

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amarnath College of Education, Karaikal, Pondicherry dated 18/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2009-10/14244 dated 05/01/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) For Amarnath College of Education, Karaikal, the Geographical Jurisdictional University, is Pondicherry University. 2) As per recognition order dated 02.08.2007, granted to Amarnath College of Education, Karaikal, the affiliating University is Pondicherry University. 3) In contravention of the laid down procedure for affiliation of the colleges under the University, as per the jurisdiction determined by the Union Territory of Pondicherry, the Amarnath College has got affiliated to Prist University, a Deemed University in Tamilnadu. 4) Deemed University vide their reply/letter dated 17.09.2009, the Management has failed to submit the proof in respect of powers of PRIST University that the latter can affiliate the institutions located in other states. 5) The institution has violated the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulation, Standard and Norms to the extent indicated above."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amarnath College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 27/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. A. Dhanavathy Amman, Secretary and Dr. A. Rajan, Principal, Amarnath College of Education, Karaikal, Pondicherry presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant's institution was granted recognition for M.Ed. course vide SRC's order dated 02-08-07 and in the order the SRC did not categorically specify that the affiliating university is Pondicherry University. The appellant tried to get affiliation from Pondicherry University and since there was delay in getting the affiliation from Pondicherry University, it approached Prist University, a Deemed University for conducting the said course under collaboration programme Distance Education under Section 3 of 1956 of UGC Act 1956 and entered into Memorandum of Understanding with Prist University to conduct M.Ed. programme. This had been done to safeguard the interest of the already admitted students in anticipation of the affiliation from Pondicherry University. As such the Prist University has the power to operate beyond its approved geographical boundaries and start off-campus centres/off-share campuses.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that SRC vide its Order dated 02-08-07 granted recognition for M.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 25 students and a copy of this order was sent to the Registrar, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry. The University vide its letter dated 30-07-07 approved the staff of the institution. It applied to the Pondicherry University for the affiliation, for the academic year 2007-08. The

University vide its letter dated 18-05-07 asked the institution to submit certain documents before 03-01-07, failing which it may apply afresh from 1st Oct 2007 for the academic session 2008-09. The institution admitted students in an illegal manner, without awaiting affiliation from the Pondicherry University and this was a clear violation of the provisions of regulations as contained in the order issued by SRC. Despite corresponding with the Pondicherry University for grant of affiliation, it suddenly took a re-course and got affiliated to Prist University (Deemed University) as off-shore centre, even though the institution does not come under the territorial jurisdiction of the Prist University. Further the appellant did not submit any proof with regard to the powers of the Prist University, to affiliate institutions located in other states. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the SRC had withdrawn the recognition on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 05-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Amarnath College of Education, Kallikuppam, Thiruvettakudy, Kotucherry Commune,, Karaikal - 609609, Pondicherry**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Pondicherry, Pondicherry.

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Babu Banarsi Das College of Teachers, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-753/153rd Meeting/2009/14558 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The applicant institution has not submitted representation to the NRC, NCTE, Jaipur."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Babu Banarsi Das College of Teachers (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Anil Kumar Agarwal, Management Representative, Babu Banarsi Das College of Teachers, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was inspected u/s 13 of the NCTE Act, on 30-07-06 and on the basis of this report the NCTE Hqs vide its letter dated 13-12-06 pointing out certain deficiencies, informed the institution to submit its reply. In response to this the institution submitted its reply on 06-05-08. Thereafter it had not received any show cause notice either from the NCTE Hqs or from the NRC and hence the appellant was not given any opportunity by the NRC for making a written representation. Further the inspection report dated 13-09-06 referred by the NRC for issuing show cause notice u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, did not pertain to the institution, as it was actually got inspected on 30-07-06.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that NCTE Hqs. vide its letter dated 13-12-06 communicated the deficiencies/observations made by the inspection team in its report dated 30-07-06, whereas the NRC issued show cause notice u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, referring some other inspection report dated 13-09-06. This notice was apparently not received by the institution, as per the submission made by the appellant during the course of hearing of the appeal. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that the case be remanded to the NRC, for issuance of show cause notice, citing the right inspection report and observations noted therein and for further processing thereafter as per Regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that the case be remanded to the NRC, for issuance of show cause notice, citing the right inspection report and observations noted therein and for further processing thereafter as per Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Babu Banarsi Das College of Teachers, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Babu Banarsi Das College of Teachers, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gopal Das Memorial Educational Institute, Agra, Uttar Pradesh dated 28/01/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP50/2009/13599 dated 17/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "The institution not submitted following documents:-- 1) Application in triplicate on the prescribed format. 2) Processing fee as provided under Rule 9 of the NCTE Rules 1997 as amended from time to time. 3) FDR of Rs. 5 lac and Rs. 3 Lac 4) Certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority. 5) Approved building plan by the competent civil authority. 6) Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate issued by the competent authority. 7) Affidavit on the prescribed format on Rs. 100/- stamp paper duly attested by Oath Commissioner or Notary Public stating the location of land, the total area in possession, the permission of the competent authority to use the land for educational purposes and mode of possession be ownership or lease."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Gopal Das Memorial Educational Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Vinod Bansal, Secretary and Shri. Brij Mohan Sharma, Gopal Das Memorial Educational Institute, Agra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was stated that the appellant submitted to the NRC, DD of Rs.40,000/- bearing No.500354 dated 24-9-09 towards the processing fee and photocopies of FDRs towards Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh; the appellant possessed land as per norms of the NCTE and the details of the land had been filled in the application form; building plan and land use certificate are approved/issued by the competent authority. The affidavit in the prescribed form on Rs.100/-stamp duly attested by the notary about the area of land in possession and ownership is enclosed with the appeal. It was also submitted that the NRC did not give any notice to comply with the deficiency that had been noted from the application form, before rejecting the application.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant submitted a single copy of the application as against three copies as required and the photocopies of the FDRs towards Rs. 3 lakh and Rs. 5 lakh. He has not submitted certified copy of the registered land document, CLU and building plan approved by the competent civic authority, affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper and original FDRs. It further perused the documents submitted in the appeal and noted that affidavit on Rs.100/-stamp paper was made on 04-01-2010, after the date of rejection of the application, certificate of land use had not been obtained u/s 143 of Bhoomi Adhinyam Act of

the UP Govt. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 17-12-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Gopal Das Memorial Educational Institute , Nehru Enclave, Shamsabad Road, Chamrauli, Rajpur,, Agra - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 1375/2009 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of IP Post Graduate College, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/12/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1722/149th Meeting/2009/16190 dated 04/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "As per the NCTE norms 1 Professor, 1 Reader and 3 Lecturers are to be appointed. But the Institution has appointed 4 Lecturers. 1 Professor and 1 Reader have not been appointed."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, IP Post Graduate College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 09/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Vipin Garg, Principal and Dr. P.K. Mangalik, Reader, IP Post Graduate College, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that one Head of the Department in Reader Grade and 4 Lecturers i.e. total 5 faculty members got approved from the C.C.S. University, Meerut to teach M.Ed classes in the college at the time of starting the M.Ed course. As per norms of UP University Act 1973 and Govt. orders issued from time to time, there is no provision to appoint Professor and Reader in affiliated colleges. University authorities will also not approve Professor and Reader positions in the colleges. As a matter of fact, in affiliating colleges of UP state and CCS University, M.Ed course is being run in this status only.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that Registrar of Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut vide his letter dated 03/10/2009 stated that as per UP University Act 1973 and Manual of UP Higher Education Service Commission, there was no provision to appoint Professor or Reader in affiliated colleges. The appointment in the colleges can be done in the category of the Lecturer or Principal only. Further the faculty list had been approved by the affiliating university. The institution was issued conditional recognition letter on 02/04/2007, to appoint qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the norms of the NCTE/State Govt./affiliating university, before the commencement of the course as per regulations 13/01/2006. The institution appointed one Head of the Department and 04 lecturers following the due procedure of advertisement, selection of the candidates, obtaining approval of the university for the staff list. It has submitted the documents related to faculty profile to the NRC on 30/04/2007. The NRC in its 141st meeting held on 22-24 May, 2009 decided to refuse recognition and accordingly vide its order dated 21st July, 2009 refused recognition on the ground that the list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date. The appellant challenged the decision of the NRC dated 22 - 24 May, 2009 before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad in Writ Petition no. 36182/2009 and the Court vide its order dated 21/07/2009 directed the

NRC to examine the documents related to faculty appointment and pass a fresh order. The NRC, in compliance of the court order examined the documents and issued a show cause notice on 22/08/2009, with a direction to the institution to submit the documents within 10 days and the institution submitted its reply to the notice on 29/08/2009. After examining the reply, the NRC refused recognition to the institution on the grounds stated at para-1. The council perused the reply dated 29/08/2009 of the institution and noted that the appointed HOD, Dr. Giriraj Kishor was not having requisite teaching experience of 10 years at the post graduate level teacher training institute. He was having teaching experience at B.Ed level, as evident from the experience certificate issued by Shri Varshaney college and Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Agra. Further it was noted that Dr. Sharad Kumar Agrawal presented viva-voce on the thesis towards Ph.D on 21/12/2006 and was awarded Ph.D: Dr. Subhash Chandra was NET qualified as per norms. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal, with direction to the NRC to give another three months time for appointing a qualified HOD with adequate teaching experience as per norms and for further processing thereafter as per Regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 04-02-10 was set aside with direction to the NRC to give another three months time for appointing a qualified HOD with adequate teaching experience as per norms and for further processing thereafter as per Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of IP Post Graduate College, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, IP Post Graduate College, District , Bulandshahr - 203001, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-39/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Krishna Institute of Management, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-858/152 Meeting/2009/14574 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The applicant institution has not submitted representation to the NRC, NCTE, Jaipur."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Krishna Institute of Management (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Jagat Singh, Chairman and Shri Kawal Kumar Aggarwal, Director, Krishna Institute of Management, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B. Ed programme for a basic unit of 100 vide NRC's order dt. 09.06.04. The institution was inspected by a two member team on 29.06.06 and the deficiencies reported by the team were informed through show cause notice on 27.03.09. At the time of inspection the institution was functioning from 361, Probhat Nagar, Meerut, U.P. and thereafter the institute shifted to the own premises located at Kitnoli, Baghpat Road, Meerut. Subsequently it applied for recognition of an additional unit in B. Ed programme in the new premises and the NRC after being satisfied with the availability of the conditions as per NCTE norms, granted additional unit on 17th May'08. NRC issued show cause notice on 27th March'09, after almost an year of granting recognition for an additional unit and also after almost 3 years of inspection report dt. 29.06.06. In response to this notice the appellant submitted its reply on 20th April, 2009. Despite having submitted the reply, the NRC in its meeting held on 11-12th Dec'09 decided to withdraw the recognition on the ground that the reply to the show cause notice has not been received till date. It was also submitted that the NRC opened a new file with No.UP-2640 for the additional intake and the granted recognition, instead of processing the case of additional unit on the same file i.e. file No. UP-858 that had been opened for basic unit of B. Ed of the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC vide its order dt. 09.06.04 granted conditional recognition to the Krishna Institute of Management, 213, Nandan Garden, W.K. Road, Meerut, U.P. Further NRC on 5th Jan'06 issued a show cause notice, for submission of faculty profile, within 21 days. The institution vide its letter dated 23.01.06 submitted its reply mentioning that the selected list of faculty was sent to university for its approval. And on 30.01.06 it submitted the faculty list approved by the affiliating university. It further noted that the NRC vide its letter dated 8th March'08 detailed the institution for an inspection under section 17 of the NCTE Act, between 10-20th March'08. As, there was no such inspection report

