, CMS College of Education, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the Institution had already submitted a letter of Intent (LOI) to NAAC, on-line, as per the format and Proforma for Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment (IEQA Status) and Trac ID 12042 were also allotted by NAAC to the institution; original FDRs were kept in the institution and would be produced in person whenever called for; the survey numbers were matching with the land document and the copy of the land document matching with the survey numbers were submitted; the built-up space mentioned in the approved building plan was 7354 sq.ft, for each floor, it was mentioned in the building completion Certificate as 7353 sq.ft for each floor and the difference of one sq.ft might be due to assessment error; the Institution was completing 3 years in 2010-2011 (1st BATCH 2008-2009, 2nd BATCH: 2009-2010 and 3rd BATCH 2010-2011) for which academic year only the institution applied for grant of recognition to start M.Ed. Course.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that recognition to the institution for B. Ed course was granted from the academic session 2008-09 and Regulation 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, applicable in this case, stipulated that an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions. The institution admitted that the three year period will be completed only in 2010-2011. Similarly, Regulation 8(4) stipulated that an institution shall be permitted to apply for new course of Master of Education (M.

Ed) and Master of Physical Education and enhancement of intake in Bachelor of Education, Bachelor of Physical Education, Master of Education and Master of Physical Education Programme, after it has been accredited by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with at least a letter Grade B developed by NAAC. The institution admitted that it was not having NAAC accreditation and that it submitted application and it was yet to receive NAAC accreditation. Thus, the requirements of Regulations 8 (3) and 8(4) of NCTE Regulations 2009 were not fulfilled in this case. the Council was, therefore, of the view that recognition was refused to the institution by SRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, CMS College of Education, No. 416, Plot No. 1359, Ganapathy Post,, Coimbatore - 641006, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-294/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mary Memorial College of Education, Pune, Maharashtra dated 21/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5530 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "the institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Mary Memorial College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. John Zeehariay, Chairman and Shri. Samson V. Rathod, Office Manager, Mary Memorial College of Education, Pune, Maharashtra, presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the Appellant Indian Society for Social Action (ISSA) was a Christian Minority Educational Institute and committed for rural development through quality education, the appellant was having its own land and building since 1995, on which it wanted to establish proposed D.Ed College and as per the norms, it fulfilled all the requirements.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that Regulation 8(7) (i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, applicable in this case, stipulated that no institution shall be granted recognition under the Regulations, unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application free from all encumbrances, either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt. /Govt. agencies. From the CLU dated 06-07-95 and the Land Title Certificate dated nil submitted by the institution alongwith its application, it was seen that the land was in the name of an individual namely Dr. Prof. John Zachariah and not in the name of the applicant society or the institution. Thus, the requirement under Regulation 8(7) (i) was not fulfilled in this case. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the WRC for valid reason and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Mary Memorial College of Education, Indian Society for social Action Daund-Patas Road Girim Daund 413801 , pune - -, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-295/2010-appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Priyadarshini D.Ed College, Nanded, Maharashtra dated 20/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010-RL/132/66247 dated 24.03.2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "The institution has not submitted the hard copy of online application, the institution does not fulfill the requirement of 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Priyadarshini D.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Md. Ziyaul Hai, Assistant Teacher, Priyadarshini D.Ed College, Nanded, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that there was no occasion for rejection of the application filed by the appellant when the website of the NCTE itself showed that the physical copy of the application was received by the Regional Committee on 27-10-09. During the presentation, the representative of the institution also informed that hard copy of the application was submitted to WRC on 21-10-09. An office copy bearing receipt of the hard copy of application in the office of WRC on 27-10-09 was also shown to the Council.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that hard copy of the application was submitted by the institution to the WRC on 27-10-09. Therefore, rejection of the application on the ground that the institution did not submit hard copy of online application was not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside the order of WRC dated 25.03.2010 with direction to the WRC to process the case further on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside WRC's order dated 25.03.2010. Accordingly, the order dated 25.03.2010 was set-aside and the case was remanded to WRC with direction to WRC to process the case further on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Priyadarshini D.Ed College, Nanded, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Priyadarshini D.Ed College, Ideal Education Society, Plot No. 1557, Street / Road Brahman Galli Village Biloli Post-Biloli, , Nanded - 431710, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-259/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.S.T.T. College, Jodhpur, Rajasthan dated 12/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ/2007/2010 dated 20-02-10 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition of the institution for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The building shown to VT members does not match with the land documents submitted by the institute. 2) Total land area and Instructional area are not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. 3) There is no Multipurpose Hall, Arts and Work experience room, ET and Language learning labs and classrooms. 4) Salary is being paid in cash and not as per State Govt. rules. 5) Total Institutional climate is damaging the image of B.Ed programme. 6) The management could not produce the original land documents and certificates of appointed faculty and office staff. 7) The faculty is not selected through duly constituted selection committee".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, R.S.T.T. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Hans Raj Chaudhry, President and Shri. Vikram Singh Chaudhry, Director, R.S.T.T. College, Jodhpur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that on 27-8-09, the college was inspected U/s 17 of NCTE Act and the institution waited for issue of show cause notice by the NRC but it never received any show cause notice and without issuing show cause notice, the NRC directly de-recognized the college.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 provided that where the Regional Committee was, on its own motion or on any representation received from any person, satisfied that a recognized institution contravened any of the provisions of the Act, or the rules, regulations, orders made thereunder or any condition subject to which recognition was granted, it might withdraw recognition of such recognized institution provided that no such order against the recognized institution shall be passed unless a reasonable opportunity of representation against the proposed order had been given to such recognized institution. On receipt of a complaint by the NRC, an inspection of the institution was conducted U/s 17 of the NCTE Act on 27-8-09 and the VT report was negative. The VT report, inter-alia, indicated that the available built-up area was only 975 Sq.mts. (as against the requirement of a minimum of 1500 Sq.mts as per NCTE norms; the size of classrooms was 224 Sq.ft., 252 Sq.ft. and 280 Sq.ft. only (as against the requirement of a minimum of 500 Sq.ft. each as per the NCTE norms), the size of the multipurpose

hall was only 1200 Sq.ft. (as against the requirement of 1500 Sq.ft. as per NCTE norms), the building shown was on a plot area of 3480 Sq.ft. only (as against 2500 sq. mts or 26,910 sq. ft. required as per NCTE norms) and there were 3 floors, the VT agreed with the complainant that the land shown was different, the total land area and instructional space did not conform to NCTE norms, the building map submitted deferred from actual size, the building was situated in front of a school belonging to the same management and the management failed to produce original land documents, certificate of faculty and non-teaching staff. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the grounds of withdrawal of recognition were in order but the mandatory requirement of giving a reasonable opportunity to the institution and considering its reply thereto was not fulfilled by the NRC and, thus, order dated 20-02-10 of NRC withdrawing recognition was in violation of the principles of natural justice. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the NRC's order dated 20-02-10 should be set-aside with direction to NRC to issue show cause notice to the institution and, thereafter, take appropriate decision as per the provision in the NCTE Act.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 20-02-10. Accordingly, the NRC's order date 20.02.10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to the NRC with a direction to issue show cause notice to the institution and, thereafter, take appropriate decision as per the provisions in the NCTE Act, Rules and Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.S.T.T. College, Jodhpur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, R.S.T.T. College , 4th Road, Shakti Nagar, Paotac Road, Jodhpur - - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89-371/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri R.L.T. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Etawah, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3142/156 Meeting/2010/18162 dated 08/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The reply of the Institute has not been received in stipulated time till date".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri R.L.T. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Asheesh Tiwari, Manager, Shri R.L.T. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Etawah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the NRC had rejected the application of the appellant by impugned order dated 08.03.2010 on the ground that the reply of the institute had not been received till date. NRC had issued letter of intent under Regulation 7 (9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2007, vide its letter dated 29.10.2009. The said letter was received by the appellant somewhere in the second week of November, 2009 and immediately thereafter, vide its letter dated 21.11.2009, the appellant had requested the affiliating university to nominate experts for the purpose of constituting the selection committee for selecting the faculty. The university furnished the requisite list of experts vide its letter dated 31.12.2009. Thereafter, the appellant contacted the said experts on many occasions but a suitable and convenient time for selecting the faculty could not be fixed at their end which eventually lead to the delay in responding to the letter under regulation 7 (9) sent by NRC. The selection committee was ultimately constituted on 11.04.2010 and the faculty was accordingly selected by the duly constituted selection committee. Thereafter, the appellant sent the names of the faculty so selected to university vide its letter dated 26.04.2010 for its approval. Till date the university did not accord its approval and that the university might take another two weeks for doing the needful. It was submitted that it was on account of the said reasons that the appellant could not furnish reply to the letter of intent dated 29.10.2009 issued by NRC. After issuance of the letter under regulation 7 (9) the NRC ought not to have taken such a course of action and must have at least issued a show cause notice before refusing the recognition. The NCTE Regulations did not contemplate any such drastic measure after issuance of letter under regulation 7 (9). The refusal order was therefore contrary to the provisions envisaged under the Act and the Regulations. During the presentation, the representative also informed that the affiliating university, vide its letter dated 07-06-10, approved the candidatures of

four candidates selected by the Selection Committee and that the approval in respect of the remaining candidates were in the process. The appellant also requested for grant of extension of time by another month for completion of the formalities.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the delay in selection and appointment of qualified staff was beyond the control of the institution. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal and setting aside the NRC's order dated 08-03-2010 with direction to the NRC to grant extension of time by another month to the institution for completing the formalities as per the Letter of Intent prior to recognition dated 29-10-2009.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 08-03-2010. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the NRC's order dated 08.03.10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to the NRC with direction to grant extension of time by another month to the institution for completing the formalities as per the LOI prior to recognition dated 29-10-2009.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri R.L.T. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Etawah, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Shri R.L.T. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 748, NH-2, Birari, Ekdil,, Eatwah - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-356/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of St. Basil College of Education for Women, Salem, Tamilnadu dated 28/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2010/18374 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Original FDRs are not submitted. 3) Land documents are not in the name of the college. 4) Land Use certificate is not submitted. 5) Building Completion Certificate is not approved by the competent authority".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, St. Basil College of Education for Women (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. A.K. Kulaodai Samy, PAO and Dr. Jayaraj, Managing Trustee, St. Basil College of Education for Women, Salem, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the impugned order had been passed without application of mind, without following procedure contemplated under norm and the building completion certificate submitted was approved by the Competent Chartered Engineer

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the application of the institution was submitted by the institution 30-10-09 and as per Clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, applicable in its case, an institution shall be permitted to apply for M.Ed. course only after it has been accredited by the NAAC with at least a letter Grade B developed by NAAC. During the presentation, the representative of the institution conceded that it had applied to the NAAC for accreditation and accreditation by the NAAC was yet to be received. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Clause 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 and it also did not submit FDRs, which was also a mandatory requirement under the Regulations. The Council was, therefore, of the view that refusal of recognition to the institution was made by the SRC for valid reasons and thus there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. **The Public Relation Officer, St. Basil College of Education for Women, Plot No. 2/3 B/A, B/B, 1st street, Pallakkadu, Chinnathirupathi, , Salem - 636008, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-362/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Swami Vivekanand Teacher Training College, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/NRCAPP-177/157 Meeting/2010/19744 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) NAAC certificate is not submitted. 2) Certified copy of legally valid land document has not been submitted".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Swami Vivekanand Teacher Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Parveen Singla, Secretary and Dr.(Mrs) Suman Rawa, Principal, Swami Vivekanand Teacher Training College, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan, presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had already applied for NAAC accreditation and it would take some time for the NAAC for granting accreditation and that certified copy of legally valid land document was furnished by the institution to the NRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was not having NAAC accreditation as required under Clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 applicable in this case and thus, the institution was not eligible for submission of application for recognition. The Council also noted that the Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution submitted only a notarized copy of land document and it had not submitted certified copy of land document as required under Clause 7(1) of the Regulations 2009. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the norms prescribed in the NCTE Regulations 2009. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the recognition was refused to the institution by the NRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Swami Vivekanand Teacher Training College, Near Shergarh Police Choki, Shergarh,, Hanumangarh - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89-380/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Akbarpur Degree College, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1396/157 Meeting/2010/19956 dated 13/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The Institution has not replied to letter F.NO./NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1396/2008/54365 dated 11 July 2008".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Akbarpur Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Narendra Dwivedi, President and Dr. Umesh Tiwari, Head, Akbarpur Degree College, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that it was not a fact that the college had not replied to NRC's Letter dated 11th July 2008; fact was that the college submitted the reply to NRC's letter dated 11 July 2008 vide its letter No. AK/BTC/44/2008 dated 31-07-2008 through speed post on 04-08-2008. It was clear from this fact that the college was interested in running the B.T.C. course. During the presentation, the representative also informed that after a long time the State Govt. of UP decided to accord affiliation for BTC course for the session 2010-11 through its notification dated 21-01-10 in pursuance of order dated 13-11-09 of Hon'ble High court of Allahabad. The College had applied for affiliating online on 23-01-2010 and the SCERT, Lucknow got the institution inspected on 17-03-2010. Meeting of the Selection Committee for selection of faculty was also already held and the recommendation made by the Selection Committee was sent for approval of the SCERT.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the reply to NRC's letter dated 11-07-08 was furnished by the institution vide its letter dated 31-07-08 by speed post on 04-08-08 informing the NRC that the college had applied to the SCERT and the State Govt. for permission to start BTC course and that a Writ .Petition. NO. 39124 of 2005 was also filed by the institution in the High Court at Allahabad. Original postal receipt dated 04-08-08 was verified by the Council. The Council also noted that the delay in fulfilling the requirement of appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted Selection Committee was beyond the control of the institution and the delay was on account of the policy of the State Govt. of UP/SCERT. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that the appeal of the institution should be accepted and the order of NRC dated 13-04-10 set aside with direction to the NRC to allow a period of 3 months for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of faculty and to restore