available in the file of NRC, it could not be ascertained whether any inspection under section 17 was conducted or not. The NRC on 27th March'09. issued a show cause notice under section 17, on the basis of the inspection report conducted under section 13 of the NCTE Act and subsequently withdrew recognition vide its order dt. 10th Jan'10. The council also failed to ascertain whether the institution shifted to own premises with formal approval of NRC, following the required modalities under norms. It also noted that NRC processed the application of the institution for additional intake, under a different file No. UP-2640, instead of processing the case in the existing the file bearing number UP- 858 that had been opened by the NRC earlier for granting recognition to the basic unit of B. Ed. and finally granted recognition to the institution on 14th May'08. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to the NRC for examination of the case, for basic unit on the basis of their application for additional intake and the VTR conducted in the new building for additional intake, so as to take a comprehensive veiw and issue order accordingly.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside the NRC's order dated 06-01-10 and remand the case to the NRC for examination of the case, for basic unit on the basis of their application for additional intake and the VTR conducted in the new building for additional intake, so as to take a comprehensive veiw and issue order accordingly.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Krishna Institute of Management, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Krishna Institute of Management, Baghpat Road, Kitholi,, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kukreja Institute of Teachers Education, Dehradun, Uttaranchal dated 03/11/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UR-113/2009/5495-15501 dated 22/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the grounds "1) The bills for purchasing science equipments have been submitted but the photocopy of the stock register where the purchased equipments have been entered is not submitted. 2) The bills for purchasing furniture have been submitted but the photocopy of the stock register where the purchased furniture has been entered is not submitted. 3) The faculty is not appointed through duly constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms. 4) Language learning facilities are not available. 5) The number of titles in the library is not as per NCTE norms. 6) The information as required as per NCTE Regulation, 2007 is not available on the college website."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kukreja Institute of Teachers Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/11/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Himanshu Kukreja, Secretary and Dr. Y.S. Rana, Principal, Kukreja Institute of Teachers Education, Dehradun, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant's institution had been conducting B. Ed programme since 2005-06 with a basic unit of 100. Further an additional unit of 100 was sanctioned in 2008. The institution was inspected under section 17 on 18.06.09 and on the basis of this report the NRC issued show cause notice on 03.07.09. The institution submitted its reply on 23.07.09 along with the supporting documents. Despite this submission, the NRC had withdrawn recognition for an additional unit of B. Ed.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from VTR dt. 18.06.09 that library was having 6312 books, ET lab had 30 computers, science lab has adequate facilities and there were 15 faculty members including the principal for two units of B. Ed. It further examined bills presented in proof of procurement of the equipment/ furniture/ books and stock registers and noted that facilities in the science lab, library and language learning. Further faculty had been selected by the duly constituted selection committee, comprising subject expert nominated by the affiliating university. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal with a direction to the NRC for restoration of the recognition, granted to the institution earlier.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the

Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse NRC's order dated 22-01-10 with the direction to the NRC for restoration of the recognition, granted to the institution earlier.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Kukreja Institute of Teachers Education, Dharmpur Chowk, Mata Mandir Road, , Dehradun - , Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.B.D. Mahila Mahavidyalya, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh dated 21/12/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-73/UP-2003/141st Meeting/2009-10581-10587 dated 25/09/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting the B.Ed course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, R.B.D. Mahila Mahavidyalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. B.C. Das, Lecturer and Shri Munendra Singh, Asst., R.B.D. Mahila Mahavidyalya, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was issued conditional recognition on 29.12.06 from the session 2007-08. Incompliance to this, the appellant selected the faculty through duly constituted selection committee on 18.03.07 and submitted the management affidavit, individual faculty member affidavits and attested copies of Ph.D. degree & experience certificates in respect of head of the department, to the NRC on 25.11.08. The NRC, after examining this reply, vide its letter dt. 24.02.09 asked the institution to submit individual affidavits for all the appointed faculty members along with the attested copies of certificates of experience/ qualifications. The appellant again filed its reply on 01.06.09. The appellant vide its letters dt. 31.10.09 and 17.12.09 also brought to the notice of the NRC about its compliance to the condition letter of recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant was issued conditional recognition order on 29.12.06 and the institution in compliance to this order appointed the faculty, following the laid down procedure i.e. after appointing the faculty the appellant filed individual affidavits of the faculty. The Council perused the documents of the faculty profile and noted that the principal and seven lecturers were qualified as per norms. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal for issuance of formal recognition order in continuation of conditional recognition order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed NRC's order dated 25-09-09 with the direction to the NRC for issuance of formal recognition order in continuation of conditional recognition order, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, R.B.D. Mahila Mahavidyalya, Bijnor,, Bijnor - 246701, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ram Doot College of Education, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 21/11/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/F-7/UP-3290/143rd Meeting/2009/10722 dated 25/09/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "As per report of the VT members, the institution did not allow the VT members to inspect the institution."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ram Doot College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 30/11/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Harendra Singh, General Secretary, Ram Doot College of Education, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant vide its letter dt. 27/05/2009 had informed the NRC office not to conduct the inspection of the appellant institution for the next six months. In spite of the request for postponement of the inspection, the NRC vide its letter dt. 02/06/2009 detailed the institution for inspection. Therefore, the appellant again requested the NRC vide its letter dt.14.06.2009 not to conduct the inspection. But, the NRC office did not pay any heed to the request of the appellant.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that appellant submitted an application in the name of Ram Doot College of Education on 14.10.08 for B. Ed programme from the session 2007-08. The institution was issued letter of intent for prior to inspection on 04.05.09 and the institution vide its letter dt. 25.05.09 gave its consent for inspection. Accordingly NRC detailed a team for causing inspection of the institution on 22.06.09. When the team visited the institution the management informed the team that it did not want the inspection to be conducted. The team further stated that the building of the college was still to take shape and this was further strengthened by a letter dt. 22.06.09 of Gram Panchayat office that the construction of the building was not completed and it may take another six months for its completion. It was also noted that the appellant gave its consent for inspection on 25.05.09; subsequent to this consent, the trust in its meeting held on 27.05.09 resolved that **the consent letter for inspection which was sent on 25.05.09 to NCTE be treated as cancelled for six months on the pretext that in U.P. the admissions for the session 2008-09 were not completed, hence the session 2009-10 would be late by an year and it may be possible that the session 2009-10 would be declared as "zero" session by the Govt.** The grounds for seeking postponement of the inspection by another six months are not valid as the institution cannot itself predict the out come of the inspection and grant of recognition from which session and resort to postponement of the inspection. The real cause for the postponement was incomplete construction of the

building. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 25-09-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Ram Doot College of Education, VPO- Randevi,, Saharanpur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1125/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Shahdev Pohariya Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Ballia Dist., Uttar Pradesh dated 22/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/ NCTE/F-7/UP-3147/147th Meeting/2009/8552-8558 dated 20/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies with regard to labs, computers, library titles and building completion certificate still exist".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Shahdev Pohariya Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Ghura Ram, Secretary and Shri Somuoonath, Member, Shri Shahdev Pohariya Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Ballia Dist., Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the refusal order did not specify as to which type of deficiency still existed. It was further submitted that the institution was having 10 computers earlier and after the inspection purchased 10 more computers; the library was having 3000 books and the labs were fully equipped as per norms; at the time of inspection itself, the building of the institution was complete and this may be verified from the building completion certificate. It was also submitted that the appellant was having two separate building blocks i.e. Block A and Block B. For the B. Ed course it allocated ground and first floors of Block A, measuring 1204 sq. mt. in area, but the principal room and office room located in Block 'B' were common for both B.Sc. and B. Ed courses.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was refused recognition vide NRC's order dt. 22.11.09 and it preferred an appeal. The Appellate Authority vide its order dt. 26.11.09 rejected the appeal and confirmed the refusal order dt. 20.08.09 of the NRC on the grounds that the building plan furnished by institution was in respect of a 3-storey building, while the completion certificate indicated that only two floors were completed. The completion certificate did not indicate the total built-up area available. During the presentation the appellant also admitted that only two floors were complete and the 3rd floor was under construction, which would be completed shortly. The Council also noted that the VT report dt 24.06.09 was negative and it indicated that the institution was having inadequate facilities.

AND WHEREAS aggrieved by the appellate order the appellant filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and the Court vide its judgment dt. 22.12.09 disposed the petition with the direction to the NCTE, to pass fresh orders on the appeal of the petitioner, after taking into consideration the material placed

on record. In compliance of the court direction the case was again listed for personal hearing on 15.03.10. The Council further examined the documents submitted with the appeal, as well as that are available in the NRC's file and the ones presented during the course of second hearing. It noted that (a) the institution was inspected on 24.06.09 and the report mentioned that E.T. lab was having only 02 computers, science lab did not have multiple sets of apparatus and chemicals, there were no proper rooms for psychology lab and language learning lab, library did not have encyclopedia, educational journals/ periodicals; (b) that the building plan submitted to the NRC did not mention Khasra No/Survey No. and indicated the total built-up area as 2258.67 sq. mt. in two building blocks i.e. Block A & Block B. where as the plan annexed with the second appeal mentioned the Arazi No. 283 and the total built-up area in Block A & Block B as 2523.02 sq. mt. As per the submission made by the appellant Block A was with 3 floors having a built-up area of (602.87+602.87+342.24 sq. mt.) 1547.98 sq. mt. and Block 'B' was with 2 floors having a built-up area of (487.43 sq. mt. 487.43 sq. mt.) 974.86 sq. mt. The building completion certificate dt. 20.09.09 issued by Gram Panchayat office did not specify the total area of the constructed building, it just mentioned construction of the building had been completed. Another completion certificate dt. NIL issued by Pradhan of Gram Panchayat office stated that the construction of the building was completed on 22.07.08. Both the certificates did not specify Kh. No. total area and built-up area, so as to ascertain where exactly the building was constructed and what was the area and in this context the building completion certificates though issued by competent civic authority, could not be considered as complete document due to the lacuna noted as above. Yet another completion certificate dt. NIL issued by Shri Ganeshi Associate stated that Ground and first floor of the building construction for the B. Ed course had been completed, even this certificate did not specify the total constructed area and was not an authentic one as it was issued by a private agency. However, this certificate mentioned that only 2 floors had been completed as against the proposed 3 storey plan. As per the submission made by the appellant Block 'A' was ear marked for B. Ed and the built-up area available on the ground & first floor was only 1204 sq. mt. and when the Council pointed that this area was inadequate as against the requirement of 1500 sq. mt., he immediately stated that principal room, office room located in block 'B' is on sharing basis with B. Sc programme. This was not permissible as per norms as the institution should have at least 2500 sq. mt of land where upon 1500 sq. mt. of constructed area was required. (c) further the first three bills dt. 08.08.09 submitted in proof of procurement of equipment revealed that the equipment had been added after the date of inspection. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the NRC had refused the recognition on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and the NRC's order dated 20-08-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Shri Shahdev Pohariya Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Manda, Tehsil-Rasra, Ballia Dist. - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sonali Public Shiksha Samiti's Sonali College, Guna, Madhya Pradesh dated 19/02/2008 is against the Order No. WRC/APW03870/222198(Co.Ed)/101st/2007/29354 dated 13/02/2008 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed(Co.Ed) course on the ground "Two courses cannot be granted at a time i.e. during the same academic session."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sonali Public Shiksha Samiti's Sonali College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/02/2008 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Navneet Lamba, President and Shri Parmal Singh, Member, Sonali Public Shiksha Samiti's Sonali College, Guna, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant was filed in the NCTE Hqrs on 21.02.08 against the WRC order dt. 13.02.08 refusing recognition for the conduct of D. Ed course. The said appeal was considered by the Council on 24.07.08 and it was rejected vide Appellate order dt. 19.08.08. Aggrieved by the rejection of the appeal and contesting that refusal ground stated in WRC order was not applicable to the appellant, as it submitted applications for both B. Ed & D. Ed course on 20.10.06 and hence regulations 2007 are not applicable, the appellant filed a writ petition before Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur and the court vide its order dt. 06.01.10 directed the NCTE to re-decide the appeal of the petitioner by assigning the reasons on its own merits. So, the appellant made a representation in the form of appeal vide its letter dt. 13.03.10 stating that "(i) The inspection team was satisfied with facilities available for both course i.e B. Ed & D. Ed. and made positive recommendation in its report. (ii) Regulation 2007 is not applicable in the case of the appellant and hence the refusal ground of WRC is invalid. (iii) 18000 sq. ft. building is constructed only for B. Ed". Accordingly the case was again placed before the Council on 15.03.10.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appeal was rejected vide Appellate order dt. 19.08.08 assigning the reasons " *(i) in the application submitted for recogniton for DT Ed course, no indication regarding the application for B Ed course was given, (ii) the building plan submitted was for Sonali Public School and not for the proposed Sonali College., (iii) In three separate affidavits dated 22.12.06, 15.2.07 and 14.8.07 by the institution, the constructed area indicated was 111905 Sq. ft, 40146 Sq. ft and 3329 Sq. Mtrs, respectively and (iv) the VT Report indicated an area of 10,100 Sq. ft. The Council thus observed that the institution was furnishing different figures of available built up area at different point of time and thus a clear picture regarding the actual built up area was not available. Further, as per Regulation 8 (2)*

of the existing NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure), 2007, in the first instance an institution shall be considered for grant of recognition for only one course for the basic unit and therefore, there is no justification for allowing the appeal." Even if the claim of the institution that the clause 8(2) of the Regulation 2007 is not applicable, as it submitted the application prior to the notification of Regulations 2007, is acceptable, then also it was not eligible for grant of recognition to D. Ed course as specified in para 3 of the Appellate order dt. 19.08.08.