recognition to the institution if it fulfilled the requirement within the prescribed period of 3 months from the date of this order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 13-04-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the NRC's order dated 13-04-2010 set-aside and the case was remanded to the Nrc with direction to the NRC to allow a period of 3 months for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of faculty and to restore recognition to the institution if it fulfilled the requirement within the prescribed period of 3 months from the date of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Akbarpur Degree College, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Akbarpur Degree College, Akbarpur, , Kanpur Dehat - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-373/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP161/157 Meeting/2010/19822 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The building plan submitted is not approved by competent authority 2) CLU is not submitted . 3) The land documents submitted are not certified. 4) There is a mismatch among land area, built-up area and location of the land in the records submitted by the Institution.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Haroon Rizvi, President and Dr. Rana Rizvi, Secretary, Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that Building plan of Mahmudabad College of Management & Technology was duly approved by the Chairman Nagar Palika Parishad (Municipal Board) Tehsil-Mahmudabad District Sitapur (U.P.) by the order dated 20.12.2007 and duly signed by the Executive Officer Mahmudabad Nagar Palika Parishad (Municipal Board), who were the authorized/competent officials of the government within municipal limits for approving the Building Plan; Mahmudabad College of Management & Technology was situated in the Municipal Limits of Mahmudabad Nagar Palika Parishad, a certificate provided by Nagar Palika Parishad, attested by the Notary that land use was not required on the land which was in the municipal limits, was submitted and the same thing was supported by the Letter of Add. District Magistrate, Distt. Sitapur, U.P. attested by Tehsildar Mahmudabad; a photocopy of registered sale deed regarding land document certified by Deputy Registrar, Tehsil-Mahmudabad, Distt.Sitapur, photocopy of original sale deed issued by Deputy Registrar office, Tehsil Mahmudabad, Distt,Sitapur Original Khatauni and letter of Addl. District Magistrate attested by Tehsildar were clearly sufficient for certification of land documents which had already been submitted; total land for institution purchased by the registered trust by its Secretary through a registered sale deed for the Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology, Mahmudabad, Distt. Sitapur, U.P. from Gata No.705 was 1.24 acre, total approved covered area of Building plan for Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology passed by Nagar Palika Parishad (Municipal Board) Mahmudabad, Distt. Sitapur was 1884sq.m. In the I Phase constructed, covered area was 1371 sq.m, the II Phase constructed covered area

was 485 sq.m. and therefore, total constructed covered area was 1856 sq.m. (in which 1500sq.m. was exclusively for B.Ed. Course).

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC had issued a deficiency letter on 04-02-10 to which the institution submitted its reply on 17-03-10. The Council also noted that the institution fulfilled the requirement and, therefore, it was of the view that the rejection of application was not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the appeal should be accepted and the case remanded to the NRC for further processing for getting the institution inspected and taking appropriate decision, thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 12/04/2010. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the NRC's Order dated 12/4/2010 set-aside and the case was remanded to the NRC with direction to the NRC for further processing of the case for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the available infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mahmudabad College of Management and Technology, Plot No. 705, Railway Station Road Bypass, VPO- Mahmoodabad, , Sitapur - 261203, Uttar Pradesh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-368/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Matushree Jethiben V. Solanki C.P.Ed College, Junagarh, Gujarat dated 17/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05556/324136/131st/2010/65816 dated 10/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting C.P.Ed (Co.Ed) course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of land document has not been submitted. 2) Staff affidavit by the management not submitted. 3) Principal does not have adequate teaching experience as per the NCTE norms".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Matushree Jethiben V. Solanki C.P.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Karshanthai Solanki, President, Matushree Jethiben V. Solanki C.P.Ed College, Junagarh, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that land documents were provided in vernacular language and also legally authorized translation in English submitted by the appellant institution and the same were registered in the name of Somnath Education and Charitable Trust and that all the land documents submitted by the appellant were certified by the competent authority; staff affidavit were furnished to the WRC; however another staff affidavit on Rs.100 stamp paper in the prescribed proforma were submitted alongwith the appeal and as per prevailing norms and standards, the principal of the appellant institution was eligible for appointment as lecturer as he was having five years experience in teacher training institute and the qualification of the Principal was also as per NCTE norms. It was also submitted that a new Principal was subsequently appointed.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that an inspection of the institution was conducted under section 17 of the NCTE Act and the VT Report dated 14.10.2009 was positive and it, inter-alia, indicated that the land was in the name of the trust. the Council also noted that staff affidavit was submitted and the new Principal appointed was qualified as per the NCTE norms. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse WRC's order dated 10-03-2010. Accordingly, the appeal was

accepted and the WRC's order dated 10/3/2010 was reversed with direction to the WRC to restore recognition to the institution immediately.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Matushree Jethiben V. Solanki C.P.Ed College, Somnath Academy, Veraval Highway, Near ByePass, At. Kodinagar, Junagarh - , Gujarat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.

F.No.89-378/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mewar Institute of Management, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1371/157 Meeting/2010/20256 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The Institution has not replied letter F.No/NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1371/2008/54334 dated 11 July 2008".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Mewar Institute of Management (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ashok Kumar Singhal, Secretary, Mewar Institute of Management, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the Mewar Institute of Management, Vasundhra, Ghaziabad had responded to the said letter No. FNRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1371/2008/54334 dated 11/07/2008 vide its letter No. MM/NCTE/BTC/2008/1391 dated 25/07/2008 sent by speed post. It was also submitted that Govt. of U.P. started giving approval of BTC course to self financing colleges from this year and accordingly, the institution would also receive approval shortly from U.P. Govt. to run BTC course.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was running B.Ed. course with an intake of 200 students and it was granted recognition by NRC for conducting BTC course with an intake of 50 students vide NRC's order 07-11-05 subject to appointment of qualified staff. An inspection of the institution u/s 13 of the NCTE Act was got conducted by the NCTE Hqrs. As the inspection report revealed that the institution was not running the BTC course, the NRC issued a letter dated 11-07-08 seeking clarification as to whether the institution was running BTC course and if so from which date and also requested to furnish details of faculty appointed alongwith requisite documents. The Council also noted that the institution submitted its reply on 25-07-08 through speed post and the postal receipt was also verified by the Council. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the delay in fulfilling the requirement of appointment of qualified staff was beyond the control of the institution and that the appeal should be accepted and the NRC's order dated 15-04-10 set-aside with direction to NRC to allow a period of 3 months for fulfilling the requirement of appointment of qualified staff and restore recognition to the institution if it fulfill the requirement within the period of 3 months.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the

appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 15-04-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the NRC's order dated 15/4/2010 was set-aside and the case was remanded to the NRC with direction to NRC to allow 3 more months for fulfilling the requirement of appointment of qualified staff and restore recognition to the institution if it fulfill the requirement within the period of 3 months.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mewar Institute of Management, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Mewar Institute of Management , Sector- 4 C, Vasundhra , Ghaziabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-382/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shree Safal Boys PTC College, Mehsana, Gujarat dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRX/APW04143/322417/132nd/2010/66468 dated 31/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting PTC course on the grounds "The institution was not in the possession of registered valid land document at the time of submission of the application, that is, on 06/12/2006. The rent agreement was registered only on 18/01/2010".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shree Safal Boys PTC College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Utpal Yagnik, Representative, Shree Safal Boys PTC College, Mehsana, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the Western Regional Committee, vide order dated 31.03.2010, refused to grant recognition for conducting PTC course of 2 years for the reason that on the date of submission of application for recognition the institution was not in possession of registered valid land document and the rent agreement submitted was only notarized earlier and same was registered only on 18.01.2010. It was also submitted that the institution could be granted permission since in the case of most of the institutions which were granted recognition were having defects and the Regional Committee asked them to rectify them. It was further submitted that the impugned decision was clearly arbitrary and hence illegal, as the agreement was registered; the fact that it was not registered when the application was made could not affect the assessment and that the impugned decision clearly suffered from consideration of irrelevant factors.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in the application dated 06-12-06 submitted by the institution the available built-up area was not indicated and the relevant column "usable area" was kept blank. A rent agreement dated 01-06-07 between Nagarik Mandal Khaord's Secretary and Shree Safal Education Trust was subsequently submitted in respect of a built-up area of 2225 Sq.mts. out of which 1535 Sq.mt. i.e. 14 rooms, toilet plot, store room etc. were hired for the institution for a period of 3 years from 01-06-07 to 31-05-10. The rent agreement was prima facie not a proper document and, therefore, it was not acceptable. Thereafter, the same rent agreement in respect of the same building was submitted alongwith letter dated 18-01-08 in which the period was changed from 3 years to 30 years. Out of a total of 2225 sq. mts., a built up area of 1535 sq. mts. was given on rent to the Trust and the agreement was between one Society to another Trust. Obviously, the built up area of 690 sq. mts.(2225-1535) as also the portion of land was used by the owner Society

for some other purpose. Thus, Trust was not having exclusive area for the proposed PTC course. A readiness letter for inspection was issued to the institution on 06-06-08. The WRC in its 105th meeting held on 11-12 August, 2008 considered the case and decided to issue show cause notice for the deficiencies (i) CLU from the Competent Authority was not submitted, (ii) number of books in the library was inadequate, (iii) Principal not experienced as per the NCTE norms, (iv) Staff not qualified and (v) Size of classroom was not as per NCTE norms. In the meantime the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat at Ahmadabad, in special civil application no. 7152 of 2009, vide order dated 15-07-09 directed the NCTE to pass appropriate order on the application of the institution within a period of 3 weeks. Accordingly, the WRC, in its 129th meeting held on 22-23rd December, 2009 considered the case and decided to issue show cause notice on the grounds that (i) the institution did not have adequate accommodation as the rent agreement was neither for 30 years nor registered, (ii) the institution was not in possession of required land on the date of submission of application, (iii) Teaching staff was not qualified as per NCTE norms and (iv) Built-up area was not as per NCTE norms. Show cause notice dated 04-02-10 was issued accordingly. Thereafter, the WRC considered the matter in its 132nd meeting held on March 19-20, 2010 and, as per decision taken, recognition was refused to the institution vide order dated 31.03.2010, against which the appeal under consideration was filed by the institution. The Council also noted that the size of the classroom of the institution as per the VT report was 400 Sq.ft. as against the requirement of 500 Sq.ft. as per the NCTE norms. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the institution was not having adequate land and building as per the NCTE norms and thus recognition was refused to the institution by the NRC for valid reasons and thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 31-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Managing Trustee, Shree Safal Boys PTC College, At. Manipura, Opp. Gangotri Society, Vijapur, , Mehsana - 382870, Gujarat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.

F.No.89-363/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Universal Christian College of Elementary Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2010/18230 dated 07/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "1) The total built up area for the existing B.Ed. and the proposed D.Ed. is 2528 Sq. mtrs. as per building completion certificate issued on 12/03/2007, which is inadequate as per norms. 2) Built up area earmarked exclusively for the D.Ed. course is not mentioned in the Building plan. 3) Khasra No. is not mentioned in Building plan. 4) Building plan not approved by competent authority. 5) Furniture is not sufficient for offering D.Ed. course as per NCTE norms. 6) Books in the Library are not sufficient as per NCTE norms".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Universal Christian College of Elementary Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. M. Arogya Swami, Correspondent, Universal Christian College of Elementary Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that as per the norms and Standard for Teacher Elementary Programme leading to D.Ed. in para 5.1.1, it was specified that an institution must have 2500 sq. mtr. of the built up area for running D.Ed courses in combination with B.Ed. The institution was having the built up area of 2528 Sq.Mts, in ground and 1st Floor-meant for both B.Ed. and D.Ed. Courses. So the institution was having the built up area as per the norms; It was also submitted that the first floor was exclusively meant for B.Ed; the building plan was approved by the competent authority and it already mentioned the Kasara / Survey Nos., but the SRC, Bangalore failed to observe the same. It was further submitted that the institution secured sufficient furniture and library books in accordance with the norms of the NCTE.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the application of the institution was submitted on 26-9-08 and as per the NCTE Regulations, 2007 applicable in its case, the built-up area required for running B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses was 2500 Sq.mts. and since the institution was having 2528 Sq.mts. the ground of refusal that 2528 Sq.mts. of built up area available was inadequate, was not in order. The Council also noted that the building plan submitted indicated Khasra No. and the institution also provided adequate furniture and books in the library. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the refusal of recognition of the institution was not in order and there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and SRC's order dated 07-04-10 reversed with direction to the SRC to issue formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulations within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, Universal Christian College of Elementary Education, S.No.266-258/1, Mypadu-Nellore Road, Indurkurpet, , Nellore - , Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Venketeshwar Vidhyapeeth, Solan, Himachal Pradesh dated 29/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/NRCAPP395/156th Meeting/2010/18687 dated 18/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.E.C.Ed course on the grounds "The building plan is not approved by competent authority".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Venketeshwar Vidhyapeeth (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Bharat Arora, Vice-President and Ms. Jyoti, Member, Venketeshwar Vidhyapeeth, Solan, Himachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19-06-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the institution was situated in a rural area of Himachal Pradesh where no such competent authority was existing to approve the building plan. As per rule, Gram Panchayat issues the NOC and CLU was obtained from the Himachal Pradesh Govt. for the approval of the building of the institution. So no such building approval certificate could be obtained and furnished.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that a deficiency letter dated 06-01-10 was issued to the institution by the NRC on the ground that it did not complete 3 years as required under Clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009. In its reply dated 25-01-10 the institution, inter-alia, stated that the mandatory period of 3 years would be completed by the end of current session 2009-10. The Regional Committee, in its 156th meeting 22-23rd Feb 2010, decided to close the file on the ground that it did not complete 3 academic sessions. But contrary to the said decision, the NRC office, vide order dated 18-03-10, wrongly rejected the application of the institution on the ground that the building plan was not approved by the Competent Authority. Since the order dated 18-03-10 issued was contrary to the decision taken by the Regional Committee, the Council was of the view that the NRC's order dated 18-03-10 should be set-aside with direction to the NRC to reconsider the case and issue appropriate revised order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 18-03-10 with direction to the NRC to issue revised order in accordance with the decision taken by the Regional Committee.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Venketeshwar Vidhyapeeth, Solan, Himachal Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Director, Venketeshwar Vidhyapeeth, Kumar Hatti, Village Dhilllo, Distt., Solan - , Himachal Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