AND WHEREAS the Council further considered the case in compliance to the direction of the Court. It perused the documents submitted with the initial appeal, and also the ones submitted on 13.03.10, along with the second appeal and the documents available in the NRC's file. It noted that- (i) The building plan submitted with NRC was for Sonali Public School, whereas the copy of the building plan submitted on 13.03.10 with the NCTE Hqrs was for Sonali Degree College and this plan print is very faint and the area details shown in the map are illegible. Further to say that this plan is also for a Sonali Degree College but not for Sonali College of Education proposed by the appellant for conducting D.Ed programme. Also the institution did not submit building completion certificate in proof of availability of 18000 sq. ft. of built-up area as stated vide its letter dt. 13.03.10, for the proposed programme. (ii) The appellant has been mentioning various area dimensions, in the affidavits/ letters filed before the NCTE at different times. To illustrate this, the affidavits dated 22.12.06, 15.02.07 and 14.08.07 mentioned the constructed area as 111,905 sq, ft, 40146 sq. ft. and 3329 sq. mt. respectively. Further another letter dt. 13.03.10 mentioned yet another area dimension i.e. 18,000 sq. ft. The appellant is actually not having a separate built up area and he has been mentioning different area and indiscriminately filing the affidavits with different areas. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 13-02-08 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Sonali Public Shiksha Samiti's Sonali College , Dubey Colony,, Guna - 473001, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Kanakadas D.Ed College (Sri Kalidas Education Society), Bagalkot, Karnataka dated 10/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/D.Ed/2009-2010/14895 dated 30/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "1) The building of D.Ed college is not yet constructed. It is under construction. 2) The management has shown Beerashwar High School building with built up space of 2600 sq.ft for proposed D.Ed college. It is not as per regulations of NCTE. 3) The management has not procured books and equipments and also has not established Library, Science, Psychology, E.T. Labs as required for D.Ed course. 4) No exclusive infrastructural & instructional facilities for proposed D.Ed course. 5) As per regulation para 8(10) of NCTE Act 2007, the building of the institution shall be complete in the form of a permanent structure. No temporary structure/ asbestos roofing shall be allowed to establish D.Ed college."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sri Kanakadas D.Ed College (Sri Kalidas Education Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri S.A. Jiddi, President, Sri Kanakadas D.Ed College (Sri Kalidas Education Society), Bagalkot, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that construction of a separate building with a built-up area of 12,711 sq. ft. was completed when the inspection team of SRC visited the institution on 14.03.09; The Beerashwar High School was being run in another separate building; The institution purchased 2000 books for the library and also adequately equipped the labs. The institution was having exclusive infrastructural and instructional facilities for the D.Ed programme.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was got inspected by the SRC on 14.03.09 and on the basis of this report it issued show cause notice to the institution inter alia mentioning that building of D. Ed college is not yet constructed and the management showed the inspection team, the building of Beerashwar High School. The institution submitted its reply on 25.04.09 mentioning that D. Ed college building would be completed within a month and for time being some portion of the High School building had been in use. It also filed another reply on 30.04.09 stating that at the time of inspection, finishing and white washing of the building was in pending and now the building of D. Ed college had been completed. The SRC after considering this reply decided to cause re-inspection of the institution. Accordingly SRC vide its letter dt. 02.06.09 asked the institution to submit re-inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/- within a week, for causing re-inspection of the institution as per regulations.

The appellant on 17.06.09 in response to this letter submitted a D.D. of Rs. 40,000/- and requested them to send a team. Subsequently the SRC detailed a team for re-inspection of the institution on 09.07.09. The visiting team reported that the president of the society requested through fax for some more time to complete the building. Surprisingly the president of the society vide his letter dt. 29.06.09, requested the SRC, for giving near about one month time for completion of the construction of the building. The contents of this letter were in contrary to earlier letters dt. 30.04.09 and 17.06.09 whereby it was clearly stated that the building construction was completed and requested for re-inspection. Further the building completion certificate dt. 28.05.09 issued by Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD Bilagi was not reliable, whereby it was certified that Shri Kanakdas D. Ed college building was constructed in the year 2008-09 itself, with a built-up area of 12,711 sq. ft., where the appellant himself, in 29.06.09 requested for one month time for completion of the building. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the SRC had refused the recognition on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 30-07-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Sri Kanakadas D.Ed College (Sri Kalidas Education Society), Bilagi, Bagalkot,, Bagalkot - , Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of College of Innovative Management & Science, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 29/01/2010 is against the Minutes of 155th meeting of NRC held on 23rd to 25th January, 2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiencies communicated to the institution through letter dated 16.12.2009 still exists."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, College of Innovative Management & Science (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 01/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Prof. Anurag Singh, Dy. Director and Mr. D.R. Bansal, Patron, College of Innovative Management & Science, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC on 16.12.09 issued letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulation 2007 and the appellant in compliance with this letter, selected the faculty through the selection committee constituted by the affiliating university and informed the same to the NRC on 06.01.10 and hosted the details of the institution on the web-site. It was also submitted that the land was allotted by the State Govt. (Lucknow Development Authority) on 99 year lease to the Human & Educational research society for educational purpose. Authority (LDA) had sanctioned the Map of building in the name of college of innovative Management in Science.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC issued 7(9) on 16.12.09. The institution in compliance to this letter submitted its reply along with the affidavits of the management, individual faculty member qualification and experience certificates of the faculty, letter of the affiliating university nominating the subject expert, selection committee minutes, land documents, etc. The reply of the institution was considered by the NRC in its meeting held on 23rd to 25th January 2010, but the NRC without specifying as to which of the deficiencies still existed, has just refused the recognition on the ground that deficiencies communicated vide letter dt. 16.12.09 still existed. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for re-examination of the reply dt. 06.01.10 submitted by the institution, and for issuing a speaking order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 16.12.09 and remand the case to NRC for re-

examination of the reply dt. 06.01.10 submitted by the institution, and for issuing a speaking order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of College of Innovative Management & Science, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Seretary, College of Innovative Management & Science, Post- Gomti Nagar, Hers Educational Campus, Vipul Khanda-6,, Lucknow - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-64/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Desh Deepak Adarsh Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 28/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3408/151 Meeting/2009/13106 dated 04/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "As per Norms & Standards for M.Ed, only institutions running B.Ed programme are eligible to offer M.Ed course except University, Departments which may not be directly running a B.Ed programme. Thus, the Council decides not to process M.Ed file of this institutions as per above cited rule."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Desh Deepak Adarsh Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Dharendra Kumar Upadhyay, A.O. and Dr. Gopal Shukla, Member, Desh Deepak Adarsh Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant college was already running B.Ed course since 2004-05 after obtaining recognition from the NRC itself. Thus the rejection of the case of appellant college on the ground that the institution had not been not running B.Ed course was wholly unfounded, as the appellant had already mentioned, about this fact, in the application for M.Ed course recognition. And in any case, no such objection was ever raised by the Regional Committee, otherwise the petitioner college would have clarified the correct position.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that NRC vide its order dt. 22.09.04 granted recognition for B. Ed course with an intake of 100 students. After conducting three succession academic sessions of B. Ed programme, it submitted an application on 27.10.09 for another programme i.e. M. Ed. under section 15 of the NCTE Act. The Council perused B. Ed. course results of the institution for the years 2006, 2007 & 2008. The appellant also mentioned in the application about the running B. Ed course. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for further processing on merit, as per regulations, as the institution was already running B. Ed programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 04.12.09 and remand the case to NRC for further processing on merit, as per regulations, as the institution was already running B. Ed programme.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Desh Deepak Adarsh Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Desh Deepak Adarsh Mahavidyalaya, Tendu Mafi, Bikapur,, Faizabad - 224204, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of J.P. Institute of Education and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 27/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1407/153 Meeting/2009/14565 dated 05/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The applicant institution has not submitted representation to the NRC, NCTE."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, J.P. Institute of Education and Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 29/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Hariom Agarwal, President and Shri Nitesh Kumar, Office Supott. , J.P. Institute of Education and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant's institution was granted recognition vide NRC's order dt. 05.08.04. And the NRC had withdrawn the recognition of the institution, on the ground that the institution did not submit representation against the NRC's letter dt. 09.03.05 and 05.01.06. The fact was that it did not receive the quoted letters of withdrawal ground.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that NRC granted recognition to the institution on 05.08.04. After granting the recognition, NRC vide its letter dt. 9th March'05 asked the institution the details of the faculty profile as a matter of post recognition compliance by the recognized teacher education institutions. When the NRC did not receive any reply, it issued show cause notice on 05.01.06 asking the institution to make a representation within 21 days and withdrew the recognition on 06.01.10 after almost four years of the issue of the show cause notice. There was a huge gap between the show cause notice and withdrawal orders. It also examined the faculty profile documents presented before the Council and noted that the faculty had not been selected involving the subject expert nominated by the affiliating body. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for giving another 3 months time for appointing the faculty as per norms, selected by the selection committee constituted by the affiliating body.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 05.01.10 and remand the case to NRC for giving another 3 months time for appointing the faculty, as per norms selected by the selection committee constituted by the affiliating body and for further processing thereafter as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of J.P. Institute of Education and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, J.P. Institute of Education and Technology, Mawana Road, Rajpura,, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rameshwari Teacher Training Institute, Distt. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh dated 23/01/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/Acad./Misc/2009/14420 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "The applicant institutions shall ensure on-line submission of applications complete in all respects along with hard copy of the application."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rameshwari Teacher Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 27/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Usha Sharma, Director, Rameshwari Teacher Training Institute, Distt. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution is situated in Hilly area. The appellant tried to submit the application on line for M. Ed course, but the server was down at that time. Hence, hard copy of the application was submitted by post well in time. BSNL office at Bhuntor vide letter dt. 10.03.10 certified that broad band connection in the area of Bajura and Sara Bhaie was got interrupted during the period Sep & Oct' 09..

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that as per regulations 2009, it is mandatory to submit an online application for grant of recognition to the Regional offices within the stipulated period. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's letter order dated 06-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Rameshwari Teacher Training Institute, H.P.S.E.B. Colony, Sharabai, P.O. Bhuntar, Distt. Kullu - 175125, Himachal Pradesh**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

F.No.89-68/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sahayog Shikshan Evam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 28/01/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP116/2009/14188 dated 23/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "1) Application in triplicate on the prescribed format not submitted. 2) Certified copy of the registered land documents issued by the competent authority not submitted. 3) Approved building plan by the competent civil authority not submitted. 4) Noterized copy of change of CLU issued by the competent authority not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sahayog Shikshan Evam Samaj Kalyan Samiti (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 29/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Yeshveer Singh Tomar, Treasurer, Sahayog Shikshan Evam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant submitted the application in triplicate, on the prescribed format; registered land document was certified by the office of the Dy. Registrar, Revenue, Baraut; the plan is approved by the vallage panchayat, as the proposed institution's building in situated in a village. It also submitted to the NRC Khatauni document of land mentioning the land use.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant submitted the application in triplicate, one of the copies is tagged with the file of the NRC and the other two copies are placed below the file, in the file board; it submitted a photocopy of the registered sale deed of the land to the NRC and in the appeal, it submitted a certified copy of the registered land document; building plan was approved by the village punchayat office, who is a competent authority; the Khatauni document though mentioned the land has been diverted from agricultural use still the appellant needed to submit the CLU, under section 143 in the prescribed format of UP Govt. by the time of inspection. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal, to be remanded to NRC for causing inspection of the institution and for further processing thereafter as per regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 23-12-09 and remand the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the availability of infrastructure and

instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within 30 days from the date of issue of this order and for further processing thereafter as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sahayog Shikshan Evam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Seretary, Sahayog Shikshan Evam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, H.No. 20/261, Subhash Nagar, Baoli Road, Baraut,, Baghpat - 250611, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 77/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Durg, Chhatisgarh dated 30/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5656 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfil the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Atul Kumar, Secretary and Ms. Amita Saxena, Dean Admin, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Durg, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the trust was in possession of required land for the institution on the date of application. The land documents of Khasra No. 63/2, 63/2, 63/5, 257/1, 257/2 & 257/3 for an area of 32845.5 sq.mts, (3.2835 Hect) as mentioned in the application are in the name of the trust " Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust". The land was registered at Sub Registrar Office on 10/08/2007 & on 02/04/2005, thus land was in the name of the trust on the date of application. Revenue book no. 0653737 & Diversion certificate as issued by C.G. Govt (Nayab Tehsildar Dhamdha Distt. Durg) is also in the name of trust. The total land for the proposed institution earmarked is 32860 sq.mt against requirement of 32400 sq.mts as per norms.

AND WHEREAS the Council made the following observations
A) It noted that the trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" has already been running a number of teacher training programmes with the recognition of the NCTE in the name of (i) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute Kumhari (D.Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 09.08.05. (ii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (iii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 28.08.08. (iv) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (v) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Kumhari (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 23.11.04. (vi) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Rawatpura Dham (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 03.09.08.

B) The trust further on 31.10.09, submitted four more applications for recognition of teacher training programmes to the WRC in the name of (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha**

(Village), Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh.

C) The trust has now proposed to run four of the above colleges in the villages namely Pacheda and Chourha. None of these institutions possessed the land as required under norms. (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application the availability of 32,860 sq. mts of land at plot. No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, 63/2, 63/3, 63/5, in Village Chourha and the land documents submitted were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaji for the land at plot Nos 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 and Dr. Ravindra Bharadwaj for the land at plot No. 63/2, 63/3. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant in the application stated the availability of 20,234 sq. mts of land at the plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 in village Chourha and the land documents were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj. The appellant also allocated the same piece of land to **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. First of all having land in the name of an individual and secondly allocating the same piece of land in favour of two institutions is in contravention to the NCTE regulations. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application availability of 4050 sq. mts. of land at plot No./ kh No. 624 in village pacheda and the land document was in favour of Shri Ravi Shankarji maharaj and moreover the Kh Nos (894, 897, 1099) mentioned in this document are different from the Kh. No. (624) stated in the affidavit, submitted with the application. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned the availability of 4050 sq. mts of land at plot No./ Kh No. 581/2 in the village of Pacheda. The land document submitted in this case also was in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj and it mentioned different Kh. No. 894, 897, 1099. The same land document was also submitted for grant of recognition of another institute **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

AND WHEREAS the land documents submitted in favour of these institutions were not in the name of the trust, rather they are in the name of an individual. A single stretch of land has been split into pieces for the purpose of the showing that the institutions have been situated on different land areas/ plot No. The plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 were shown against the first two institutions proposed at village Choura. This was not acceptable as per norms, **as it amounts to opening of the teacher training programmes adjacent to each other by the same society in the name of separate institutions. The same piece of land cannot be shown against two**

institutions. The regulations clearly stipulate that an institution can apply for only one course in an year for the basic unit. The society is trying to circumvent the regulation by applying for more than one course at the same place in different names and for different courses. This is nothing other than commercialization of teacher education and NCTE is bound to prevent it in terms of its statutory mandate. It also noted the land proposed for these institutions has been bounded by the trust land itself. Taking into consideration the above observations and also to prevent commercialization of teacher education, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Plot no. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, 63/2, 63/3, 5, Chourha, Kumhari, Durg - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Durg, Chhatisgarh dated 30/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5658 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Atul Kumar, Secretary and Ms. Amita Saxena, Dean Admin, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Durg, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the trust was in possession of required land for the institution on the date of application. The land documents of Khasra No. 257/1, 257/2 & 257/3 for an area of 6636.844 sq.mts (0.663 Hect.) as mentioned in the application is in the name of the trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust". The land was registered at Sub Registrar Office on 10/08/2007 & on 02/04/2005, revenue book no. 0653737 as issued by C.G. Govt (Nayab Tehsildar Dhamdha Distt. Durg) on 02/09/2007 is also in the name of trust. The total land for the proposed institution earmarked is 4050 sq.mt as against requirement of 2500 sq.mts as per norms. copy of trust resolution dated 20/08/2009 is attached.

AND WHEREAS the Council made the following observations

A) It noted that the trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" has already been running a number of teacher training programmes with the recognition of the NCTE in the name of (i) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute Kumhari (D.Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 09.08.05. (ii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (iii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 28.08.08. (iv) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (v) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Kumhari (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 23.11.04. (vi) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Rawatpura Dham (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 03.09.08.

B) The trust further on 31.10.09, submitted four more applications for recognition of teacher training programmes to the WRC in the name of (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg

(Dist.), Chattisgarh. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh.

C) The trust has now proposed to run four of the above colleges in the villages namely Pacheda and Chourha. None of these institutions possessed the land as required under norms. (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application the availability of 32,860 sq. mts of land at plot. No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, 63/2, 63/3, 63/5, in Village Chourha and the land documents submitted were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaji for the land at plot Nos 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 and Dr. Ravindra Bharadwaj for the land at plot No. 63/2, 63/3. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant in the application stated the availability of 20,234 sq. mts of land at the plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 in village Chourha and the land documents were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj. The appellant also allocated the same piece of land to **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. First of all having land in the name of an individual and secondly allocating the same piece of land in favour of two institutions is in contravention to the NCTE regulations. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application availability of 4050 sq. mts. of land at plot No./ kh No. 624 in village pacheda and the land document was in favour of Shri Ravi Shankarji maharaj and moreover the Kh Nos (894, 897, 1099) mentioned in this document are different from the Kh. No. (624) stated in the affidavit, submitted with the application. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned the availability of 4050 sq. mts of land at plot No./ Kh No. 581/2 in the village of Pacheda. The land document submitted in this case also was in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj and it mentioned different Kh. No. 894, 897, 1099. The same land document was also submitted for grant of recognition of another institute **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

AND WHEREAS the land documents submitted in favour of these institutions were not in the name of the trust, rather they are in the name of an individual. A single stretch of land has been split into pieces for the purpose of the showing that the institutions have been situated on different land areas/ plot No. The plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 were shown against the first two institutions proposed at village Choura. This was not acceptable as per norms, **as it amounts to opening of the teacher training programmes adjacent to each other by the same society in the**

name of separate institutions. The same piece of land cannot be shown against two institutions. The regulations clearly stipulate that an institution can apply for only one course in an year for the basic unit. The society is trying to circumvent the regulation by applying for more than one course at the same place in different names and for different courses. This is nothing other than commercialization of teacher education and NCTE is bound to prevent it in terms of its statutory mandate. It also noted the land proposed for these institutions has been bounded by the trust land itself. Taking into consideration the above observations and also to prevent commercialization of teacher education, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Plot no. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, Chourha, Kumhari, District, Durg - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 - 98/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 30/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5657 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Atul Kumar, Secretary and Ms. Amita Saxena, Dean Admin, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the trust is in possession of required land for the institution. The land documents of survey no. 624 submitted by the institute/trust with application are on the name of the sponsoring trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" having 2.375 Ha / 5.868 Acres / 23746.95 sq.mts. The land document attached is a gift deed to Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust. The donor is Shri Om Prakash Goyal and donee is Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust through its chairman sh. Ravi Shankarji Maharaj. Date of registration for gift deed is 01/01/2005. The Diversion certificate is on the name of trust. The total land for the proposed institution earmarked is 4050 sq.mt against requirement of 2500 sq.mts as per norms. copy of trust resolution is attached. All the paper /documents as per norms are submitted as per instruction.

AND WHEREAS the Council made the following observations

A) It noted that the trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" has already been running a number of teacher training programmes with the recognition of the NCTE in the name of (i) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute Kumhari (D.Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 09.08.05. (ii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (iii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 28.08.08. (iv) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (v) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Kumhari (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 23.11.04. (vi) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Rawatpura Dham (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 03.09.08.

B) The trust further on 31.10.09, submitted four more applications for recognition of teacher training programmes to the WRC in the name of (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar**

Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village), Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh.

C) The trust has now proposed to run four of the above colleges in the villages namely Pacheda and Chourha. None of these institutions possessed the land as required under norms. (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application the availability of 32,860 sq. mts of land at plot. No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, 63/2, 63/3, 63/5, in Village Chourha and the land documents submitted were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaji for the land at plot Nos 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 and Dr. Ravindra Bharadwaj for the land at plot No. 63/2, 63/3. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant in the application stated the availability of 20,234 sq. mts of land at the plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 in village Chourha and the land documents were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj. The appellant also allocated the same piece of land to **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. First of all having land in the name of an individual and secondly allocating the same piece of land in favour of two institutions is in contravention to the NCTE regulations. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application availability of 4050 sq. mts. of land at plot No./ kh No. 624 in village pacheda and the land document was in favour of Shri Ravi Shankarji maharaj and moreover the Kh Nos (894, 897, 1099) mentioned in this document are different from the Kh. No. (624) stated in the affidavit, submitted with the application. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned the availability of 4050 sq. mts of land at plot No./ Kh No. 581/2 in the village of Pacheda. The land document submitted in this case also was in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj and it mentioned different Kh. No. 894, 897, 1099. The same land document was also submitted for grant of recognition of another institute **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

AND WHEREAS the land documents submitted in favour of these institutions were not in the name of the trust, rather they are in the name of an individual. A single stretch of land has been split into pieces for the purpose of the showing that the institutions have been situated on different land areas/ plot No. The plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 were shown against the first two institutions proposed at village Choura. This was not acceptable as per norms, **as it amounts to opening of**

the teacher training programmes adjacent to each other by the same society in the name of separate institutions. The same piece of land cannot be shown against two institutions. The regulations clearly stipulate that an institution can apply for only one course in an year for the basic unit. The society is trying to circumvent the regulation by applying for more than one course at the same place in different names and for different courses. This is nothing other than commercialization of teacher education and NCTE is bound to prevent it in terms of its statutory mandate. It also noted the land proposed for these institutions has been bounded by the trust land itself. Taking into consideration the above observations and also to prevent commercialization of teacher education, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Plot no. 624, Post Office - Kuru, Village/Town - Pacheda, Town/City - Abhanpur, District, Raipur - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 - 78/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 29/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5655 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Atul Kumar, Secretary and Ms. Amita Saxena, Dean Admin, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the trust was in possession of required land for the institution and as per resolution dt. 16.08.2009 passed in the meeting of board of trustees of Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust for start of new D.El.Ed college with an intake of 50, it earmarked 4050 sq.mts/ 1.00077 acres land from Khasra No. 581/2, for proposed D.El.Ed course. The land documents submitted by the Institute/trust with application are in the name of the sponsoring trust i.e. "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" having 0.603 Ha/ 1.4900 Acres / 6034 sq.mts lands. The land is a gift deed to Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust, Raipur (C.G) through its Chairman Anantshri Ravi Shankar Maharajshri. Date of registration for gift deed is 01/01/2005. The Diversion certificate is in the name of trust. The total land for the proposed institution earmarked is 4050 sq.mt as against requirement of 2500 sq.mts as per norms.

AND WHEREAS the Council made the following observations

A) It noted that the trust "Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust" has already been running a number of teacher training programmes with the recognition of the NCTE in the name of (i) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute Kumhari (D.Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 09.08.05. (ii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (iii) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 28.08.08. (iv) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Chitrakoot (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 02.03.08. (v) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Kumhari (B. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 23.11.04. (vi) Shri Rawatpura Sarkar College of Education Rawatpura Dham (D. Ed course) vide WRC's order dt. 03.09.08.

B) The trust further on 31.10.09, submitted four more applications for recognition of teacher training programmes to the WRC in the name of (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**, Kumhari (PO), Dhamdha (Tehsil), Durg (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**, Kuru (PO), Abhanpur (Tehsil), Raipur (Dist.), Chattisgarh.