F.No.89-269/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amar Dileep Singh Education, Harda, Madhya Pradesh dated 15/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63887 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The land is in favour of Shri Nand Kishore Gurjar not in the name of institute/society which is not as per NCTE Regulations, 2009. The institute did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amar Dileep Singh Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri N.K. Gurjar, Secretary, Amar Dileep Singh Education, Harda, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had submitted all the required documents including the triplicate sets of hard copy. The appellant was having land as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE, Regulations, 2009 , but WRC rejected our application.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the sale deed dt. 09.06.05 that Shri Nand Kishor Gurjar purchased 0.809 Hect. of land at property bearing Kh. No. 20/1, 20/3. It further observed that as per clause 8-7(i) of regulations 2009 the institution/ society should have land either on ownership basis or on long term lease from Govt. on the date of application. Whereas in this case the land was in the name of an individual and this cannot be acceptable as per regulations, 2009. The appellant also submitted during the course of hearing that the land has been mortgaged with Punjab National Bank and hence the land is also not free from all encumbrances. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The correspondent / Secretary, Amar Dileep Singh Education, Khasra No. 20/1, 20/3, Village Pokhami, Post Office - Timami Distt harda,, Harda - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-289/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bhojar D.Ed College, Distt Yavatmal, Maharashtra dated 15/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5619 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Bhojar D.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Y.P. Bhojar, Secretary, Bhojar D.Ed College, Distt Yavatmal, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. The society, on 26.12.06 acquired 0.60 Hect. of land at Gat No. 103, on 36 yrs lease basis from Shri Satis Duttatrayji Bhojar and later, on 06.03.10, the land has been transferred in the name of the society by way of gift deed.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that on the date of submission of the application the appellant's society was having land on lease basis from a private party and the gift deed for transferring the land in the name of the society was transacted only on 06.03.10, that was much after the date of refusal order. As per clause 8-7(i) regulations 2009, the institution/ society shall have land either on ownership basis or on long term lease basis from Govt. on the date of submission of the application and the institution did not fulfill this condition. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Bhojar D.Ed College, Street No. 4, Anji (NRU), Tal. Ghatanji,, Distt Yavatmal - 445001, Maharashtra

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-387/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pragati D.Ed College, Bagalkot, Karnataka dated 03/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2009-10/17949 dated 05/03/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.ED. course on the grounds "1) Land documents of the new building/location for having own land in the name of the institution/society/Trust are not submitted. 2) Building Plan of the new building duly approved by the Government Authority is not submitted. 3) Building Completion Certificate from the Government Authority in the prescribed format is not submitted. 4) Land Usage Certificate from the competent Government authority is not submitted. 5) Encumbrance Certificate from the Government Authority is not submitted. 6) Fixed Deposit of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs kept in Joint Account with SRC in Nationalized Bank for a period of five years towards Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund in original is not submitted. 7) The affidavit in Rs. 100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with Notary attestation not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Pragati D.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Siddayya Tippayya Mothapali, Superintendent and Shri Mahaliagayya. S. Nath, O.S., Pragati D.Ed College, Bagalkot, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant filed an application for opening of pragati D.Ed. college at Mahalingapur, dist. Bagalkot; SRC vide its order dt. 01.11.07 granted recognition to the institution but there was a typographical error with regard to the address and the same got rectified vide SRC's corrigendum dt. 11.01.08. So, recognition was granted to the institution at Mahalingapur. After issuance of corrigendum from SRC, the appellant has entered into an agreement on 18.08.09 for purchase of land, just by the side of Mahalingapur Town, within the limits of Sanganatti and finally registered the sale deed on 12.05.10; The appellant after registering the land and after getting the necessary Mutation Entries done in favour of the appellant, got new building plan approved by the Govt. on this land. And as per the plan it would be completing the construction of the building by Nov'10. The appellant has already deposited the sum of Rs. 5 lakhs towards Endowment fund under F.D. receipt no. 697991, payable on 09/07/2014, through Syndicate Bank, Br. Mudhol. The appellant has also deposited an amount of Rs. 3 lakhs towards reserve fund under F.D. receipt no. 697990, payable on 09/07/2014, through Syndicate Bank, Br. Mudhol. It was also submitted that the SRC has withdrawn recognition on the basis of the complaint from the principal secretary, primary and Higher Education, Education Department Govt. of Karnataka without causing any inspection.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the SRC granted recognition to the appellant's institution on 01.11.07 on rented premises at Mahalingapur, Bagalkot (Dist) and as per the condition laid in the recognition order the institution has to shift to own/ permanent premises within 3 years and the 3 years would be completed by 01.11.10. It further noted that the appellant had already purchased the land and started erecting the building and that would be completed by Nov'10. The Council, therefore came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in remanding the case to the SRC that the institution may be allowed to shift to own premises by Nov'10, and the appellant shall apply to the SRC for inspection of permanent premises well in advance alongwith the inspection fee and its requisite documents, so that shifting of the institution shall take place within the stipulated period of 3 years.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside SRC's order dated 05-03-10 and remand the case to SRC that the institution may be allowed to shift to own premises by Nov'10, and the appellant shall apply to the SRC for inspection of permanent premises well in advance alongwith the inspection fee and its requisite documents, so that shifting of the institution shall take place within the stipulated period of 3 years.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pragati D.Ed College, Bagalkot, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The General Secretary, Pragati D.Ed College, H.M. Nagar, Mudhol, Bagalkot - -, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

F.No.89-339/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sheopur Institute of Professional Studies, Distt. Sheopur, Madhya Pradesh dated 29/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63923 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "The institute did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sheopur Institute of Professional Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 30/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Dinesh Singh Sikarwar, Chairman, Sheopur Institute of Professional Studies, Distt. Sheopur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has success fully completed 3 academic sessions i.e. 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09 and presently running academic session 2009-10 and rejection of the application for the additional unit in D.Ed. programme by the WRC was not an valid ground.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant's institution was granted recognition for conducting D. Ed course vide WRC's order dated 30.10.06 and it submitted an application for additional unit to the WRC on 30.10.09; with the affiliation of the examining body the institution has been conducting D. Ed course from the session 2006-07. and the D. Ed programme being 2 year course, the first batch of D. Ed course passed out in the year 2008, the second batch in 2009 and the third batch is yet to appear for the exams. Hence, the institution did not complete three academic sessions by sending three successive batches out of the institution, to become eligible for applying for additional course as per regulations. The institution has applied for additional intake on 30.10. 09 when it has not completed three academic sessions, hence it is not eligible for applying for additional intake in the existing recognized course. In these circumstances, the WRC was justified in rejecting the application on the ground that the institution did not fulfill the requirements of the clause 8(3) Regulations, 2009 of NCTE and therefore the appeal deserves to be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02.02.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Sheopur Institute of Professional Studies, Khasra No. 432/1 MIN & 431, Village- Lccha Pura,, Distt. Sheopur - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-398/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00655/224085/130th/2010 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (v) of the show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Devendra Singh Chauhan, Secretary and S.K. Sharma, Administrator, Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that WRC issued show cause notice on 28.12.09 on the basis of the inspection report dt. 18.11.09 conducted under section 17 of the NCTE Act. The appellant filed a reply on 12.01.10 stating that it was having two campuses-one for D.Ed., B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses and the other for B.P.Ed. course at a distance of 11 km and alongwith the reply forwarded the documents of sale deed, CLU, approved building plan and permission letter for construction, list of teaching staff e.t.c. With regard to withdrawal grounds it was submitted that the appellant has not made any changes in the norms & standards for the course or Training in teacher education; facilities (infrastructure) have been duly provided in the institution as it is evident from the perusal of the map of the building; the academic staff as required and prescribed is there in the institution; the institution has got more than 12.5 Bhigas of land and 13765 sq. ft. of built-up area.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the VT report dt. 18.11.09 that the building was not on the land possessed by the institution and the construction work on the land possessed by the institution did not start; the institution had no laborites of its own; however, they had applied for using the labs, playground & tracks of physical education department of Jiwaji University, Gwalior; the recognition for B.P.Ed course was granted at Thatipur and at this place 2 secondary schools, M.Ed. (2 units), B.Ed. (1 unit) and D.Ed. (2 units) were being run by the society. It further noted that the appellant even did not submit any reasonable explanation in reference to the withdrawal grounds. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya, Chauhan Piyao Thatpur, Gwalior - 474011, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-405/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW00707&APW05305/222034/130th/2010/66146 dated 23/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Devendra Singh Chauhan, Secretary and S.K. Sharma, Administrator, Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that WRC issued show cause notice on 28.12.09 on the basis of the inspection report dt. 18.11.09 conducted under section 17 of the NCTE Act. The appellant filed a reply on 12.01.10 stating that it was having two campuses-one for D.Ed., B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses and the other for B.P.Ed. course at a distance of 11 km and alongwith the reply forwarded the documents of sale deed, CLU, approved building plan and permission letter for construction, list of teaching staff e.t.c. With regard to withdrawal grounds it was submitted that the appellant has not made any changes in the norms & standards for the course or Training in teacher education; it has got land and built-up area (as prescribed in clause 5.1.1 which was evident from the map of the land & building; the total built-up area available was 3606.44 sq. mts.; the appellant fulfilled the requirements laid down in clause 4.1 of appendix 2 and it was evident from the staff list; the institution had access to sufficient number(5-10) of recognized schools for practice teaching, within the radius of 10 km and further it has an attached elementary school of its own.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the inspection report dt. 18.11.09 that the appellant was conducting M.Ed (2 units), B.Ed. (1 unit) and D.Ed. (2 units) in the same building alongwith 2 secondary schools and for conducting the teacher education programmes it was having 1403 sq. mt. of land and 776 sq. mts. of built-up area (186 sq. mt. for M.Ed, 284 sq. mt. for B.Ed. and 305 sq. mts. for D.Ed.); it was having only 4415 books in the library for all the courses and there were 12 computers; All the teacher education programmes and schools were run in shift system to accommodate students and teachers within the available space; Though the institution has two schools in the same building but these are not included in the list of practice teaching schools; some desks and benches meant for younger children

need to be replaced for the teacher trainee students. It further observed that the appellant even did not submit any reasonable explanation in reference to the withdrawal grounds. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 23-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Thatipur, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-400/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. No.WRC/APW03345 & 5903/225013/130th/2010/66198 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting M.ED course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Devendra Singh Chauhan, Secretary and S.K. Sharma, Administrator, Amar Bhartiya Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that WRC issued show cause notice on 28.12.09 on the basis of the inspection report dt. 18.11.09 conducted under section 17 of the NCTE Act. The appellant filed a reply on 12.01.10 stating that it was having two campuses-one for D.Ed., B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses and the other for B.P.Ed. course at a distance of 11 km and alongwith the reply forwarded the documents of sale deed, CLU, approved building plan and permission letter for construction, list of teaching staff e.t.c. With regard to withdrawal grounds it was submitted that the appellant has not made any changes in the norms & standards for the course or Training in teacher education; it has got land and built-up area (as prescribed in clause 5.1.1 which was evident from the map of the land & building; the total built-up area available was 3606.44 sq. mts.; the appellant fulfilled the requirements laid down in clause 4.1 of appendix 5 as is evident from the list of staff; the appellant is having a library equipped with more than 2065 titles of books including text books, educational encyclopedias, electronic publications (CD Roms) and twelve professional and research journals and it was evident from the bills of books. The Library has got photocopying facility and computers with internet facility for the use of faculty and students.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the inspection report dt. 18.11.09 that the appellant was conducting M.Ed (2 units), B.Ed. (1 unit) and D.Ed. (2 units) in the same building alongwith 2 secondary schools and for conducting the tracking education programmes it was having 1403 sq. mt. of land and 776 sq. mts. of built-up area (186 sq. mt. for M.Ed, 284 sq. mt. for B.Ed. and 305 sq. mts. for D.Ed.); it was having only 4415 books in the library for all the courses and there were 12 computers; All the courses and schools were run in shift system to accommodate students and

teachers within the available space; Though the institution has two schools in the same building but these are not included in the list of practice teaching schools; some desks and benches meant for younger children need to be replaced for the teacher trainee students. It further observed that the appellant even did not submit any reasonable explanation in reference to the withdrawal grounds. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Amar Bhartiya Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Thatipur, Morar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ananya Shikshan Samiti Green Land, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 06/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP14/2010/67480 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of the land documents not submitted. 2) Plot/Khasra Number not mentioned in the map. 3) CLU not valid."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ananya Shikshan Samiti Green Land (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Braj Bhan Singh, President, Ananya Shikshan Samiti Green Land, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that WRC issued deficiency letter on 13.02.10 and the institution removed the reported seven deficiencies vide their letter dt. 22.03.10 alongwith the supporting documents.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that WRC vide its deficiency letter dt. 13.02.10 asked the institution to submit copy of certified registered land document, CLU and building plan mentioning the Kh. No./ Plot No. etc. the Council perused the documents filed by the institution along with its reply dt. 22.03.10 and observed that the appellant registered sale deed of the land document only on 30.12.09 that was much after the date of submission of the application and thereafter obtained a certified copy of land use document on 22.03.10. As per clause 8.7(i) of regulations 2009 the society/ institution shall have land either ownership basis or on long term lease from Govt. But on the date of submission of the application; the appellant did not have the land as per regulations. Subsequent to the purchase of land only; he obtained CLU and indicated the Kh. No. on the building plan. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Ananya Shikshan Samiti Green Land, Plot No. A-9, Happy Home Vishal Nagar, Ravigram, Raipur - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.M. College, Durg, Chhatisgarh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. No.WRC/WRCAPP204/2010/67443 dated 29/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Certified copy of the land documents not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, B.M. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Sanjiv Kumar, Coordinator, B.M. College, Durg, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the ground for refusing of their proposal was not true because WRC never intimated the appellant to submit the document on which ground the application has been closed by WRC. It was also submitted that the appellant was in possession of 0.368 Hec. of land, on ownership basis.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that 0.368 Hec. of land was purchased in the name of Smt. Bhanumati Shao as per the sale deed dt. 31.10.08 and this cannot be acceptable. As per regulations the society/ institution should have the requisite land on ownership basis or on long term lease from Govt. The Regional Committee infact should have summarily rejected the application on the basis of this document, instead of issuing a deficiency letter. Further certified copy of the registered land document was obtained on 26.04.10 and hence it was not having this document on the date of submission of application. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 29-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Coordinator, B.M. College, Plot No. 369/3. Balod Road, Kolihapuri, Durg - 191001, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-392/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bhartiya Vidya Mandir College of Management Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 25/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW02407/222059(ADD)/130th/2010/66126 dated 23/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed. course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Bhartiya Vidya Mandir College of Management Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Manoj S. Kushwher, Member and Shri Athislek Jain, Member, Bhartiya Vidya Mandir College of Management Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant Vide their letter dt. 05.01.10 submitted certified true copies of all documents in reference to the Show Cause Notice points (i) to (iv) and also provided sufficient explanation stating that there was no contravention of sub clause (13) of clause 8, clause 5.1.1, clause 4.1 and clause 5.2.h of Appendix-2 of NCTE Regulations. It was further submitted that (a) the revised land related norms shall not be applicable to the existing Institution but the required built up area shall have to be increased by them to conform to revised norms; (b) it was having 1.108 Hect. (11081.14 sq. mt.) of land and 2971 sq. mt. of built-up area. (c) the appellant institution has appointed a Principal and 10 Lecturers for D.Ed. course; The principal is duly selected under Statute 28(17) through a Selection Committee appointed by the Jiwaji University, Gwalior and lecturers have been selected through properly constituted Selection Committees approved by Principal, Govt. P.G. College of Education, Gwalior as Representative of SCERT, Bhopal; The Academic Staff and Non Academic staff was physically present and verified by the Inspection Committee. (d) at the time of Inspection, appellant Institution had 1489 books in the library, later an order has been placed for 3911 more books.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the VT report dt. 14.11.09 that the institution was having 2760 sq. mts. of built-up area and 11019.7 sq. mts. of land for conducting D.Ed. (2 units) and B.Ed. (1 unit) programme; library was having 1489 books and 89 titles, one of the class room was only 494 sq. ft. and multipurpose hall size was only 1250 sq.ft. It also noted from the submission of the appellant that the institution was having only 2971 sq.mt. of built-up area as against the requirement of

3000 sq.mt. and placed an order for 3911 books after the inspection. Further the availability of 2971 sq.mt. of built-up area can not be ascertained as the appellant did not submit building completion certificate issued by a competent civic authority, rather it submitted a completion certificate issued by an architect Shri Dinesh Singh which is undated, and also did not mention the area details and Kh. No./ Plot No. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that WRC was right in withdrawing recognition for an additional unit of D.Ed. as the institution was having inadequate built-up area, and inadequate facilities/ books in the library. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 23-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Bhartiya Vidya Mandir College of Management Education, Yamuna NagaR, Darpan Colony, Thatipur, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-391/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Regency Teachers Training College, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3108/157Meeting/2010/20325 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "1) The Institution has not replied letter F.NO./NRC/NCTE/UP-3106/154 Meeting/2010/15157-158 dated 19 January 2010. "

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Regency Teachers Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Santosh Kumar Saxena, Member, Regency Teachers Training College, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant is a minority institution; NRC has wrongly refused recognition to the appellant Institution on an invalid ground; in compliance with the directions/requirements contained in paragraph-3 of the letter, dated 19.01.2010, the appellant Institution initiated the process of selection and appointment of teachers; the appellant vide its letter, dated 28.01.2010 requested the University to nominate an expert for the constitution of the selection committee, University vide their letter, dated 05.02.2010 nominated the experts for the purpose of selection of the faculty; the appellant informed the Northern Regional Committee about the status of selection of teachers on 19.03.2010. it was also submitted that no show cause notice was issued by the NRC before refusing the recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was issued letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE regulations on 19.01.10, with the condition for appointment of the faculty, following the laid down procedure with in 10 days. The feasibility of complying with the condition laid down in the letter dt. 19.01.10 within 10 days, involving the affiliating university in the selection procedure is not possible. the Council further noted that the institution has appointed the faculty as per norms, following the due procedure. It also noted that the appellant vide its letter dt. 10.02.10 requested NRC for granting more time for appointing the faculty as per norms. In view of this, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for examination of the faculty profile and for further decision thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set

aside NRC's order dated 15-04-10 and remand the case to NRC for examination of the faculty profile and for further decision thereafter and the institution is directed to send a set of documents of the faculty selection and appointment to the NRC immediately.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Regency Teachers Training College, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Regency Teachers Training College, VPO- Raseora, Tehsil-Sitapur, Sitapur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-418/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.R. College of Education, Kurukshetra, Haryana dated 28/04/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7-3/HR 82i/20120398-20355 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiencies communicated through letter no. 70753-54 dated 08.03.2009 still exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, B.R. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Ashok Kumar, President, B.R. College of Education, Kurukshetra, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal it was submitted that the appeal of the institution against the order of the Northern Regional Committee dated 23.4.2009 refusing recognition was accepted by the Council and in their order dated 18.11.2009 set aside the NRC's order with a direction to re-issue the show cause notice dated 8.3.2009 and process further. After re-issuing the show cause notice and after considering the representation of the institution, the NRC again refused recognition in their impugned order dated 15.4.2010 against which the present appeal has been preferred.

AND WHEREAS in the appeal and personal presentation it has been submitted that the State Govt's recommendation is not binding on NCTE; as the proposed land does not fall in the controlled area; there is no requirement of CLU and a certificate of Gram Panchayat should suffice; requirement of ownership of land is to be met within three months of the grant of recognition; the institution has the requisite built up area as per old norms as they applied in April 2007 i.e. prior to 2007 norms and the institution brought up the area which is in conformity with new norms and the hall has been modified in conformity with the norms.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the certificate regarding use of land given by the Gram Panchayat is undated and does not identify the land by indicating Khasra No. or survey number. Further the communication dated 11.12.2009 enclosed with the appeal is not from the Distt. and Town and Country Planner but from some other authority. The appellant in his application dated 29.03.2007 for recognition indicated that the usable area of the building was 14,040 sq. ft. and in the building plan the built up area was shown as 12,264 Sq.ft. which is less than the required area of 16,146 sq.ft. Even though the appellant claimed in the appeal that he has increased the overall built up area and the area of the hall, he has not furnished the

details of the increases effected or any document in support thereof. The appellant had not shown the building plan to the visiting team. Further the visiting team reported that the computer lab was being shared with a school running in a nearby block. the Council taking into account all aspects of the matter came to the conclusion that the Northern Regional Committee was justified in refusing the recognition on the ground that the deficiencies still exist and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 15-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, B.R. College of Education , Vpo- Bhanipura, Aruni Road, Tehsil-Pehowa, Kurukshetra - -, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-419/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Deenbandhu Deenanath Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRC App179/158 meeting/2010/2163-2163 dated 10/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the ground "No reply has been received to the deficiencies communicated to the institution vide letter F.NRC/NCTE/2010/D.El.Ed/DL-14371 dated 04/01/2010."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Deenbandhu Deenanath Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Shiv Nandan, Secretary, Deenbandhu Deenanath Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the deficiency letter dated 4.1.2010 issued by the Northern Regional Committee had not been received by the institution. He also stated that after seeing on the web site, he requested the Northern Regional Committee on 1.5.2010 to supply a copy of the same but it had not yet been furnished.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submission made by the appellant came to the conclusion that the NRC may be directed to re-issue the deficiency letter dated 4.1.2010 to the institution so as to enable him to send a reply.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and set aside the order of the NRC dt. 10-05-10 and remand the case to the NRC for re-issuing the deficiency letter dated 4.1.2010 to the institution so as to enable him to send a reply. Accordingly the case was remanded to the NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Deenbandhu Deenanath Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Deenbandhu Deenanath Mahavidyalaya, Plot No.453, Vill- Shiv Nagar, Tehsil, Etah - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dr. Zakir Hussain College, Sivagangai, Tamilnadu dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/B.Ed./2010/18632 dated 03.05.2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not submitted valid Minority Status Certificate from the competent authority."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Dr. Zakir Hussain College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri E. Rajabudeen, Associate Prof. of Botany and Shri V.N. Jafarulla Khan, Secretary, Dr. Zakir Hussain College, Sivagangai, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they earlier submitted a minority status certificate issued by the Higher Education Deptt. Govt. of Tamil Nadu valid upto 2012 and they have since obtained a minority status certificate dated 22.4.2010 from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions, Govt. of India and a copy thereof had been sent to Southern Regional Committee on 3.5.2010.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated above the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the matter be remanded back to Southern Regional Committee for further processing on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of SRC dated 03.05.10 and remand the case to SRC for further processing of the application on merit. . Accordingly the case was remanded to the SRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Zakir Hussain College, Sivagangai, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dr. Zakir Hussain College, Ilayangudi, Paramakdi Road, Sivagangai
- 630702, Tamilnadu

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-411/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Khandelwal College of Management Science & Technology, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2435/141Meeting/2009/71092 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE Norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Khandelwal College of Management Science & Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Rakesh Chaturied, Vice President, Khandelwal College of Management Science & Technology, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that information about selection of faculty for M.Ed. course along with relevant affidavits of the candidates was sent to NRC on 23.10.2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the reply of the institution dated 23.10.2009 in reply to NRC's letter dated 26.2.2008 was available on the relevant file of NRC. the Council also found that the faculty selected was in order. In the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the matter remanded back to NRC for examination of the reply furnished by the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of NRC dated 15.04.10 and remand the case to NRC for examination of the reply furnished by the institution. Accordingly the case was remanded to the NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Khandelwal College of Management Science & Technology, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Khandelwal College of Management Science & Technology, Kalapur, Pilibhit Road, Bareilly - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-410/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pt. Tej Ram College of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE.F-3/UP-1312/157Meeting/2010/20012 dated 13/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting BTC course on the ground "The institution has not replied letter F.No./NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1313/2008/54473 dated 12.07.2008."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Pt. Tej Ram College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Chaman Lal Sharma, Secretary and Dr. Mohan Lal, Principal, Pt. Tej Ram College of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that since for BTC course has not been given affiliation by SCERT, Lucknow and approval by the Govt. of UP, the course could not be started and a reply was sent to NRC on 23.7.2008 stating the institution is interested to run the course with immediate effect on receipt of approval of the competent authority. In the course of personal presentation the appellant gave a letter dated 23.6.2010 stating that the SCERT is now giving affiliation to BTC course and has inspected their institution during February, 2010. He also stated that earlier due to non-availability of subject experts nominated by the State authorities selection of faculty could not be made. He has given an undertaking to the effect that faculty would be appointed within 15-20 days after getting subject experts from the concerned authority.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into account the submissions made by the appellant has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the institution given three months time to appoint the faculty as per NCTE norms and inform NRC, who will take further action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 13-04-2010. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the NRC's order dated 13.04.2010 was set-aside and the case was remanded with direction to the NRC to allow a period of three months to comply with the requirement of selection and appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted

Selection Committee and to restore recognition to the institution if it complied with the requirement.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pt. Tej Ram College of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Pt. Tej Ram College of Education, Saddique Nagar, Main Sehani Road, Ghaziabad - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-408/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of RIMS, Haridwar, Uttaranchal dated 06/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/NRCAPP-404/157Meeting/2010/19665 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the inspection for granting recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of legally valid land document has not been submitted. 2) CLU is not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, RIMS (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Sachin Chaudhary, Asstt Director and Shri Ajeet Deshval, Director, RIMS, Haridwar, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have forwarded the certified copy of the land document by the sub-Registrar, Roorkee, Distt. Hardwar and also the land use certificate from the competent authority to NRC with their reply dated 15.3.2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council, however, noted that the certified copy of land document was got issued on 10.3.2010 and the land use certificate is dated 10.3.2010, both obtained long after the submission of application. As these documents are to be enclosed to the application itself as per the provisions of clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 and the applicant had not complied with the requirement, The Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the application. In the circumstances the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Director, RIMS, 76-Vill- Dahiyaki, Post- Furukul, Tehsil-Roorkee, Haridwar - -,
Uttaranchal

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

F.No.89-420/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Vijay Swaroop Maharaj Mahavidyalya, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP183/157 Meeting/2010/20365 dated 16/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file for granting recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Land document is not certified. 2) Notarized CLU is not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Vijay Swaroop Maharaj Mahavidyalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Shiv Nandah, Member and Shri Jitendr Kolnn, Member, Shri Vijay Swaroop Maharaj Mahavidyalya, Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he had sent certified land documents and notarized CLU to NRC on 17.2.2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the land document sent by the applicant was self attested and not a certified copy issued by the competent authority and the CLU also, which was self-attested and not notarized, was not issued under the provisions of Section 143 of the relevant UP Act. the Council, therefore came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the file of the appellant and the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 16-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Vijay Swaroop Maharaj Mahavidyalya, VPO-Kosma Muslmeen, Tehsil-Mainpuri, Mainpuri - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-416/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Triloki Singh Smarak D.El.Ed. Prashikshan Sansthan, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No.NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP426/159 meeting/2010/21545-46 dated 07.05.2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Registered Land document is not certified. 2) Submitted building plan is not approved by the competent authority. 3) The submitted CLU is not notarized."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Triloki Singh Smarak DL.ED. Prashikshan Sansthan (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shammuna Vir and Shri Harish Gegvar, Head., Triloki Singh Smarak D.El.Ed. Prashikshan Sansthan, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he had sent all the requisite documents to NRC with his letter dated 13.4.2010.