C) The trust has now proposed to run four of the above colleges in the villages namely Pacheda and Chourha. None of these institutions possessed the land as required under norms. (i) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application the availability of 32,860 sq. mts of land at plot. No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3, 63/2, 63/3, 63/5, in Village Chourha and the land documents submitted were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj for the land at plot Nos 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 and Dr. Ravindra Bharadwaj for the land at plot No. 63/2, 63/3. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (ii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (D.El.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. The appellant in the application stated the availability of 20,234 sq. mts of land at the plot No./ Kh. No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 in village Chourha and the land documents were in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj. The appellant also allocated the same piece of land to **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute (B.P.Ed course) at Chourha (Village)**. First of all having land in the name of an individual and secondly allocating the same piece of land in favour of two institutions is in contravention to the NCTE regulations. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iii) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned in the application availability of 4050 sq. mts. of land at plot No./ kh No. 624 in village pacheda and the land document was in favour of Shri Ravi Shankarji maharaj and moreover the Kh Nos (894, 897, 1099) mentioned in this document are different from the Kh. No. (624) stated in the affidavit, submitted with the application. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009. (iv) **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (D.El.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. The appellant mentioned the availability of 4050 sq. mts of land at plot No./ Kh No. 581/2 in the village of Pacheda. The land document submitted in this case also was in the name of Shri Ravi Shankarji Maharaj and it mentioned different Kh. No. 894, 897, 1099. The same land document was also submitted for grant of recognition of another institute **Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (B.Ed course) at Pacheda (Village)**. Hence WRC had rightly rejected the application on the ground that the institution/ trust did not have the land as required under clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009.

AND WHEREAS the land documents submitted in favour of these institutions were not in the name of the trust, rather they are in the name of an individual. A single stretch of land has been split into pieces for the purpose of the showing that the institutions have been situated on different land areas/ plot No. The plot No./ Kh.

No. 257/1, 257/2, 257/3 were shown against the first two institutions proposed at village Choura. This was not acceptable as per norms, **as it amounts to opening of the teacher training programmes adjacent to each other by the same society in the name of separate institutions. The same piece of land cannot be shown against two institutions. The regulations clearly stipulate that an institution can apply for only one course in an year for the basic unit. The society is trying to circumvent the regulation by applying for more than one course at the same place in different names and for different courses. This is nothing other than commercialization of teacher education and NCTE is bound to prevent it in terms of its statutory mandate.** It also noted the land proposed for these institutions has been bounded by the trust land itself. Taking into consideration the above observations and also to prevent commercialization of teacher education, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and that it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22.01.10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Institute of Professional Studies (Shri Rawatpura Sarkar Lok Kalyan Trust), Plot no. 582/2, Post Office - Kurru, Village/Town - Pacheda, Town/City - Abhanpur, District, Raipur - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rudra Institute of Technology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/06/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2776/2009/3891 dated 05/06/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "the College building was not in existence at the time of inspection under section 14. The Management showed the building of Mohan College of Teacher Education, Bharal Partapur, Meerut at the time of said inspection".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Rudra Institute of Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/06/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Sanjay Sharma, Director, Rudra Institute of Technology, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26-07-09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that at the time of inspection the management showed the existing building constructed for Rudra Institute of Technology for B.Ed course, the building of Mohan College of Teacher Education had nothing to do with their institute and that there was no question of misleading or misguiding the NCTE and visiting team members.

AND WHEREAS the Council felt that the ground of withdrawal could be verified only by causing an inspection of the Rudra Institute of Technology and Mohan College of Teacher's Education, Bharal Partapur, Meerut by the same team. In the meantime the NRC, after causing an inspection under section 17, withdrew the recognition of the Mohan College of Education also.

AND WHEREAS the Council got conducted the inspection of the institution by deputing a team consisting of two members on 20.02.10. The inspection team stated that "*The books available in the library at the time of inspection were new and unused although accession numbers were assigned to the books, giving an impression that the books were procured very recently. The chemical and consumables available in the laboratory were intact in sealed containers, which were unopened, giving the impression that they were not used at all. Attempts by the college staff to play the CDs available in the education laboratory failed. On the whole, it appeared that no regular cases were held in the institute so far. We, therefore, have doubts about bonafide of the institute.*" The inspection thus revealed that institution might have conducted classes elsewhere prior to withdrawal of recognition and the present building appeared to have been specifically prepared for the current inspection and therefore it was in a way confirmed that this building and its infrastructure was not shown to the team which initially inspected the institution at the time of granting recognition. In view of the above the Council came

to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal as the NRC had withdrawn the recognition on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 05-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Rudra Institute of Technology, Rasoomlabad, Nanpur Grah Road, Ghaziabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1327/2009-Appeal

F.No.89-1328/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS Mohan College of Teacher's Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh submitted two appeals on 13.11.09 with the NCTE Hqrs for B.Ed (Basic unit) and also for additional unit in the B. Ed programme, against the minutes of 149th Meeting of NRC held on 13th & 14th September 2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The Bank Statement in respect of payment of salary to Faculty is submitted only from 1 May, 2009 to 6 July, 2009; but no salary is paid in the month of May, 2009. Bank Statement regarding payment of salary to Faculty through Bank from the start of B.Ed course has not been submitted. 2) The accession register where the purchased books are entered in not submitted. 3) The stock register where the purchased computers are entered is not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Mohan College of Teacher's Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/11/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Subhash Chand, Trekker and Shri Sunil Kumar, Lecturer, Mohan College of Teacher's Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.01.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had been maintaining all the details of salary paid to the faculty, entry of purchased books in the accession register and entry of computers in the stock register and all the details were submitted to the NRC in its reply to the show cause notice.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant had been conducting B.Ed. programme with a basic unit of 100 since 2004 and further an additional unit of 100 had been sanctioned by the NRC in 2008. It also noted that the institution was caused inspection u/s17 of the NCTE Act and the same team submitted two reports, one report for the basic unit of B.Ed. and another report for the additional unit of B.Ed; Based on these reports, the NRC examined the cases for the B.Ed. basic unit and additional unit separately at Sl. No. 50 & 51 of the NRC's meeting and decided to withdraw recognition. Neither of the two inspections was composite covering both B.Ed (basic unit) and additional unit, nor the NRC considered B.Ed. both the units under single programme, for assessing the infrastructure and instructional facilities available with the institution. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that the institution shall be re-inspected by the members of the appeal committee, for assessing the availability of requisite infrastructure and instructional facilities for two units of B.Ed. and to take a final decision thereafter.

AND WHEREAS in the light of the recommendation of the Council, the NCTE constituted an inspection team comprising two members to visit the institution to ascertain the availability of infrastructure and instructional facilities for two units of B. Ed. i.e. 200 intake. The team visited the institution on 17.02.10. and noted that *"Adequate infrastructural and instructional facilities namely land, building, furniture, equipments etc. are available with the college for conducting B. Ed course with 200 students. However, out of 15 faculty members who were supposed to be in position, only four persons namely Dr. Sunil Kumar, Shri Yatindra Kumar Sharma, Ms. Anju Saksena and Shri Pawan Kumar, Lecturers were available at the time of inspection on 17.02.10. The Council was informed that the last batch of students allotted completed their course in January, 2010 and, therefore, no classes were being held in the institution after January, 2010 and that was the reason for non-availability of the remaining faculty. The Council, however observed that even the inspection team, which had visited the institution on 24.03.08 in connection with application for additional intake, in its VT Report dated 24.03.08, pointed out that existing faculty was not available for interaction. Therefore, actual availability of adequate faculty for two units of B. Ed course could not be ascertained. In the meantime, the NCTE office has drawn the attention of the inspection committee members to the fact that while the institute was already running B. Ed. course with an intake of 200 students the same institute submitted a fresh application for B. Ed. Course for girls on 31.10.08, concealing the fact that it was already running B. Ed. course in the institute."* The Council considered the observation of the inspection team and noted that even though the institution had infrastructure, but it did not have full complement of staff for two units of B. Ed, this was reported by the inspection teams that visited the institution on 24.03.08 and on 17.02.10. That means, there was inadequate staff for conducting two units of B. Ed. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was some justification for accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for restoration of recognition for Basic unit of 100 in B. Ed programme only and the additional unit of 100 in B. Ed programme stands withdrawn. It further directed the NRC, before restoration of the recognition to the basic unit of 100 in B. Ed. programme, the institution shall be served a letter for explaining by way of an affidavit, as to why it made a fresh application for B. Ed course for Girls on 31.10.08, in the same premises where it had already been running a B. Ed programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 13th & 14th September 2009 and remand the case to NRC for restoration of recognition for Basic unit of 100 in B. Ed programme only and the additional unit of 100 in B. Ed programme stands withdrawn. It further directed the NRC, before restoration of the recognition to the basic unit of 100 in B. Ed. programme, the institution shall be served a letter for explaining by way of an affidavit, as to why it made a fresh application for B. Ed course for Girls on 31.10.08, in the same premises where it had already been running a B. Ed programme.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mohan College of Teacher's Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Treasurer, Mohan College of Teacher's Education, Baral, Partapur,, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 87/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dnyansagar Education Society, Osmanabad, Maharashtra dated 30/01/2010 is against the Order No. No.WRC/APW07681/1222263(Minority)/129th/2009/62858 dated 05/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed (Marathi) (Co. Ed.) course on the ground "The institution did not have the land on the date of making application as per the NCTE norms".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Dnyansagar Education Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Shaikh Javed Hamidsab, President, Dnyansagar Education Society, Osmanabad, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that as per WRC's letter dated 18.9.2009, three months time was allotted to the society to convert the land in the name of the institution and accordingly the society converted land on registered ownership basis and therefore, it was not proper and legal to reject the application on the ground that the institution did not have land on ownership basis on the date of application. The representative also stated that the institution was a minority institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the WRC had issued a deficiency letter dated 7.9.2009 to the institution pointing out that certified copy of land ownership/lease agreement was not submitted, the institution was not having land area as required for the course, certificate of land use from competent authority, namely SDM/Collector was not submitted and the institution was not having built up area as required for the course. The institution submitted its reply vide its letter dated 6.11.2009. The committee also noted that regulation 8 (7) of the NCTE regulations 2007, which were in vogue at the time of submission of the application by the institution, stipulated that no institution shall be granted recognition under the regulations unless the institution was in possession of required land free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institution on the date of application. Thus, the availability of adequate land on ownership basis or on lease from Government with the institution on the date of submission of application was a mandatory requirement under the NCTE Regulations. The representative of the institution also conceded during the presentation that the land was on private lease at the time of submission of application. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the institution did not fulfill the mandatory requirement regarding land at the time of application and thus recognition to the institution was refused by the WRC for valid reasons and, therefore, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 05-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. **The President, Dnyansagar Education Society, Ghargaon, Post - Ghargaon, Tehsil - Kallam, District, Osmanabad - 431507, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of G.D. Memorial College of Education, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana dated 31/12/2009 is against the letter File No. HR.1687 dated 12-03-09 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting the application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course and closing the file on the grounds "1) State Govt. recommendation is required under clause 7(3) of regulations 2007. The state govt. recommendation is negative, state govt. has provided reasons/grounds and statistics for not granting recognition. 2) The institution has not submitted permission letter from the competent authority to use the land for educational purposes as required under the clause 8(8) of regulation 2007. 3) The land is not in the name of institution as required under 8(7) of the regulations 2007".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, G.D. Memorial College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 31/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Baldev Krishan, Chairman and Shri. Dharmvir Yadav, Director, G.D. Memorial College of Education, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant institution filed a writ petition no. 4784/2009 in the High Court of Jaipur and the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 14.9.2009 directed the appellate authority to dispose of appeal, if any, filed by the institution within a period of 15 days. It was also submitted that the proposed institution was in a rural area where competent authority to issue CLU was the Sarpanch of the village and the institution had submitted CLU dated 8-7-08 issued by the Sarpanch.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the land was registered in the name of the society only on 3.7.2008 i.e. after 9.6.2008 which was the date of submission of the application. Thus the land was neither in the name of the Society nor in the name of the institution. Therefore, the institution did not fulfill the mandatory requirement of Regulation 8(7) of NCTE Regulations 2007, which stipulated that no institution shall be granted recognition under the Regulation unless it was in possession of required land either on ownership basis or on lease from Government on the date of submission of application. The Council was therefore of the view that there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-3-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, G.D. Memorial College of Education , Kanina Mandi, , Distt. Mahendergarh - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89 - 85/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Global College of Higher Education (Dilraj Singh Memorial Educational Society), Mansa, Punjab dated 05/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/PB-672/154th Meeting/2010/15183 dated 19/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The Management did not allow the VT members to inspect the infrastructure and instructional facilities on 19 or 20 October, 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Global College of Higher Education (Dilraj Singh Memorial Educational Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Gagandeep Singh, Vice-Chairman, Global College of Higher Education (Dilraj Singh Memorial Educational Society), Mansa, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that on 15.10.2009 the college received a phone call and letter on 17.10.2009 from NRC regarding inspection of college during the period between 18 to 22 October, 2009 under section 14(1) of NCTE Act. In response to that letter, the Vice-President of Global College of Higher Education vide letter dated 16.10.2009 informed the NRC that staff of institution were on leave till 21.10.2009 due to Diwali and Vishwakarma holidays and requested for an alternate date for inspection after 22.10.2009. But ignoring that intimation, the NRC sent a team to inspect the college on 19 & 20th October, 2009 and recognition to the institution was refused on the ground that the management did not allow the VT members to inspect the institution on 19-20th October, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that initially the NRC had closed the file of the institution vide order dated 2.6.2009 on the ground that the institution had not furnished CLU from competent authority, the building plan submitted did not indicate built up area and total area and resolution of the Gram Panchayat was not submitted. An appeal dated 26.6.2009 of the institution against closure of its case by the NRC was considered by the Council and the case was remanded to the NRC for getting the institution inspected immediately, vide council's order dated 7.8.09. Accordingly, the NRC constituted a Visiting Team of Experts to inspect the institution as also a few other institutions during the period from 18 to 22 October, 2009 and the visiting team contacted the institution thrice for conducting inspection either on 19 or 20th October, 2009 but the institution categorically refused to get inspection on 19 or 20th October, 2009 suggested by the team. The Council also noted that the application of the institution was for recognition of a new course and therefore, the plea of the institution for postponement of inspection on the ground that it was having staff who would be on leave till 21.10.2009 was untenable. Further, the

inspection was for verifying availability of infrastructure and other facilities with the institution and therefore there was no need for the presence of the staff even if staff were employed by the institution. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the recognition was refused to the institution by the NRC for valid reasons and, therefore, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 19-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Global College of Higher Education (Dilraj Singh Memorial Educational Society), Village - Nangal Khurd, Post - Mansa, Tehsil - Mansa, District , Mansa - 151505, Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.J. College, Bhilai, Chhatisgarh dated 25/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5641 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course (additional intake) on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(4) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, M.J. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 27/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Ms. Shreelekha Virulkar, Director and Shri. Abhishek Gupta, Director, M.J. College, Bhilai, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had fulfilled the provision of clause 8(4) of NCTE Regulations 2009 and had submitted documentary evidence alongwith the application form submitted before the last date and that NAAC Grade 'B' was granted to the college on 15.6.2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution had completed three years after grant of recognition for B.Ed. course before submitting application for additional intake and that it was also having NAAC accreditation dated 15.6.2009 which was available on the relevant file of the WRC. The Council was therefore, of the view that the institution fulfilled the provision of clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulation 2009 and therefore, refusal of recognition to the institution by WRC was not in order. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the case should be remanded to the WRC for further processing of the case for getting the institution inspected and taking appropriate decision thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 22-01-10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing of the case for getting the institution inspected and taking appropriate decision thereafter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.J. College, Bhilai, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Director, M.J. College, Near C.S.E.B. Sub Station, Kohka-Junwani Road, Kohka Chouk, , Bhilai - 490020, Chhatisgarh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 - 92/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2663/155th Meeting/2010/15639 dated 29/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The land area is only 2507.66 sq.m and the college is running BA and MA programmes. 2) The built up area is only 2000 sq.m for BA, MA and proposed B.Ed programmes which is not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Rajeshwar PD Singh, Manager and Dr. Ravindra Kumar Shrivastava, Principal, Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the ground of refusal of recognition to the institution was against the factual position on the spot as well as document records, map, the replies of the appellant as well as the other relevant material which were submitted alongwith the application.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in the application submitted by the institution, the available build up area indicated was 1950 sq.mtrs. As against this, in an affidavit dated 1.10.2007 submitted, the available land and built up area indicated were 2507.62 sq.mtrs and 2000 sq mtrs. respectively. The building plan submitted by the institution showed that the size of the plot was 748.50 sq.mtrs. and the built-up area shown was 541 sq.mtrs. Thus, the institution made contradicting claims regarding availability of the land and built up area in various documents submitted from time to time. The Council also noted that even if it was accepted that the institution was having 2507.66 sq.mtrs. of land and built up area of 2000 sq.mtrs. it was not sufficient as per the NCTE norms as the institution was running B.A. and M.A. programmes also in the same premises and, as per the NCTE norms for running B.Ed. course alone the requirement of land and built up area are 2500 sq.mtrs. and 1500 sq.mtrs. respectively. The Council was therefore, of the view that recognition was refused to the institution by NRC for valid reasons and there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 29-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Maa Saraswati Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Chandpur, Industrial Estate, District, Varanasi - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 88/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Model Divine Mahila College, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3397/155th Meeting/2010/15806 dated 01/02/2010 as further modified vide corrigendum dated 16-2-10 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not allow VT members to visit infrastructure and instructional facilities".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Model Divine Mahila College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Naresh Kumar, President, Model Divine Mahila College, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the grounds given by the NRC for refusing recognition were not true as the VT members did not reach the college and hence, the question of disallowing the VT members to visit for inspection of infrastructure and instructional facilities did not arise at all. It was also submitted that one of the VT members namely, Mrs. Madhulika Verma informed the Secretary of the institution about the inspection and she was informed about the inability of the institution to get the inspection done on that very day due to some grave, very serious and unavoidable circumstances and unforeseen reasons.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that initially the NRC had closed the file of the institution vide order dated 30.07.2009 on the ground that the deficiency communicated to the institution still existed. An appeal was filed by the institution against the closure of the file and the Council, vide its order dated 6.8.2009 remanded the case to the NRC for getting the institution inspected immediately and taking appropriate decision thereafter. The institution had given consent for inspection vide its letter dated 26.10.2009. Accordingly, the NRC constituted a visiting team for conducting inspection of the appellant institution as also some other institutions and, vide NRC's letter dated 4.12.2009 informed the appellant institution the decision of the Regional Committee to get inspection done between 15 to 25th December, 2009. As conceded by the appellant institution one of the VT member contacted the institution for conducting the inspection on 20.12.2009 but the institution declined to get inspection done on that day. The Council was therefore, of the view that recognition was refused to the institution by the NRC for valid reasons and, therefore, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence

it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 01-02-10 as modified vide corrigendum dated 16-2-2010 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Model Divine Mahila College , Plot no. - 258, Baghpat - Meerut Road, Village & Post Office - Pilana, District, Baghpat - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-31/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of P.N. Bhele D.Ed College (Shrusti Bahuddeshiya Shikshan, Paryatan va Paryavan Sanstha), Nagpur, Maharashtra dated 13/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5533 dated 21.01.2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting application for recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, P.N. Bhele D.Ed College (Shrusti Bahuddeshiya Shikshan, Paryatan va Paryavan Sanstha) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Pandurga N. Bhele, President and Shri. Suresh R. Somkumar, Secretary, P.N. Bhele D.Ed College (Shrusti Bahuddeshiya Shikshan, Paryatan va Paryavan Sanstha), Nagpur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had purchased the land on 18.9.2008 for running the college. Formerly, the name of the president was mentioned before the name of the institution but later on modification was done and necessary correction was made by the competent authority and the requisite document was sent to the NCTE on 25.12.2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that clause 8(7) (i) of NCTE Regulations 2009 stipulates that no institution shall be granted recognition under the Regulations unless the institution or society sponsoring the institution was in possession of required land, on the date of application, free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Government/Government agencies. Thus, possession of adequate land on ownership basis or on lease from Government on the date of application, was a mandatory requirement as per the NCTE Regulations. Since, the institution did not fulfill this condition, the Council was of the view that recognition was refused to the institution by WRC on valid grounds and therefore, there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, P.N. Bhele D.Ed College (Shrusti Bahuddeshiya Shikshan, Paryatan va Paryavan Sanstha) , Plot No. 125, Shahane Lay-out, Trimurti Nagar, Outer Ring Road,, Nagpur - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89 - 102/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Late Narayanrao Khandebharad Institute of Education (Swami Vivekanand Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Buldhana, Maharashtra dated 11/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5583 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed (M) course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(3) & 8(4) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Late Narayanrao Khandebharad Institute of Education (Swami Vivekanand Shikshan Prasarak Mandal) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Manohar V. Awachar, Secretary, Late Narayanrao Khandebharad Institute of Education (Swami Vivekanand Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Buldhana, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it was granted recognition for B.Ed. course vide WRC's order dated 27.6.2005 and thus it completed 3 years and thus fulfilled the requirement of clause 8(3) NCTE Regulations 2009. As regards requirements under clause 8(4) it was submitted that the NAAC Bangalore provided the general eligibility criteria that institutions which have completed 5 years since its establishment with record of at least two batches of students having completed their degree programmes whichever earlier only were eligible to apply for NAAC assessment.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the order dated 27.6.2005 of WRC, Bhopal regarding grant of recognition for B.Ed. course quoted was not regular recognition and it was only for a period of one year. Further, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Clause 8(4) regarding NAAC accreditation. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the refusal of the recognition to the institution for M.Ed. course by WRC was in order and thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Late Narayanrao Khandebharad Institute of Education (Swami Vivekanand Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Plot no. 11/3 - D, Jalna Road, Sindkhed Raja, District, Buldhana - 443203, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-74/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Roorkee Degree College, Distt. Haridwar, Uttaranchal dated 02/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UR-43/2009/13146 dated 04/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Documents regarding selection of faculty through duly constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms are not submitted. 2) All labs are ill equipped and poorly maintained. 3) Language learning facilities are not available. 4) Ten computers have been purchased but the stock registered where the details of purchased computers are entered is not submitted. 5) The information as required per NCTE Regulations, 2007 is not available on the college website".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Roorkee Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 02/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. OM Tyagi and Shri. Praveen Tyagi, Member, Roorkee Degree College, Distt. Haridwar, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that all documents related to deficiencies in refusal order were enclosed with the memorandum of appeal.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the recognition of the institution was withdrawn under section 17 of the NCTE Act after getting the institution inspection on 9.7.2009 and VT report dated 9.7.2009, inter-alia, pointed out that the faculty which was working with the college had left and joined some other institutions and hence, there was no faculty members present at the time of inspection. The VT report also indicated that the management has given advertisement in dailies for the post of principal and lecturers and in response they have received 16 applications for the post of lecturers. During the presentation the representative stated that the document pertaining to appointments made earlier were in the custody of court/police station in connection with some false criminal case filed against him and sought one month's time for obtaining the same and submission to the Council. Since, no faculty member was available with the institution at the time of inspection as stated in the VT report, the Council did not find it relevant to verify those documents as, in any case the faculty appointed earlier had left the institution. As the VT report on the inspection conducted u/s 17 of the NCTE Act on 9.7.2009 was negative and the institution was evidently not functioning in accordance with the provision of the NCTE Act/Regulations the Council was of the view that there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 04-12-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Roorkee Degree College, Post- Dhaunauri, , Distt. Haridwar - , Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Saraswati College of Education, Panipat, Haryana dated 12/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/HR-1108/154th Meeting/2009/15443 dated 21/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "The deficiency in respect of teaching experience of appointed Reader Dr. Rajnish Pandey still exists".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Saraswati College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Surender Singh, Vice-Chairman and Shri. Jagbir Malik, Member, Saraswati College of Education, Panipat, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that there was no deficiency in respect of teaching experience of appointed Reader- Dr. Rajnish Pandey.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that Dr. Rajnish Pandey was having the requisite 10 years of teaching experience as per the documents available on the file and therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 21-01-10 with direction to the NRC to grant formal recognition to the institution under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulations within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Saraswati College of Education, Kawi Road, Village - Madlauda, District, Panipat - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89 - 112/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Mahalaxmi Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (Shri Mahalaxmi Shikshan Sansthan), Jodhpur, Rajasthan dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/RJ-640/153rd Meeting/2009/13883 dated 18/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the case of the institution pertaining to transfer of land on the ground "The land has not been transferred in the Name of the Institution till date".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Mahalaxmi Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (Shri Mahalaxmi Shikshan Sansthan) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ram Pratap Shah and Shri. Laxman Dass, UDC, Shri Mahalaxmi Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (Shri Mahalaxmi Shikshan Sansthan), Jodhpur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course vide NRC's order dated 30.9.2006 and it successfully completed 3 sessions from 2007-08 without any interruption and complaint; the management committee of the institution passed a resolution for changing the old name of the society as Shri Mahalakshmi Shikshan Sansthan, Jodhpur and the matter was under consideration of the State Govt. of Department. On a query regarding grievances of the institution the representative said that initially the institution was of the view that there was no grievance and hence there was no need for filing an appeal against the order of NRC dated 18-12-09. But, subsequently, as a matter of abundant caution, it decided to prefer an appeal and hence the appeal was preferred.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC had allowed 90 days time for transfer of the land in the name of the institution and subsequently vide its order date 18.12.2009 closed the file. Thus, the recognition already granted to the institution for running B.Ed. course continues and there was no grievance to the institution arising out of the order of NRC dated 18.12.2009 appealed against. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was no cause for appeal and hence the appeal filed should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no cause for appeal and hence appeal filed should be rejected.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Mahalaxmi Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (Shri Mahalaxmi Shikshan Sansthan), E - Sector, Pratap Nagar, District, Jodhpur - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89 - 105/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of St. Margarets D.Ed College (The Provincial Society of The Congregation of Jesus & Mary), Mumbai, Maharashtra dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5577 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, St. Margarets D.Ed College (The Provincial Society of The Congregation of Jesus & Mary) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Sr. Diana Colaco, President and Sr.Felicia Pereira, Bursar, St. Margarets D.Ed College (The Provincial Society of The Congregation of Jesus & Mary), Mumbai, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "All that piece or parcel of foras land or ground situated lying and being on the south side of Sankli Street in the city island and Registration Sub District of Bombay containing by admeasurement 1644 square yards of thereabouts bearing Cadastral Survey no. 1508 of Byculla Division, and together with other lands was registered in the books of the Collector of land revenue under collectors old no. 49, 172 and 281, new no. a.2/13552, a/13658 and a/13803 old survey no. 296, 297 and 316 and new survey no. 1A.2a/3587 and in the books of the Assessor & Collector of Municipal Rates and taxes under E ward no. 3795 and street no. 26(4) Clare Road and bounded. On the north; by property belonging to the provincial society of the congregation on Jesus and Mary. On the west; by property belonging to the provincial society of the congregation of Jesus and may. On the south; by property belonging to the provincial society of the congregation of Jesus and Mary. On the east; by property belonging to financial board of the Bombay Annual Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution fulfilled the requirement of clause 8(7) (i) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 and therefore, it was of the view that the rejection of application on the ground that it did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7)(i) was not in order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to remand the case to