AND WHEREAS from the document furnished to NRC which were received on 26.4.2010 and the documents enclosed to the appeal the Council noted that the certified copy of the land document was obtained on 26.4.2010 and the land use certificate is dated 31.3.2010 which indicate that these essential documents were not available on the date of application. Since these documents have to accompany the application as per provisions of clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2009, the Council came to conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the file of the institution and, therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 07.05.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Triloki Singh Smarak DL.ED. Prashikshan Sansthan, Plot No.420, Ratuapur, Post Govindpur, Tesil Budhrampur, Azamgarh - 223223, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-429/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Azad College of Education, Prakasham, Andhra Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/B.ED/2010/186641 dated 03/05/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not submitted valid Minority Status Certification from the competent authority."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Azad College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Syed Rafiq Ahamed, Chairmen and Shri Ch. Rama Rao, Memembr, Azad College of Education, Prakasham, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Minorities Welfare (WAKT-II) Deptt. issued the minority status certificate only on 1.4.2010 and it was submitted to Southern Regional Committee on 5.4.2010 and in the circumstances he could not submit the same within 60 days time given by the SRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the minority status certificate was issued to Azad College of Education for B.Ed. course for 3 years from the academic year 2010-11 to 2012-13, and the sponsoring society is S.R. Educational and Rural Development Society. According to the memorandum of Association of the Society, the main aim is promotion of education among the people in rural area Muslim students and according to the Articles of Association, the Society is to give first preference to Muslim Students only. Since the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh has accorded the minority status to the college, for 3 years from the academic year 2010-11 to 2012-13. After due considerations of this, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the case be remanded back to Southern Regional Committee for processing the application on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of SRC dated 03.05.10 and remand the case to SRC for further processing of the application on merit. Accordingly the case was remanded to SRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Azad College of Education, Prakasham, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Azad College of Education, Plot No.-252, Street-O.V. Road, Village-Oguru, Kandukur, Post office - Kandukur, Prakasham - -, Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

F.No.89-423/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Brahm Datt Dwiwedi Prabha Dwiwedi Mahavidyalya, Basti, Uttar Pradesh dated 11/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP40/158 Meeting/2010/20906 dated 30/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the ground "No reply has been received to the deficiencies communicated to the institution vide letter F.NRC/NCTE/2009/D.El.Ed/DL-14056 dated 22/12/2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Brahm Datt Dwiwedi Prabha Dwiwedi Mahavidyalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Maya Shankar Tripathi, Member, Brahm Datt Dwiwedi Prabha Dwiwedi Mahavidyalya, Basti, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that a reply to the deficiency letter dated 22.12.2009 issued by NRC, had been sent in their letter dated 8.2.2010 by speed post on 11.2.2010. In support thereof the appellant enclosed a copy of the speed post receipt (affixed to his reply).

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submission made by the appellant came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the NRC directed to examine the reply of the institution on merits. For this purpose a copy of the reply may be sent to NRC by the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of NRC dated 30.04.10 and remand the case to NRC for examination of the reply of the institution and for further decision thereafter as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Brahm Datt Dwiwedi Prabha Dwiwedi Mahavidyalya, Basti, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Brahm Datt Dwiwedi Prabha Dwiwedi Mahavidyalya, Faizabad Road, Ramgarh Cahwani, Harraiya, Basti - 272127, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-432/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Heeralal Yadav Balika Degree College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP122/157 Meeting/2010 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for granting recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) Land Document is not certified. 2) Approved building plan is not submitted. 3) The building plan does not indicate Khasra Number/ plot number, total area and built-up area. 4) The submitted CLU was issued on 08.02.2010 which is after the due date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Heeralal Yadav Balika Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. A.P. Singh, Principal, Heeralal Yadav Balika Degree College, Lucknow, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that certified copy of land and Khatauni in original was submitted to NRC by hand, building plan duly approved by 'Abhiyanta Jila Panchayat', Lucknow has already been submitted and notarized copy of land use certificate which could not be submitted earlier, was later submitted to NRC on 5.3.2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant had not submitted till now a certified copy of the land document from the competent authority which registered the sale deed and the CLU was obtained only on 8.2.2010. Since these essential documents were not enclosed to the application at the time of its submission as per clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, the Council came to the conclusion that NRC was justified in closing the file of the institution and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Heeralal Yadav Balika Degree College, Plot No.-776,Vill & Po Sarojini0 Nagar, Lucknow - 226008, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-431/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lutawan Degree College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3271/157 Meeting/2010/20333 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not replied letter F.No.NRC/NCTE/UP-3271/155 Meeting/2010/15747-748 dated 29/01/2010."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Lutawan Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Virendra Yadav, Member, Lutawan Degree College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in response to the letter of the NRC dated 29.1.2010, the appellant sent his reply through courier on 12.2.2010 and after checking through internet and finding that the documents have not been acknowledged by the Regional Committee, sent again the required documents through speed post on 13.4.2010, which were also not considered by the NRC. The appellant submitted copies of the courier receipt and speed post receipt.

AND WHEREAS the Council noting that the reply of the institution dated 13.4.2010 is in the file of the NRC, came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted and the case be remanded to NRC for examination of the reply of the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and set aside the order of the NRC dt. 15-04-10 and remand the case to the NRC for examination of the reply of the institution. Accordingly the case was remanded to the NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lutawan Degree College, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Lutawan Degree College, Vill- Sakara, Post-Husainpur, Tehsil- Sadar, Ghazipur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-426/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Modelway's Nursery Teacher Education Institution, Delhi, Delhi dated 11/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/DH-262/157 Meeting/2010/19976 dated 13/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting NTT course on the ground "The institution has not replied letter F.No./NRC/NCTE/F-7/DH-252/13949-55 dated 24/01/2007."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Modelway's Nursery Teacher Education Institution (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Ms. Padma Gupta, Director and Ms. Pooja Jain, Member, Modelway's Nursery Teacher Education Institution, Delhi, Delhi presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (i) in order to comply with the requirement of having Endowment Fund in joint account in a nationalized bank, the appellant requested NRC through letter dated 14.2.2007, 16.4.2007 and 11.4.2008 to return the two FDRs sent earlier, out of which one was from Catholic Syrian Bank, which is a non-nationalised bank but they were not returned; (ii) the institution was corresponding with SCERT, Delhi for grant of affiliation over a period of one and half years and affiliation was granted on 16.6.2008; (iii) a compliance report was sent to NRC on 19.2.2007 and (iv) a self appraisal report, approval of SCERT for appointment of faculty members and audited statement of accounts of 2009-10 could not be sent in time due to clerical lapse. The appellant pleaded that the recognition for running NTT course may not be (withdrawn). The appellant showed a copy of their letter dated 21.7.2008 sent to NRC informing about the selection and appointment of staff.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that institution selected and appointed staff and intimated the NRC on 21.7.2008, got affiliation from the SCERT and has been running the course. On the other hand NRC did not return the FDRs to the institution for furnishing FDR in joint account from a nationalized bank despite repeated requests and also did not issue any show cause notice to the institution before issue of the order dated 13.4.2010. the Council taking into account all aspects of the matter came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of the NRC dated 13.4.2010 reversed and the NRC directed to restore the recognition granted to the institution earlier on 24.1.2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 13-04-10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to restore the recognition granted to the institution earlier on 24.1.2007, within 15 days of issue of this order

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Director, Modelway's Nursery Teacher Education Institution, 1 Block, Phase - I, Ashok Vihar,, Delhi - 110052, Delhi**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Delhi, New Delhi.

F.No.89-428/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vidarbha Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sanstha (Abha College of Education), Nagpur, Maharashtra dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-/132/66237 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the ground "On land area the recognition has already been granted for the course of D.Ed on 31/03/2008. The institution has not completed three years as required in the clause 8(3) of NCTE, Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Vidarbha Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sanstha (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Mohan Gaikwad, Secretary and Shri S.N. Bagili, Director, Vidarbha Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sanstha (Abha College of Education), Nagpur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that provisions of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 are not applicable as the appellant did not apply for any additional course or additional unit in the existing course but applied for an independent college on a different location. The Appellant has also submitted that at the 10 acres land for educational purposes Ojaswini College of Education was set up on the southern end of the land and the proposed Abha Adhayapak Vidyalaya on the northern side of Ojaswini College, as an independent college.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted the appellant in his application has not disclosed the information about the same society running another college in the same land/campus for which recognition was granted for conducting D.Ed. course and he has come up with a proposal to start a new institution for D.Ed. course only to circumvent the provisions of clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009. the Council also noted that the NCTE Act, 1993 mandates, the Council to take steps to prevent commercialization of teacher education.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking all aspects of the matter into consideration came to the conclusion that the Western Regional Committee was justified in rejecting the application of the institution and, therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Vidarbha Bahuuddeshiya Shikshan Sanstha, Plot No. D-9, Street-Srushti Sankul, V.I.P. Road, Vill-Dharampeth, Nagpur. Post- Shankar Nagar, Nagpur - 440010, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-22/2007-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal, Amravati, Maharashtra dated 05/03/2007 is against the Order No. WRC/APWO3625/122683/92/2006/C-5705 dated 06/01/2007 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Experience of certain teaching staff not as per NCTE norms. 2) Staff list as per norms to be submitted along with percentage of marks. 3) Infrastructural facilities are inadequate".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/03/2007 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal, Amravati, Maharashtra was asked to present their case before the Council on 11/04/2007, 29/7/2007 and 30/8/2007 giving 3 opportunities as per the rules. No representative of the institution, however, appeared to present the case on all the three occasions. Hence the Council decided the case ex-parte on the basis of the available documents and rejected the appeal vide appellate order dated 07.09.07 by confirming WRC's order dated 06.01.2007. Aggrieved by this order, the appellant institution filed a Writ Petition No. 2576/2009 in the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur. The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Nagpur Bench, Nagpur, vide Order dated 12.10.09, quashed the Order of the appellate authority dated 07.09.2007 confirming the order of refusal of recognition issued by the WRC and directed the Council to decide the appeal in accordance with law and after giving opportunity of being heard to the petitioner at 11.00 A.M on 05.11.2009. Accordingly, the case was listed for hearing on 05.11.2009. Dr. (Mrs) Kalpana Sharad Deshmukh, President and Mr. Satish Patil, Clerk of Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal, Amravati, Maharashtra presented. The case of appellant institution on 05.11.2009. The appellant in the appeal and during personal presentation, submitted that recognition was granted to the appellant institution on 28.11.06 after considering all facts and circumstances and on the basis of an inspection report; objection that infrastructure and instructional facilities were not correct and arrangements for teaching staff were not available, were not correct and it was actually having the requisite facilities; the deficiencies pointed out by the WRC in their order dated 06.01.07 had no substance; the appellant submitted a detailed reply giving clarifications on 27.11.06 in response to the show cause notice dated 16.11.06; before passing the refusal order, the WRC ignored the reply to the show

cause notice and the various documents submitted and the appellant had invested a huge amount in providing various facilities for the institution. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant submitted that the institution had obtained affiliation from the State Government authorities and was conducting the D.Ed course since 2007-08 and presently they were having ongoing second year students. The appellant furnished various documents describing the development that took place from time to time, inter-alia, stating that since the stay on operation of the recognition order dated 28.10.06 vide WRC's letter dated 20.11.06, was cancelled vide order dated 28.05.07 and the Hon'ble High Court quashed the order of the Council dated 07.09.07 rejecting the appeal, situation prevailing before the academic session 2008-09 might be restored.

AND WHEREAS the Council made the following observations:- i) The application for recognition of D.Ed. course was submitted by the appellant institution on 18.07.06 and the WRC granted recognition to the institution on 28.10.06. Therefore the NCTE Regulations, 2005, which were in vogue from 13.01.06 to 09.12.07, were applicable in this case. Regulation 7(10) of the NCTE Regulations, 2005 stipulated that in the matter of grant of recognition, the Regional Committee shall strictly act within the ambit of the NCTE Act, Rules and the Regulations including the norms and standards and shall not make any relaxation thereto. Similarly, Regulation 8(1) of the Regulations, 2005 stipulated that an institution must fulfill all the prescribed conditions related to norms and standards as prescribed by the NCTE for conducting the course or training in teacher education; Regulation 8(11) also stipulated that wherever there are changes in the norms and standards for the course or training in teacher education, the institution shall comply with the requirements laid down in the revised norms and the standards immediately but not later than the date of commencement of the next academic session, subject to conditions prescribed in the revised norms. ii) The WRC got the appellant institution inspected by a visiting team on 09.10.06. The VT Report was negative. The report mentioned that infrastructure and instructional facilities was not as per NCTE norms and recognition was "not recommended". As per the entries made by the VT against relevant columns, multipurpose hall and certain other facilities were not available and thus, the institution did not fulfill the norms. In spite of the negative VT Report, the institution was granted recognition by the WRC vide order dated 28.10.2006 in violation of the provisions in the regulations in a record time of around three months. iii) After granting recognition to the institution vide WRC's order dated 28.10.06, the WRC issued a notice asking the institution to show cause why recognition to the institution should not be refused on the three grounds mentioned in the refusal order eventually issued, vide show cause notice dated 16.11.06, obviously because of the negative VT Report. The institution furnished its reply to the show cause notice vide letter dated 27.11.06 and after considering the reply, the WRC refused recognition to the institution, vide order dated 06.01.07. The WRC also, vide order dated 20.11.06, had stayed the recognition granted to the institution vide its order dated 28.10.06. Subsequently, vide WRC's order dated 28.05.07, the WRC cancelled the stay order dated 20.11.06 as well as the recognition granted to the institution vide order dated 28.10.2006.

AND WHEREAS as already stated, the VT Report on the inspection of the institution of the institution conducted on 19.11.2006 was negative and it, inter-alia, indicated that the institution was not having infrastructural and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms. The recognition granted to the institution by the WRC, vide order

dated 26.10.2006 despite the negative VT Report, was prima-facie, not in order as it was in violation of the provisions in the NCTE Regulations Even then, since recognition was wrongly granted, the WRC should have withdrawn the recognition under Section 17 of the NCTE Act rather than staying the recognition granted, canceling the recognition subsequently. In any case, since the direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay to the Council its order dated 12.10.2009, was to decide the appeal of the institution against WRC's order dated 06.01.2007 refusing recognition to the institution, the Council was of the view that it should confine to the appeal in compliance with the said order of the Hon'ble High Court. As the appellant institution, in the appeal and during personal presentation, maintained that the institution was having adequate infrastructural and instructional facilities as per the NCTE norms, it was decided to get another inspection of the institution to ascertain availabilities of adequate infrastructural and other facilities as per NCTE norms. Accordingly another inspection was got conducted by a visiting team of experts on 17.05.10 by the NCTE Hqrs. the Council noted that the second VT Report was also negative and it, inter-alia, pointed out that (i) the institution was in possession of 2 acres of land through gift deed but original gift deed was not made available and the photo-copy made available was not readable, (ii) building plan though provided but the measurement, at site of different facilities such as class rooms, labs, library, other halls and rooms did not match with measurements in the map approved by Sarpanch, (iii) labs including science, computer, psychology did not match the requirement of NCTE, the arrangement for conducting practical were not in place and there was no water arrangement in lab, (iv) different rooms labs, library etc was in different corners of the campus which were functionally not suitable for D.Ed. course, (v) same part of the building was being used for pre-primary classes, (vi) seminar / conference room was a hall with a sitting capacity of about 30 (as against capacity of 200 required as per norms) (vii) labs was not well equipped with instructional facilities, (viii) computer lab was having 3 computer only, with no OHP, audio visual aids etc, (ix) the institution was not functional and, therefore, interaction with staff and verification of original documents could not be done. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the institution did not fulfill the NCTE norms to become eligible for recognition and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal. It however felt that refusal order of WRC may be modified into withdrawal order, in order to safeguard the interest of passed out students.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected with the direction that the refusal order of WRC dt. 06.01.07 be modified to the extent that recognition of the institution withdrawn under section 17 of the NCTE Act, with a prospective effect.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal, Amravati, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The President, Shri Saradadevi Mahila Mandal, At. Post. Shirala, TQ & Dist. Amravati
444,, Amravati - , Maharashtra

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No. 89 - 108/2010 – Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Victoria Institute of Education (Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti), Indore, Madhya Pradesh dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63936 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "The institution has submitted land documents in respect of Khasra No.255/1/1/2 area is 0585 hect., which is in the name of society. This society is already running B.Ed. and D.Ed. course on the same land and recognition for D.Ed. course was granted to the institution on 15-09-08. The same land cannot be used for other college. The institute did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Victoria Institute of Education (Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti), Indore, Madhya Pradesh (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/03/2007 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Mohd Faisal Khan and Shri. Rajeev Shukla, Director, Victoria Institute of Education (Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti), Indore, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the society was running a college in the name Victoria College of Education at Indore at Khasra 255/1/1/1 recognised by NCTE for B.Ed. and D.Ed course. (2) The society applied for a new college in the name of "Victoria Institution of Education, Indore at Khasra no. 255/1/1/2 for starting D.El.Ed. course session 2010-11. (3) The total land in the name of the society at Gram Morod, Khandwa Road, Indore is as follows: Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, Bhopal, 255/1/1/1/ = .585 hect. + Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, Bhopal, 255/1/1/2 hect. (Total 1.17 hect. OR 2.89 Acres OR 11546 sq. mtrs.). (4) The total are in the name of the society is 2.89 acre i.e. 11546 sq. mtrs. The institution is having the requisite land area as per the NCTE regulations, 2009. (5) The society has earmarked 1.47 acre land for "Victoria College of Education, Indore Institute of Education, Indore" vide its resolution dated 14.09.2009. During the presentation, the representative conceded that though the existing recognized institution and proposed new institution have separate demarcated area of land and building, yet both are within the same campus.

AND WHEREAS the Council considered the matter further on 23.06.2010. The demarcation on the same land is reported to have been done on the basis of a resolution of the society dated 14.9.2009. The Council noted that the appellant has

claimed that the existing institution and the proposed institution are on different Khasra Nos, the difference in the Khasra numbers being shown as 1 and 2 at the end of the same survey number. This sub-numbering indicates that the proposed institution also will be basically located on the same land. The Council also felt that the applicant has come up with a proposal to start a new institution by slightly modifying the name of the existing institution for the same course being conducted in the existing institution only to circumvent the provisions of clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009. The Council also noted that the NCTE Act, 1993 mandates Council to take steps to prevent commercialization of teacher education. The Council taking all aspects of the matter into consideration came to the conclusion that the Western Regional Committee was justified in rejecting the application of the institution and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President , Victoria Institute of Education (Sahib Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti), Indore, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of St. Stephens College of Education (Gnanam Trust), Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu dated 12/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2009-2010/17864 dated 02/03/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) As per the documents submitted by the institution itself, the built up area is grossly inadequate i.e. 11,000 sq.ft. available as against the required minimum of 16,000 sq.ft. 2) Original Sworn affidavit has not been submitted. 3) Building plan does not indicate survey number of the proposed construction".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, St. Stephens College of Education (Gnanam Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Y. Gnanasekar, Corrospondent, St. Stephens College of Education (Gnanam Trust), Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 01-05-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the institution had applied for B.Ed. course in the year 2005 when the NCTE norms did not prescribe any minimum area of built-up area required and inspite of that the institution constructed 23196 Sq.ft. which was more than adequate as per the NCTE norms; the original sworn affidavit was submitted at the time of application and the survey No.35 was very well indicated in the approved building plan.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the application of the institution was submitted on 05-07-05, when the NCTE Regulation, 2002, were in vogue, which laid down that the size of the instructional space shall not be less than 10 Sq.ft. per student and no minimum total built-up area required or minimum land required was laid down. The institution was got inspected by the SRC on 21-11-05 as per the direction of the High Court of Judicature at Madras. However, copies of the inspection report were not available on the file. While the show-cause notice dated 03-01-06 issued by the SRC office did not point out that the institution did not furnish original sworn affidavit, in the order dated 02-03-10, one of the ground for rejection of the application cited was that original sworn affidavit had not been submitted. the Council also noted that the building plan available on the file indicated the survey no. The building plan also indicated an area of 23196 Sq.ft. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that the order of SRC dated 02-03-10

refusing grant of recognition to the institution on the three grounds mentioned was not in order.

AND WHEREAS the Council considered the matter further on 23.06.2010 on receipt of the inspection report. Taking all aspects of the matter into consideration the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of Southern Regional Committee set aside and the Regional Committee directed to issue orders under the provisions of Clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations. Since the institution applied for recognition in July, 2005 as per the Regulations obtaining at that time, while issuing orders as indicated above, Southern Regional Committee should incorporate a condition to the effect that the institution should comply with the norms contained in the 2009 Regulations by upgrading the facilities suitably as per the provisions of clause 8(13) of the said Regulations within a specified period.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that in supersession of the Council's order at 26/11/07, there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted, set aside the order of the SRC dt. 02-03-10 and remand the case to the SRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulation immediately.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Stephens College of Education (Gnanam Trust), Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, St. Stephens College of Education (Gnanam Trust), No. 10, Jeeva Street, Arul Nagar, Ponmalaipatti, District, Tiruchirappalli - 620004, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-446/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.V.M. College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC.APP 190/REJECTION/133/2010/67381 dated 28/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Land is allotted for residential purpose. 2) Land document is not certified by competent authority. 3) Notarized copy of CLU not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, B.V.M. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. G.C. Jain, A.O, and Shri. Manoj Sagar, Society Member, B.V.M. College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the building concerned was purely for education only as per letter dated 17-12-1989 issued by Joint Director, Town and Country Planning, Gwalior; the CLU submitted was already attested by the Estate Manager of MP Housing Board Gwalior which was the competent authority for the purpose of verifying the lease papers and the notarized copy of CLU was submitted alongwith the application.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the lease deed dated 08-08-01 for a period of 30 years from 01.04.81 to 01.05.2011, stipulated that building erected on the land shall be used primarily by the lessee for his own dwelling or he may lease the building on rent. Thus, the objection that the land allotted was for residential purpose, was correct. the Council also noted that the land document was certified by the Estate Manager only on 25-03-2010 i.e. much after the last date prescribed for submission of application. Thus, the objection raised by NRC that land document was not certified by the Competent Authority was also correct. the Council further noted that notarized copy of CLU was not submitted alongwith application and the CLU was got notarized only on 18-06-2010 i.e. much after submission of application and refusal of recognition. The Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, applicable in this case, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and notarized copy of CLU issued by the Competent Authority, within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be

summarily rejected. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the WRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal,

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 28-04-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, B.V.M. College of Education, Plot No.272, Tansen Nagar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-435/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Chaitanya College of Education, Champa, Chhatisgarh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66307 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Khasra No. given in the application form and given in the land documents does not match."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Chaitanya College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ashutosh Shukla, Member, Chaitanya College of Education, Champa, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that only in the affidavit submitted alongwith the application, the Khasra No. was inadvertently shown as 512/58, which was a minor typographical error which could be ignored.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that, in the application and the CLU, the Khasra No. indicated was 212/58 while the Khasra No. indicated in the land document submitted was 212/2. Thus, the objection raised by the NRC for rejecting the application was correct. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the NRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal. The Council further noted that in the land documents submitted and also in the Land Title Certificate submitted by the institution, the available land indicated was 0.081 hect. or 810 Sq.mts. as against the requirement of 2500 Sq.mts. as per the NCTE norms. Thus, even otherwise, the institution did not fulfill the requirements for consideration for recognition.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 25-03-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Chaitanya College of Education, Plot/Khasra No. 212/58, Street No.17, Village Pamgarh, Champa - 495554, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-444/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of IIMT College of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1474/157 Meeting/2010/20221 dated 13/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting NTE course on the ground "The institution has not replied to letter F.NO./NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1474/2008/54331 dated 11.07.2008."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, IIMT College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ajay Kumar, Vice-Chairman and Shri. Abhinav Agarwal, Secretary, IIMT College of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the NRC wrongly stated in the impugned order dated 15.04.2010 that the appellant had not replied to the letter dated 11.07.2008 though the appellant had duly sent his reply by Speed Post. It was also submitted that under sec. 27 of General clauses Act, there was a presumption of delivery of article sent by Regd. post sent on correct address and duly stamped.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution had submitted its reply to NRC's letter dated 11-07-08 vide its letter dated 03-09-08 informing that the NTE course could not be started as the State Govt. of UP was not granting recognition to start the course despite the recognition granted by the NCTE and that the institution was continuously approaching the UP State Govt. for starting the course. The institution also produced a certificate from the postal authorities confirming delivery of its letter dated 03-09-08 to the NRC on 06-09-08. During the presentation, the representative of the institution also stated that the State Govt. of UP/SCERT have since started giving affiliation to the institutions for BTC/NTT courses and, therefore, his institution again applied for affiliation to the SCERT on 23-01-10. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that the withdrawal of recognition of the institution by NRC on the ground that the institution had not replied to NRC's letter dated 11-07-08 was not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that a copy of the letter dated 03-09-08 alongwith the certificate from the postal authorities confirming the delivery of the said letter to the NRC on 06-09-08 should be forwarded to NRC for considering the reply of the institution for allowing a period of 3 months to the institution for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of qualified staff.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 13-04-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the order of NRC dated 13.04.10 set-aside with direction to NRC for allowing a period of 3 months to the institution for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of qualified staff.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of IIMT College of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The General Secretary, IIMT College of Education, 'o' Pocket, Ganga Nagar, Meerut - 250001, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-441/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Indian Institution of Education, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/HP-282/159th Meeting/2010/21520-526 dated 07/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "Land is still in the name of the Society but not institute."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Indian Institution of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Shiv Kumar Dogra, General Secretary, Indian Institution of Education, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that (1). In compliance to the deficiencies pointed out, the land was got registered in the name of the society, and compliance report was sent to NRC Jaipur for information and further necessary action, (2) As per the conditions laid by NCTE Regulations, for granting recognition published in Gazette of India, Part - III - Sec 4, Notification of dated 31/08/2009 at clause 8, Sub Para (7) I, which read that no institution shall be granted recognition under these regulations, unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution was in possession of required land on the date of application.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the application of the institution for recognition of M.Ed. course was submitted to NRC on 08-02-08, and, thus, the NCTE Regulations 2007 which remained in force from 10-12-07 to 30-08-09, were applicable in this case. Regulation 8(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2007, stipulated that no institution shall be granted recognition under the Regulations unless it was in possession of required land on the date of application free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt./Govt. institution for a period of not less than 30 years. The land of the applicant society was on private lease and, therefore, it did not fulfill the requirement as per clause 8(7) of NCTE Regulations, 2007, to become eligible for consideration of the application for grant of recognition of M.Ed. course. Thus, the application for recognition of M.Ed course was liable to be rejected on that ground. Instead, the NRC rejected the application on another ground that land was still in the name of the society but not in the name of the institute. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the NRC's order dated 07-05-10

should be set-aside with direction to the NRC to issue a revised refusal order mentioning appropriate ground/ grounds.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that NRC's order dated 07-05-10 should be set-aside and the case remanded back to NRC for reconsideration and issue of revised order. Accordingly, the order of NRC dated 07-05-10 was set-aside and the case remanded back to the NRC to issue a revised refusal order mentioning appropriate ground/ grounds.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Indian Institution of Education, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The General Secretary, Indian Institution of Education, Hari Devi Ghana Hatti, Shimla - -, Himachal Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

F.No.89-433/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Late Chelaram Chandrakar Shikshan Samiti, Durg, Chhatisgarh dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66318 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not submitted processing fee of Rs. 40,000/-."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Late Chelaram Chandrakar Shikshan Samiti (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Avinash Chandrakar, Secretary and Shri. Anil Chandrakar, Member, Late Chelaram Chandrakar Shikshan Samiti, Durg, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the DD of Rs. 40,000/- was drawn on CANARA BANK. But, as per information it was to be deposited in the pay-order of ICICI Bank and on same day i.e on 07.11.09 DD of Rs.40,000 was converted into Pay-order of ICICI Bank dt. 07.11.2009 and deposited along with all other documents on 09.11.2009 to WRC.