WRC for further processing the case for getting the institution inspected. Accordingly, the case was remanded to WRC for further processing.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Margarets D.Ed College (The Provincial Society of The Congregation of Jesus & Mary), Mumbai, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, St. Margarets D.Ed College (The Provincial Society of The Congregation of Jesus & Mary), Plot no. 1507, 1508, Street No. 42, Clare Road, Byculla Post Office, District, Mumbai - 400008, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89- 747/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Swami Vivekanand D.Ed College (Purna Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha), Aurangabad Dist. , Maharashtra dated 28/07/2009 is against the Order No. No. WRC/APW06613/1221981/117th/2009/54936 dated 01/05/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for recognition for conducting D.Ed (M) (Co-Ed) course on the ground "The institution has not removed deficiencies within the period of 90 days as prescribed in section u/s 7(1) of NCTE Regulations 2007".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Swami Vivekanand D.Ed College (Purna Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/07/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ravindra D. Patil, Chairman and Shri. Shaikh Ishaq, Member, Swami Vivekanand D.Ed College (Purna Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha), Aurangabad Dist. , Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010 . In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that all deficiencies i.e. FDR of 5.00 lac and 3.00 lac, staff profile website and documents of competent authority, building permission were submitted within 90 days.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that deficiency letter was issued by the WRC on 14.8.2007 pointing out that documents establishing lawful possession of land, copy of approved building plan, copy of LTC, FDR for Rs. 3.00 lac from nationalized bank and affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper were not submitted. The Council also noted that no proper lease deed in respect of land/building was submitted and the FDR for Rs. 3.00 lakh submitted was dated 9.4.2009 i.e. much after the prescribed time limit for removal of deficiencies. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the recognition was refused to the institution by WRC for valid reasons and thus there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 01-05-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Swami Vivekanand D.Ed College (Purna Kaveri Shikshan Sanstha), C/O D.C.Patil, Sarafa Market, Sillod, Aurangabad Dist. - 431112, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Himalayan Institute of Education and Technology, Chamoli, Uttaranchal dated 04/12/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F.7/UR-204/150 Meeting/2009 dated 22-12-2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "1) Reply given is not satisfactory. 2) Deficiencies still exist".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Himalayan Institute of Education and Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. A.P. Maikhuri, Chairman and Dr. Surendra Prasad Dimri, Managing Director, Himalayan Institute of Education and Technology, Chamoli, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.01.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that proper reply was given with documentary evidences to the Regional Committee, vide their letter No.301/09 dated 17-09-09 and that all deficiencies mentioned in the letter dated 03-09-09 were completely rectified and reply was submitted with documentary evidences vide letter dated 17-9-09 and that all the formalities had been completed and therefore the appeal was liable to be allowed. the Council after considering the VT Report dated 03-08-09 and other relevant documents, the NRC, in its 148th meeting held on 28th to 30th August, 2009, reached the conclusion that the institution did not fulfill the norms and standards and other requirements laid down and notified by the NCTE in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993 and accordingly issued a show cause notice dated 03-09-2003 asking the institution to explain why recognition to the institution should not be refused for the following reasons:- i) As per CD, the building is under construction; ii) The books in the library are not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. iii) All materials are displayed on the table in one room, so proper labs do not exist. iv) Five computers are not enough for 100 students. v) Playground do not exist. vi) Language learning facilities are not available. vii) The number of titles in the library is not as per NCTE norms. viii) The college does not have website as per NCTE Regulations 2007.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution, in its reply dated 17-9-09 to the show-cause notice, inter-alia, stated that the building was under construction at the time of visit of the VT, an affidavit was submitted by the institution that construction work would be completed within 3 months and work was since completed; some books meant for library such as reference books, periodicals, magazines etc. were in transport/transit at the time of visit, which have since been received/purchased; institution purchased 4 more computers. The institution

admitted that the building was under construction and adequate books, computers etc. were not available at the time of inspection and thus, it did not fulfill the NCTE norms to become eligible for recognition at the time of visit of the VT on 03-08-09. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the recognition to the institution was refused by NRC for valid reasons and thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 22-12-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Himalayan Institute of Education and Technology, Vill. & Post. Jilasu, Via- Langasu, Patwari Birt - Girisa, Tehsil Pokhari, , Chamoli - 246446, Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

F.No.89 - 122/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ayush College of Education (Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti), Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5634 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ayush College of Education (Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ajay Jaiswal, President, Ayush College of Education (Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti), Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has applied for establishment of a new college and not for increasing the seats and in the circumstances provisions of para 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 are not applicable in this case. He further submitted that the society, namely, Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti, is running a College of Education at, Meduka, Pendra Road which is 75 Kms away from the proposed new college.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submissions of the appellant mentioned above has come to the conclusion that the provisions of Para 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 are not applicable in this case as the institution is a new institution and has not been in existence earlier and has not applied for any additional intake or for any additional course and it may be remanded back to the WRC for further processing on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 22-01-10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing of the case on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ayush College of Education (Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti), Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Ayush College of Education (Gram Meduka Krishi Shikshan Samiti), Marwahi, Plot no. - 725, Lohari Marwahi, Tehsil - Marwahi, District , Bilaspur - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 – 128/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Department of Education, Institute of Technology & Sciences (Smt. Durga Devi Smriti Sewa Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 19/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5629 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of Clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent Department of Education, Institute of Technology & Sciences (Smt. Durga Devi Smriti Sewa Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Rajesh Awasthy, Director and Shri. Ashwani Pandey, Assistant, Department of Education, Institute of Technology & Sciences (Smt. Durga Devi Smriti Sewa Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that institute which was granted approval for conducting the B.Ed course in the session 2006-07 has completed 3 sessions namely, 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 session is currently in progress. He therefore, submitted that the institution having successfully completed three academic sessions for which results have also been declared, is eligible for an additional unit in accordance with the provision of para 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submissions of the appellant mentioned above has come to the conclusion that the provisions of Para 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 are not applicable in this case as the institution has completed three academic session of its functioning and it may be remanded to the WRC for further processing on merit. While processing the application further the requirement under clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations regarding NAAC accreditation may also be looked into.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 22-01-10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing of the case on merit. While processing the application further the requirement under clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations regarding NAAC accreditation may also be looked into.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Department of Education, Institute of Technology & Sciences (Smt. Durga Devi Smriti Sewa Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Department of Education, Institute of Technology & Sciences (Smt. Durga Devi Smriti Sewa Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 - 804/2009 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dr. Ambedkar D.Ed College (Rashtriya Anusuchit Jati Jamati Alpasankhyak Gramin Vikas Mandal), Aurangabad, Maharashtra dated 03/08/2009 against the Order No. No. WRC/APW07388/1222178 (Court Case)/119th/2009/56098 dated 04/06/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed (M) (Co. Ed) course on the ground that "Staff not qualified as per NCTE norms" was rejected by the Council in their order no. 89 – 804/2009 – Appeal dated 07/10/2009. In this order, the Council while holding that refusal of recognition by the WRC on the ground that staff was not qualified as per norms was not right, rejected the appeal on the ground that the Council took a policy decision and a public notice was issued on 02/10/2008 to the effect that applications for D.Ed. Course for the academic session 2009-10 from the State of Maharashtra would not be considered and all such applications shall be returned to the institutions alongwith the processing fees and documents.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Dr. Ambedkar D.Ed College (Rashtriya Anusuchit Jati Jamati Alpasankhyak Gramin Vikas Mandal) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS the appellant, aggrieved by the orders of the Council, approached the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad through Writ Petition no. 7576 of 2009. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dated 05/02/2010 quashed and set aside the appellate order dated 07/10/2009 and remanded the matter to the appellate authority for re-consideration of the proposal of the petitioner for grant of recognition for D.Ed. for the academic session 2010-11. In the said order, the Hon'ble Court observed that if it is revealed in the enquiry that any member of the staff is not qualified and the NCTE cannot accept him, the NCTE shall permit the petitioner to substitute the ineligible candidate by the submitting the name of an eligible candidate. The appellant, in compliance of the order of the Hon'ble High Court, through his letter dated 24/02/2010 submitted various documents relating to the faculty selected by them. Shri. Ratnakar Raghuji Khandale, Secretary, Dr. Ambedkar D.Ed College (Rashtriya Anusuchit Jati Jamati Alpasankhyak Gramin Vikas Mandal), Aurangabad, Maharashtra also presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10.

AND WHEREAS the Council perused the details submitted and found that the staff selected were qualified as per norms. In the circumstances, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and recognition orders be issued by the WRC under para 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations after the selected

staff have been appointed and an intimation to this effect sent to the WRC by the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal set aside WRC's order dated 04-06-09 and remand the case to WRC with the direction to issue formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulation after the selected staff have been appointed and an intimation to this effect sent to the WRC by the appellant institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Ambedkar D.Ed College (Rashtriya Anusuchit Jati Jamati Alpasankhyak Gramin Vikas Mandal), Aurangabad, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Dr. Ambedkar D.Ed College (Rashtriya Anusuchit Jati Jamati Alpasankhyak Gramin Vikas Mandal), Plot no. 339, Pimpalgaon (Walan), Post - Wanegaon, Tal. - Phulambri, District, Aurangabad - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dr. Z.H. Degree College, Etah,, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/12/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F73/UP-1878/141st MEETING/2009/9061 dated 28/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Dr. Z.H. Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/12/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Jawed Anwar, Secretary and Dr. Ashok Kumar, Member, Dr. Z.H. Degree College, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC granted conditional recognition on 26/07/2006 with the direction to appoint duly selected staff with the requisite qualifications as per NCTE norms and standard before the commencement of the academic session 2006-07 and inform the NRC; the institution approached the Govt. of UP/SCERT, Lucknow for affiliation and approval of faculty of BTC course selected by a duly constituted selection committee (without a nominee of the SCERT); the NRC was informed on 26/07/2007 that no reply has been received from the State Govt./SCERT regarding approval of faculty/affiliation and in the circumstances fulfillment of the requirement of appointment of faculty duly approved by the affiliating body was an impossible task.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submissions of the appellant mentioned above has come to the conclusion that the appellant institution may be given an extension of 3 months time to make selection of teaching staff with a nominee of the SCERT on the selection committee and intimate the outcome thereof to the NRC for taking further action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal set aside NRC's order dated 28/08/2009 and remand the case to NRC with the direction to NRC to allow 3 months extension of time to the institution to make selection of teaching staff with a nominee of the SCERT on the Selection Committee and intimate the outcome to the NRC. The NRC may take further action on receipt of the requisite information from the institution as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Z.H. Degree College, Etah, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Dr. Z.H. Degree College, Etah,, Etah, - 207001, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Institute of Law and Academic Studies, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 29/08/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-1321/141 Meeting/2009/12527-33 dated 20-11-09 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Institute of Law and Academic Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 31/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. M. Sharma, Director and Shri. Mishra, Lecturer, Institute of Law and Academic Studies, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institute was granted recognition, subject to the condition that appointment of qualified faculty members selected by a duly constituted selection committee was to be made before the commencement of the academic session and compliance report sent to NRC for issue of a formal/ unconditional order of recognition. He further submitted that as the university, despite repeated requests, did not appoint an expert, the selection committee could not be constituted. In a further communication dated 16/03/2010 submitted in the course of the hearing, it has been mentioned that the Govt. of UP imposed a ban on BTC course in private sector and therefore appointments of faculty could not be made; after a verdict of the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad and clearance from Govt. of UP, they have advertised for BTC faculty on 21st, 23rd & 26th January, 2010 and the eligible candidates are likely to be interviewed in the first week on April, 2010; and list of faculty approved by the Pariksha Niyamak Pradhikari, UP Allahabad will be submitted to the NRC thereafter.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submissions of the appellant mentioned above has come to the conclusion that the appellant institution may be given an extension of 3 months time to make selection of teaching staff by a duly constituted selection committee with a nominee of the concerned UP State Authority on the selection committee and intimate the outcome thereof to the NRC for taking further action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal set aside NRC's order dated 20-11-09 and remand the case to NRC with the direction to NRC to allow 3 months extension of time to the institution to make