AND WHEREAS on verification, it was found that the processing fee of Rs.40,000 was deposited by the institution in the accounts of the NCTE on 07-11-09 as explained by the institution. Therefore, the rejection of the application of the institution on the ground that it did not submit processing fee of Rs.40,000 was not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside the WRC's order dated 25-03-10 with direction to the WRC to process the case further on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and and set aside WRC's order dated 25-03-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the case remanded back to WRC with direction to process the case further on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of of Late Chelaram Chandrakar Shikshan Samiti, Durg, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Late Chelaram Chandrakar Shikshan Samiti, Plot No.13/5A, Uttar Vasundhara Nagar, Near Green Police Station, Bhilai-3, Durg - -, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-448/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ram Pratap Rang Lal Institute of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/05/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3236/158th Meeting/2010/21911-917 dated 12/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) As per CD, the building seems to be incomplete. Further, the building completion certificate from competent authority has not been submitted. 2) The equipment in Science Lab. Psychology Lab and ET lab were kept on table. Thus, proper labs are not developed. 3) As per VT report, the size of Principal's room and office are small. 4) Titles in the library are not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. 5) Play grounds are not developed properly" .

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ram Pratap Rang Lal Institute of Management and Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Rajesh Kumar Agarwal, Manager and Shri. Amit Sara, Chairman, Ram Prata Rang Lal Institute of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the building of the institution was complete as per the prescribed norms, certain areas were left unfinished with a view to expand the structure as and when required for other courses of study, the building completion certificate was duly obtained from the Architect, who alone was competent to give the same. The Architect, who furnished the building completion certificate was duly registered with the Council of Architecture; the finding with respect to Science Lab, Psychology Lab and the ET lab was patently erroneous in as much as the team itself found the requisite facilities to be present; the finding of the Council that the equipments were lying on the table did not merit attention and ought to have been ignored; the observation that the Principal's room and the office were small were also without any basis as, In the first place, no parameter was suggested so as to prove them small. As a matter of fact, the Principal's room and the office space was built over an area of more than 85 sq.mts; the visiting team had itself inspected the library and after being satisfied had endorsed the entries pertaining to titles and copies thereof. The visiting team had found that more than 1000 titles with at least 3177 books were present in the library

maintained by the Institution; the Council did not indicate any particular short-coming and given an observation that it was not developed properly. As a matter of fact, the play ground maintained by the institution was more than sufficient for any outdoor game including cricket and hockey.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that two inspections of the institution were conducted on 30.07.09 and 03.02.10 and both the VT reports were positive. The VT Report dated 30.07.09 indicated that the building was nearing completion. The VT Report dated 03.02.10, inter-alia, indicated availability of adequate infrastructure and instructional facilities as per the NCTE norms. the Council was, therefore, of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 12-05-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the case remanded back to NRC with direction to issue Letter of Intent prior to grant of recognition within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ram Pratap Rang Lal Institute of Management and Technology, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Ram Pratap Rang Lal Institute of Management and Technology, Vill-Narshohi. Post- Sahmaholi, Tehsil- Sitapur, Sitapur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-463/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sankalp Institute of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1763/141 Meeting/2009/20437 dated 16/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sankalp Institute of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Inder Jit Singh, President, Sankalp Institute of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the affiliation by the concerning body i.e. SCERT, UP was not granted because of the UP State Govt. policy, on 10.01.2010 finally Govt. decided to give affiliation to the private self financing colleges. It was also submitted that the institution advertised the vacancies in the newspaper on 31.01.2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that conditional recognition for BTC course subject to appointment of qualified staff was issued to the institution by NRC vide order dated 26-07-06 subject to appointment of qualified staff. The NRC issued a reminder dated 09-07-07 asking the institution to furnish advertisement issued, consolidated staff list, proceedings of selection committee alongwith copy of letter from affiliating body nominating expert in the selection committee, individual bio-data and affidavit on Rs.10 stamp paper within 21 days. Reply was sent by the institution vide its letter dated 01-08-07 but the documents furnished were in respect of B.Ed. course. The NRC vide its letter 05-02-08 asked the institution to furnish documents in respect of BTC faculty as the same were not furnished by the institution, the NRC refused recognition to the institution for BTC course vide its order dated 16-04-10. the Council also noted that the delay in fulfilling the requirement of appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted Selection Committee was beyond the control of the institution and it was on account of the policy of the State Govt. of UP/SCERT. In the circumstances, the Council was of the view that the appeal of the institution should be accepted and the order of NRC dated 16-04-10 set-aside with direction to the NRC to allow a period of 3 months for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of faculty.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 16-04-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the order of NRC dated 16-04-10 set-aside with direction to the NRC to allow a period of 3 months to the institution for fulfilling the requirement regarding appointment of faculty.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sankalp Institute of Education, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Sankalp Institute of Education, 141-Duhai, Ghaziabad - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 84/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

29/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Delhi College of Studies, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1207/153rd Meeting/2009/14534-541 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground “(1) The approval of the faculty for B.Ed. course by the affiliating University has already expired. (2) The present appointed faculty, if any, has not been selected through duly constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. (3) The nomination letter of the affiliating university in r/o Dr. G.P. Gautam as university nominee for B.P.Ed. course is not submitted. (4) The affiliating University’s approval for faculty of B.P.Ed. course is not submitted. (5) The unsigned copy of attendance register for B.Ed. students has been submitted. (6) No attendance register of B.P. Ed. Students has been submitted. (7) No proof in r/o payment of salary through cheque has been submitted. (8) EPF scheme has not been introduced. (9) Still fire safety provisions have not been ensured. (10) Ten computers are not sufficient for 100 students.”

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Delhi College of Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.C. Singh, Secretary and Shri M.C. Paul, Administrative Officer, Delhi College of Studies, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 20.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (i) As the CCS University did not appoint any subject expert in spite of repeated requests, the Managing Committee themselves constituted a Selection Committee and filled up the posts of teachers; after CCS University appointed subject expert on 09.07.2009, the teachers were selected by duly constituted Selection Committee comprising University nominee; and the selected staff list was sent to the CCS University for their approval on 21.08.2009. (ii) Attendance register of B.Ed. students was duly signed. (iii) Salary to staff was paid through cheques. (iv) As per rules EPF scheme is to be implemented only where 20 or more employees are on roll and hence it not applicable to the institution. (v) Fire

safety provisions had already been made. (vi) 5 more computers have been purchased bringing the total number to 15.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that NRC in its meeting held on 11-12th December'09 decided to withdraw recognition for B.Ed. & B.P.Ed. courses on 10 grounds, however the order of NRC dt. 06.01.2010 withdrawing the recognition for the B.Ed. course did not indicate the grounds on which the recognition was withdrawn. Therefore, it was decided to ask NRC for issuing a revised order specifying the withdrawal grounds for B.Ed. course in reference to the decision of NRC taken in its meeting. Accordingly a letter was written to Regional Director, NRC and NRC on 11.06.10 issued a corrigendum order specifying the grounds. On the basis of this order, the case was again considered on 25.06.10. the Council noted that three of the withdrawal grounds pertained to B.P.Ed. course and they need not be considered in the appeal of B.Ed. course; the institution has been conducting B.Ed. programme w.e.f. 2005. The university vide their letter dt. 18.09.06 gave the approval for the staff list for a period of three years only. Further on 26.07.09 the selection committee comprising Dr. Rekha Aggarwal as university nominee, selected a principal and 7 lecturers and submitted this selected list for approval of the university on 08.08.09. It further noted that there was regular recording of attendance of students and the institution was having computers in 1:10 ratio and also fire safety provision was provided. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to NRC for restoring the recognition of the B.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 06-01-10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC for restoring the recognition of the B.Ed. course.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Delhi College of Studies, A - 45, Site - IV. Industrial Area, Sahibabad, District, Ghaziabad - 201010, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-130/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Oxford Model Institute of Advance Studies, Kanpur Dist., Uttar Pradesh dated 06/02/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2129/2008/13381 dated 18/08/2008 of the Northern Regional Committee, against recognition for conducting B.Ed course from the academic session 2008-09, instead of from the academic session 2007-08.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Oxford Model Institute of Advance Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/02/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Rajender Nath Sharma and Shri Rakesh Sharma, Member, Oxford Model Institute of Advance Studies, Kanpur Dist., Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the NRC granted recognition to the appellant institution from the academic session 2008-09 instead of issuing it in continuation of conditional recognition order dated 14.11.2006. It was also submitted that after issue of conditional recognition, the institution appointed the faculty on 07.06.07 and the staff list got approved by the university on 21.01.08. The university vide their letter dt. 03.04.08 gave affiliation from 01.07.07 for one year i.e. for the session 2007-08 and students were admitted for the session 2007-08, through university counseling.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that (a) the appellant filed an appeal with NCTE on 06.02.09 against the recognition order dt. 18.08.08 of NRC, requesting for grant of formal recognition from the academic session 2007-08. The Council did not admit the appeal as the appeal was late by 3 months and 15 days and conveyed their decision vide NCTE's letter dt. 23.04.09. The appellant filed writ petition No. 8398/2010 against the order passed by the Appellate Authority refusing to condone the delay in filing the appeal. The court vide their order dt. 17.02.10 condoned the delay and directed the NCTE to decide the appeal on merits. The appellant forwarded a copy of this court order to the NRC on 28.02.10, informing the R.D., NRC that their order dt. 18th Aug'08 was quashed by the court. In view of the Court order the NRC re-considered the case in its meeting held on 24-27th March' 10 and decided to confirm its earlier order dt. 18th Aug'08. The institution again filed a writ petition No. 25200/2010 before the High Court of Allahabad against this NRC's decision and the Court vide their order dt. 05.05.10 set aside NRC's decision and directed the appellate authority to pass a reasoned order expeditiously. In compliance with the Court directions the case was considered by the appeal

committee on 25.06.10. It further noted that the institution complied with the condition laid in the NRC's conditional order dt. 14.11.06. As per this order the institution may admit students after appointing qualified staff as per norms and obtaining the affiliation from the university. In this case the institution has admitted the students after appointing the faculty and with due affiliation of the university. However, it was not issued formal recognition order. In order to safe guard the interest of already passed out students, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for issuing a revised formal recognition order in continuation of conditional order dt. 14.11.06.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 18-08-08 and remand the case to NRC for issuing a revised formal recognition order in continuation of conditional order dt. 14.11.06.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of **Oxford Model Institute of Advance Studies, Kanpur Dist. , Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Oxford Model Institute of Advance Studies, PAC Road, Shyam Nagar, Kanpur Dist. - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-568/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rao Jairam College of Education, Mohindergarh, Haryana dated 28/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/HR – 671/2009 dated 10.01.10 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds “1) The institute is running D.Ed. course without Faculty appointed through duly constituted selection committee. 2) Bills for purchase of equipments alongwith Stock Register have not been submitted.”

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rao Jairam College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Surender Yadav, Secretary and Shri Devender Singh, Cashier, Rao Jairam College of Education, Mohindergarh, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had appointed the faculty that had been selected by a duly constituted selection committee at the time of grant of recognition. The proceedings of the selection committee and affidavits were submitted to the NRC and thereafter NRC issued final recognition order. Some of the staff members that had been appointed in August, 2008, left the job and to fill up the vacancies, the appellant again in July 2009 selected faculty by a selection committee duly constituted as per the guidelines of the University/State Government. The proceedings of this selection were also sent to NRC. It was also submitted that the bills and stock registers were never asked by the NRC therefore there was no occasion to produce the same. It was further submitted that withdrawal of recognition was done without causing any inspection under section 17 of the NCTE Act.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant filed writ petition against the NRC's order before the High Court of Delhi and the Court vide its order dt. 01.06.10 directed the appeal committee to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks. In compliance with the Court directions, the case was listed for hearing on 25.06.10. With regard to the withdrawal grounds it was observed that the appellant selected a Head of the Department and five lecturers on 14.10.07 following the laid down procedure, prior to issue of recognition order dt. 22.04.08. As well as the faculty selection on 26.07.09 was also done by the duly constituted selection committee and the faculty has been qualified as per norms. The Council also perused the bills and stock registers and noted that the items mentioned in the

bills have been entered in the stock registers. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to NRC to restore the recognition granted to it.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 10.01.10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to restore recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Rao Jairam College of Education, Bundejaj Nagar Mohindergarh, mOHINDERGARH - -, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-567/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rao Jairam College of Education, Mohindergarh, Haryana dated 28/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/HR – 667/2009 dated 31.01.10 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds “1) The institute is running B.Ed. course without Faculty appointed through duly constituted selection committee. 2) Bills for purchase of equipments alongwith Stock Register have not been submitted.”

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rao Jairam College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Surender Yadav, Secretary and Shri Devender Singh, Cashier, Rao Jairam College of Education, Mohindergarh, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.06.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had appointed the faculty that had been selected by a duly constituted selection committee at the time of grant of recognition. The proceedings of the selection committee and affidavits were submitted to the NRC and thereafter NRC issued final recognition order. Some of the staff members that had been appointed in August, 2008, left the job and to fill up the vacancies, the appellant again in July 2009 selected faculty by a selection committee duly constituted as per the guidelines of the University/State Government. The proceedings of this selection were also sent to NRC. It was also submitted that the bills and stock registers were never asked by the NRC therefore there was no occasion to produce the same. It was further submitted that withdrawal of recognition was done without causing any inspection under section 17 of the NCTE Act.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant filed writ petition against the NRC's order before the High Court of Delhi and the Court vide its order dt. 01.06.10 directed the appeal committee to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks. In compliance with the Court directions, the case was listed for hearing on 25.06.10. With regard to the withdrawal grounds it was observed that the appellant selected a Head of the Department and lecturers on 14.10.07 following the laid down procedure, prior to issue of recognition order dt. 22.04.08. As well as the faculty selection on 26.07.09 was also done by the duly constituted selection committee and the faculty has been qualified as per norms; it also perused the bills and stock registers and noted that the items mentioned in the bills have

been entered in the stock register. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to NRC to restore the recognition granted to it.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 31.01.10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to restore recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Rao Jairam College of Education, Bundejaj Nagar Mohindergerh, Mohindergerh, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-1361/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu dated 23/11/2009 is against the letter dated 09/11/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds that as per the Public Notice dated 28th July 2009, the NCTE had taken a decision not to recognize/permit institutions for conduct of B.Ed., D.Ed., C.P.Ed, D.P.Ed. and B.P.Ed. including (DE) courses in the State of Tamilnadu for the academic session 2010-2011. However, the above restriction will not apply in case of minority institutions established under Article 30 of the Constitution. Since your institution is not a minority institution, hence the application submitted by your institution for start of B.Ed. (DE) course alongwith all the documents submitted is returned herewith.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Alagappa University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 01/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. V. Balachandran, Director and Dr. P. Sivakumar, Professor of Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.01.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was a State University under the Govt. of Tamil Nadu and it was accredited with A Grade by NAAC, it obtained 'No Objection Certificate' to start B.Ed. programme through distance mode; faculty were recruited as per the norms of NCTE in anticipation of approval from Govt. of Tamil Nadu and NCTE, the institution submitted its application even before the notification of public notice to restrict B.Ed. in Tamil Nadu and was submitted to NCTE thrice on 16-02-09,27-05-09 and 30-10-09 and the university was having all infrastructure essential for conducting B.Ed. course through distance mode.

AND WHEREAS the Council deferred the decision on the appeal on that day for want of some information/ documents from SRC. The Council again considered the case on 25.06.10. It noted that the appellant submitted an application for B.Ed. (DE) on 27.02.09 for the session 2009-10, SRC vide its letter dt. 18.03.09 returned, the application as it was submitted after the last date prescribed for academic session 2009-10; The appellant again on 02.06.09 submitted the application and that was also returned by SRC stating that application for the session 2010-11 will be received during 1st Aug'09 to 31st Oct'09 and as such receipt of the applications is not yet commenced by the SRC office. The appellant again submitted an application on 31st Oct'09, and by then a public notice dt. 28.07.09 was notified by NCTE, informing Regional Committees not to grant recognition for the academic session 2010-11 for

B.Ed. course in the state of Tamilnadu. In view of the public notice SRC returned this application also to the institution. Therefore, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's letter dated 09-11-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Alagappa University, Karaikudi,, Karaikudi - 630003, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-350/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Alokekendra Samabay Primary Teachers' Training Institute, Dist. Paschim Medini, West Bengal dated 18/05/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-93.6(l).12/2009/15791(l) dated 23/03/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "1) Downloaded copies of Affidavits regarding teaching faculty on Rs.100/- stamp paper & Rs.10 stamp paper, affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper regarding land details are not submitted. 2) List of teaching faculty submitted does not give complete details as per the prescribed format i.e. date of application etc. Requisite number of teachers not qualified as per details submitted. 3) Plot Nos given in Building plan do not tally with those given in affidavit. But it is given in English version of land documents, but it is not notarized. 4) Date of Registration given is 13-11-2008 on affidavit, which is not clear."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Alokekendra Samabay Primary Teachers' Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS the Alokekendra Samabay Primary Teachers' Training Institute, Dist. Paschim Medini, West Bengal was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 23-07-09, 19-08-09, 03-09-09 and also on 29-12-09 giving 4th opportunity as a special case. But nobody appeared before the Council on all the three occasions. Hence, the Council decided to dispose the appeal on the basis of the document submitted alongwith the appeal and the documents available in the original file of regional office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant did not submit to the ERC the downloaded copies of the affidavits of faculty. It also noted that affidavit mentioning the academic qualification and teaching experience of the faculty was made only on 16-5-09 that was much after the date of refusal order dated 23-03-09; the selection committee minutes dated 02-05-09 indicated that the lecturers were also selected after the date of refusal order; building plan indicated J.L. No.264, where as the English version of the land document indicated the property bearing J.L. No. as 262 and hence the refusal ground is valid. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and ERC's order dated 23-03-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Alokekendra Samabay Primary Teachers' Training Institute, At- Abdalipur, P.O. Alokekendra, , Dist. Paschim Medini - 721156, West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89- 734/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Devsthali Vidyapeeth College of Higher Studies, U.S.Nagar, Uttaranchal dated 24/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UR-222/141 Meeting/2009/4944 dated 23/06/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) FDR of RS. 5.00 lakhs is not submitted. 2) The Land is neither in the name of Society nor Institution."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Devsthali Vidyapeeth College of Higher Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/07/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Devsthali Vidyapeeth College of Higher Studies, U.S.Nagar, Uttaranchal was asked to present the case before the appeal committee on 14-09-09, 22-10-09, 28-10-09 and also on 14-01-10 by giving a special opportunity, but nobody appeared before the Council on all the occasions. So, the Council decided to dispose the appeal on the basis of the written submission made by the appellant alongwith the appeal and the documents available in the original file of the regional office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution "Dev Bhoomi Institute of Professional Education, Rudrapur" purchased land through the Secretary of the Society - Dev Bhoomi Shiksha Prasar Samiti that means the land was in the name of the college "Dev Bhoomi Institute of Professional Education". Later the same society applied for grant of recognition of the proposed institution i.e. Devsthali Vidyapeeth College of Higher Studies, U.S.Nagar, Uttaranchal and it submitted the land documents of another institution i.e. "Dev Bhoomi Institute of Professional Education, Rudrapur" and this land document cannot be acceptable as per relevant regulations i.e. regulations 2007. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 23-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Devsthali Vidyapeeth College of Higher Studies, Village-Khamaria, Post- Lalpur, U.S.Nagar,, U.S.Nagar - -, Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. **The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.**

F.No.89-791/2009 Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Joushua Teacher Educational College (Selvam Education & Charitable Trust), Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu dated 20/07/2009 is against the Order No. F. SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-2010/12610 dated 11/05/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B. Ed course on the ground "1) The management has not submitted filled in questionnaire with all infrastructural and instructional facilities including full scope photograph of the building for verification. 2) The new VCD after removal of wooden walls and proof was not submitted. The old VCD again submitted. 3) The front elevation and other building details are also not submitted. 4) The approved building plan & building completion certificate from PWD/Government Engineer with details of built up space is also not submitted. 5) The documentary proofs for available built up space, infrastructural and instructional facilities and compliance of deficiencies are not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Joushua Teacher Educational College (Selvam Education & Charitable Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Joushua Teacher Educational College (Selvam Education & Charitable Trust), Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 30.11.09, 14.01.10 and also on 09.02.10, but nobody appeared before the Council on all the occasions. So, the Council decided to dispose the appeal on the basis of the written submission made by the appellant alongwith the appeal and the documents available in the original file of the regional office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that that SRC issued show cause notice to the institution on 16.04.09 and the institution submitted its representation in response to the show cause notice on 30.04.09. The Council perused the documents submitted by the appellant alongwith its reply dt. 30.04.09 and noted that it did not completely fill up/ provide all the necessary information as sought in the questionnaire; the building completion certificate was issued on 27.04.09 by private construction agency, which can not be acceptable. The completion certificate also did not mention Kh No./ Plot No. and did not specify about the removal of wooden walls. It also noted from the VT report dt. 13.03.09 that some of the rooms are separated with wooden walls. Further there was discrepancy with regard to the built-up area availability, as two building completion certificates issued on 16.03.09 and

30.04.09 specify different built-up area. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 11-05-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Joushua Teacher Educational College (Selvam Education & Charitable Trust), Trivandram-Tirunelveli Main Road, ozhuginasery, Nagarcoil, , Kanyakumari - 629001, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-253/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Margdarshan Sansthan Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Sarguja, Chhatisgarh dated 08/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66317 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Khasra no. given in the application form and given in the land documents does not match. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Margdarshan Sansthan Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Mamvendra Pratap Singh, Secretary, Margdarshan Sansthan Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Sarguja, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in Chhattisgarh transfer of land is done under Section 109 and 110 of the Chhattisgarh Transfer of Property Act and the land at Khasra Nos. 60/23 and 74/6 has been registered in the name of institution vide sale transfer deed no. 70 / 22.3.94. In the course of presentation the appellant informed that the original land document has been hypothecated to Allahabad Bank, Ambikapur for obtaining loan for construction of building.

AND WHEREAS the Council deferred its decision on that day for want of some documents from WRC. It again considered the case on 25.06.10. The Council noted that according to clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009, at the time of application the land free from all encumbrances should be either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government Institution for a period of not less than 30 years. Since in the present case the land has been mortgaged it is not free from all encumbrances. In the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC was justified in rejecting the application for grant of recognition for the B.Ed course and therefore the appeal deserves to be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it

should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 25-03-2010 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Margdarshan Sansthan Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Plot/Khasra No. 60/23, 74/6, 50/3, Ambikapur, Sarguja - 497001, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89- 756/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Millennium Teacher Training Institute, Gulbarga Dist., Karnataka dated 11/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRC/NCTE/2009/D.Ed/REF/14120 dated 25/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "1) The Building plan was approved for construction of school building. For notice reply, the management has submitted unapproved existing building plan for D.Ed course. It is not acceptable. The management has submitted the certificate of shifting school after conducting of inspection of proposed course. As per VT report, the management is running school in the same building. The built up space 11,978 is not adequate for both school and proposed D.Ed course. 2) No exclusive land available for D.Ed course as per clause 8(7) of Regulation of NCTE, 2007. 3) The 1st floor of the building is under construction as per front view photograph and VCD submitted by the management. 4) The available books, equipments of labs. are not adequate as per vouchers submitted. 5) The furniture is not adequate in class rooms and hall as per notice reply and enclosure. 6) The completed building 5989 sq.ft. of the built up space is constructed for school and not for proposed D.Ed course."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Millennium Teacher Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/07/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Millennium Teacher Training Institute, Gulbarga Dist., Karnataka was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 15-09-09, 2-10-09, 28-10-09 and also on 14-01-10 by giving a special opportunity, but nobody appeared before the Council on all the occasions. So, the Council decided to dispose the appeal on the basis of the written submission made by the appellant alongwith the appeal and the documents available in the original file of the regional office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from VTR dt. 13.03.2009 that the society was in possession of 17,400 Sq. ft. of land and it was running a primary school and D.Ed. course earmarking the built-up area of 3913 Sq. ft. and 7287 Sq. ft. respectively. It also noted that the building plan for the D.Ed. college approved by corporation of the city, Gulbarga on 13-11-07 indicated a total built-up area of 5989 Sq. ft. only and this is highly inadequate as per norms. Further the appellant vide his letter dated 29-04-09 requested the Commissioner, Department of Public Instructions, Gulbarga, for permission to shift the school from Swamy Vivekanand Nagar to Ambaraya Jharnappa Pasti building at plot No.66 & 67 by enclosing a demand draft of

Rs.30,000 but it has to be noted here that the appellant enclosed alongwith this letter dated 29-04-09, a demand draft dated 2nd May, 2009. This letter itself confirms that the appellant had been running school and D.Ed. college in the same building till 2nd May 2009 and this was not permissible as per norms. The Council therefore came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected, as the appellant was having inadequate infrastructure for conducting the D.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 25-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent / Secretary , Millennium Teacher Training Institute , Swamy Vivekananda Nagar, Santhosh Colony, , Gulbarga Dist. - 585103, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson

F.No.89-492/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rehman College of Education, Dhanbad, Jharkhand dated 23/06/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-94.8.67/2009/16195(9) dated 25/04/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "1) Building Plan submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. 2) The institution requires 2 month time for completion of the construction work of the building. Therefore, building construction is incomplete. The institution is required to submit building completion certificate after the satisfactory completion of construction work of the building. 3) The institution is also required to submit registered certified copy of ownership documents which have not been submitted as per Regulation 8(8) & 8(7). the land should be in the name of society/institution not in the individual name. 4) Fixed Deposit Receipt of Rs. 5.00 lakhs (in original) not submitted as Endowment Fund. 5) Fixed Deposit Receipt of Rs. 3.00 lakhs (in original) not submitted as Reserve Fund. 6) Enclosure of Col. 5 of affidavit has not been submitted".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rehman College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/06/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS the Rehman College of Education, Dhanbad, Jharkhand was asked to present the case on 23-07-09, 19-08-09,14-09-09 and also on 14-01-10 by giving a special opportunity , but nobody appeared before the Council on all the occasions. So, the Council decided to dispose the appeal on the basis of the written submission made by the appellant alongwith the appeal and the documents available in the original file of the regional office.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the written submission made by the appellant alongwith the appeal memorandum that it had applied to the appropriate authority for obtaining a minority status certificate; the building is 45 years old and situated in rural area and at that time there was no provision for sanction of building plan and also issue of building completion certificate; the appellant would deposit FDRs of Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh as and when the NCTE gives direction. This indicates that the appellant did not submit minority status certificate, building plan, building completion certificate and FDRs of Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh. It further noted that as per the sale deed dated 24-07-04, the land was in the name of an individual and this was not acceptable as per norms. In view of the above the

Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the ERC had rejected the case on valid grounds.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and ERC's order dated 25-04-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Rehman College of Education, Village - Taldanga Masjid Road, P.O. Chirkunda, Tehsil - Chirkunda, District, Dhanbad - 828202, Jharkhand**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

F.No.89-1214/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/07/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Tagore College of Education, Distt. Karnal, Haryana dated 08/10/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F73/HR-923/2009 dated 23/04/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU is not issued by the competent authority on the prescribed format. 2) In response to our letter, the State Government recommendation is negative."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Tagore College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/10/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Balbir Singh, President, Tagore College of Education, Distt. Karnal, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 29.10.09 and sought postponement of the hearing as he could not obtain certificate from the Town Planner, who was on leave due to death on one of his relative.

AND WHEREAS the appellant vide his letter dt. 11.03.10 and 17.05.10 requested for some time for obtaining CLU. This was brought the notice of the Council on 25.06.10, and the Council suggested that extension of time can not be granted and institution may be asked to submit the CLU issued by District Town Planner on or before 5th July'10, failing which the decision will be taken on the appeal. Accordingly a letter was sent to the institution by fax on 28.06.10. The appellant vide his letter dt. 29.06.10 forwarded copy of the CLU document dt. 28.06.10 issued by the office of Senior Town Planner Panchkula stating that the 1.81 acre of land at Kh. No. 24/10/2/1 (6-13), 24/2/2/2 (1-3) and 24/9/1 (6-14) does not fall under the controlled area. It however noted that the Kh. Nos. mentioned in the document issued by District Town Planner are different to that of mentioned in the land document "Hibbanama" executed on 21.02.06. So, the CLU obtained was for a different piece of land. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 23-04-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Tagore College of Education, Amarharh Road, Sandhir, Tehsil - Nilokheri,, Distt. Karnal - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.