selection of teaching staff with a nominee of the SCERT on the Selection Committee and intimate the outcome to the NRC. The NRC may take further action on receipt of the requisite information from the institution as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Institute of Law and Academic Studies, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Institute of Law and Academic Studies, E-211, Kavi Nagar, Industrial Area, Sector- 17,, Ghaziabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 118/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2400/153rd Meeting/2009/14102 dated 22/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "The deficiencies related to the appointment of lecturers as communicated to the Institution through letter no. F.NRC/NCTE/F-73/UP-2400/142/2009/8332 dated 18/08/2009 still exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kishan Institute of Teachers Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Munish Sabharwal, Executive Director, Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that no show cause notice was issued and in the circumstances giving a reply thereto did not arise. The appellant claimed that all the documents regarding faculty alongwith fresh affidavits of management and faculty were submitted to NRC on 30/11/2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council perused the staff details submitted and available on record and found to be in order. In the above circumstances, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the NRC directed to issue necessary orders for granting formal recognition under the provisions of para 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 22-12-09 was reversed with a direction to issue formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulations within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Kishan Institute of Teachers Education, Mewla Crossing, Near Amar Ujala, Delhi Road, District, Meerut - 250002, Uttar Pradesh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 126/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lokseva Bahuudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha's Soni Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Latur, Maharashtra dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5538 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Lokseva Bahuudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha's Soni Adhyapak Vidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 22/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Shaikh Gaffar Gani, Secretary and Shri. Bjpgamwar Umakant, Jr. Asst., Lokseva Bahuudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha's Soni Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Latur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the land was in the name of the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council, however, from the documents submitted by the appellant observed that while the land was in the name of an individual as per the sale deed, the name of the society had been mentioned in the other documents. It was therefore, evident that as required under the provisions of para 8(7)(i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, the applicant society/institution was not in possession of the required land on the date of application. In view of the above circumstances, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the decision of the WRC dated 21/01/2010 confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 21-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Lokseva Bahuudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha's Soni Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Plot no. 11/11 - A, VPO - Shirpur Anantpal, Main Road, Tal. - Shirpur Anantpal, District, Latur - 413544, Maharashtra

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89 - 120/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mansa College of Education (Vasuki Memorial Sanchalan Samiti), Durg, Chhatisgarh dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5627 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of Clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Mansa College of Education (Vasuki Memorial Sanchalan Samiti), Durg, Chhatisgarh (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. B.S. Saxena, Treasurer, Mansa College of Education (Vasuki Memorial Sanchalan Samiti), Durg, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it has completed 5 academic sessions of B.Ed. starting from the academic session 2004-05 and is currently running 2009-10 session; the institution got NAAC "B" Grade Certificate; the institution has less than 300 seats i.e. B.Ed – 100, B.P.Ed. – 50 and M.Ed. – 25 (Total – 175 seats) and if additional unit of 100 seats is sanctioned for B.Ed. the total intake will still be less than 300. In the circumstances, the appellant claimed that it fulfilled the provisions of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS from a perusal of documents submitted by the appellant, it is seen that the name of the institution for which recognition was granted for B.Ed. & B.P.Ed courses has been changed from Mansa Industrial Training Centre, Mansa I.T.C to Mansa College of Physical Education, Mansa I.T.C. for B.P.Ed. Course and Mansa College of Education, Mansa I.T.C. for B.Ed. Course. However, in the NAAC accreditation certificate dated 15/06/2009, the name of the College has been shown as Mansa College only. In view of the above discrepancy in particular, the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to WRC for re-examination of the matter. While doing so, the WRC should also verify whether the affiliation for conducting B.Ed. course granted for the academic session 2004 -05 by the university has been extended or not.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set

aside WRC's order dated 22-01-10 and remand the case to WRC for re-examination of the matter and for further processing thereafter as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mansa College of Education (Vasuki Memorial Sanchalan Samiti), Durg, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mansa College of Education (Vasuki Memorial Sanchalan Samiti), Durg, Chhatisgarh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Durg.

F.No.89 – 124/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Nalanda M.Ed College, Bharuch, Gujarat dated 17/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5678 dated 22/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the ground "As per para 8(4) an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Nalanda M.Ed College, Bharuch, Gujarat (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Narendrasinh R. Rahevar, Secretary and Shri. Bhupendrasingh Jadav, Clerk, Nalanda M.Ed College, Bharuch, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had been running B.Ed. since 2002 successfully, the applicant had already applied to NAAC, Bangalore for issuance of Grade "B" Certificate and while applying for M.Ed. Course there is no such provision prescribed for obtaining the Grade "B" Certificate from NAAC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted from the application of the institution and other correspondence, that there was no college in the name of Nalanda M.Ed. College already conducting B.Ed. programme and the B.Ed. course for which an application was made to NAAC for Grade "B" Certificate was being run in a different college namely Jay Jalaram Talimi Snatak Mahavidyalaya (Self financed B.Ed. College). Since M.Ed. programme cannot be given to a college without B.Ed., the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC's decision to reject the application of Nalanda M.Ed. College was in order. In the circumstances, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC dated 22/01/2010 confirmed.

And Whereas after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Nalanda M.Ed College, Bharuch, Gujarat.**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Bharuch.

F.No.89 - 117/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Radha Govind College of Physical Education, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand dated 17/02/2010 is against the Order No. Application ID no. - ERCAPP166/3132 dated 25/01/2010 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the ground "As per para 8(3) an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Radha Govind College of Physical Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Baijnath Sah, Secretary and Shri. Jince Michael Mathew, Administrator, Radha Govind College of Physical Education, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the campus of the proposed B.P.Ed College was different from the College conducting B.Ed., PTT & M.Ed. courses and the plots of land for these colleges were separate.

AND WHEREAS the Council, on the basis of the submissions made and documents furnished came to the conclusion that the proposed institution for conducting B.P.Ed. course is a new institution and the provisions of para 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 are not applicable in this case. In the circumstances, the appeal deserved to be accepted and the case remanded to ERC for further processing on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside ERC's order dated 25-01-10 and remand back the case to ERC for further processing on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Radha Govind College of Physical Education, Hazaribagh, Jharkhand to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Radha Govind College of Physical Education, Village - Gandke, Post Office - Ramgarh, District, Hazaribagh - 829122, Jharkhand**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

F.No.89 - 115/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shanti Niketan D.Ed College (Shanti Niketan Bahuudeshiya Sanstha), Nagpur, Maharashtra dated 17/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-5554 dated 21/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed-additional intake on the ground "The institution did not fulfill the provision of clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shanti Niketan D.Ed College (Shanti Niketan Bahuudeshiya Sanstha) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ejaz Ahmad, Secretary, Shanti Niketan D.Ed College (Shanti Niketan Bahuudeshiya Sanstha), Nagpur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after grant of recognition for conducting D.Ed. course w.e.f. 2006-07, the institution had successfully completed three academic sessions and therefore became eligible for an increased intake of 50 additional seats. In the circumstances the stand of the WRC that the institution did not fulfill the provisions of para 8(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009 was not valid. It was also submitted that it was a linguistic minority institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into account the submissions of the appellant institution came to the conclusion that the provisions of para 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 are fulfilled and the case deserves to be remanded back to WRC for processing on merit. While doing so the Regional Committee may take into account all the courses being run by the Society in the same campus.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 21-01-10 and remand back the case to WRC for further processing on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shanti Niketan D.Ed College (Shanti Niketan Bahuudeshiya Sanstha), Nagpur, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shanti Niketan D.Ed College (Shanti Niketan Bahuudeshiya Sanstha), Plot no. 22/3, Street - Kamptee Road, Village - Mhasala, Post - Uppalwadi, Tal. - Kamptee, District, Nagpur - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-134/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sunrise Institute of Education, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 23/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-871/153rd Meeting/2009/14579 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "the institution has not submitted its representation to the show cause notice dated 30-3-09."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sunrise Institute of Education, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 23/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. S.V. Singh, Secretary, Sunrise Institute of Education, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that a reply to the show cause notice was sent on the 20-4-09 and in proof thereof submitted a postal certificate of the same date. However, the reply of the institution was not on the records of NRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the show cause notice pointed out a number of deficiencies relating to instructional and infrastructural deficiencies. In the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded back to NRC for examining the reply of the institution and take appropriate decision. To enable re-examination the appellant should again send a copy of its reply dated 20-4-09 to the NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 06-01-10 and remand back the case to NRC for examining the reply of the institution and take appropriate decision. To enable re-examination the appellant should again send a copy of its reply dated 20-4-09 to the NRC

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sunrise Institute of Education, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman**, Sunrise Institute of Education, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-129/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vivekananda Teachers Training College, Malda, West Bengal dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. ERC/7-103.6(III).6/2009/2970(1) dated 14/01/2010 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds “ (i) The committee noted that at the time of inspection u/s 17 conducted on 31-10-09, it was found that the institution was not adhering to the NCTE rules and regulations published from time to time and conditions stipulated in the orders issued to the institution. (ii) Original FDR submitted by the institution towards reserve fund is made on 09-12-09 i.e. after issue of SCN U/s 17 which proves that the institution was not maintaining the reserve fund. (iii) The building completion certificates submitted is not from the competent civil authority. (iv) As per VT, salary of the staff was being paid in cash which is not as per norms. After SCN, the institution has only submitted a statement regarding salary made through cheque. However, the institution has not any supporting document regarding salary made through cheque.”

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Vivekananda Teachers Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 22/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Prosanta Kumar Mandal, President and Shri. Ramprabesh Mandal, Member, Vivekananda Teachers Training College, Malda, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant came to know for the first time that the inspection was conducted on the basis of an alleged complaint; at the time of grant of recognition there was no pre-condition towards creation of any reserve fund and when such a requirement was sought a reserve fund of Rs.3,00,000/- was immediately constituted; for the additional construction work submission of a building completion certificate is not a pre-condition for continuation of recognition; and presently salaries to the staff are being disbursed through A/c payee cheques. The appellant also showed salary payment registers and bank pass books.

AND WHEREAS The Council after taking into account all aspects of the matter came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the order of ERC dated 14-01-10 withdrawing recognition reversed.

AND WHEREAS After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside ERC's order dated 14-01-10 with direction to the ERC to restore recognition to the institution immediately.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Vivekananda Teachers Training College, Malda, Distt. Malda - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89-132/2010-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.H. College of Education (B.Ed) (Minority Institution), Jalgaon, Maharashtra dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW08144/1231211(MINO.INST.)/128th/2009/62708 dated 30/12/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed (E) (Co.Ed) course on the ground "The college has been denied minority status by the Govt. vide its letter No. ASS/2009/CR-563/09 dated 01/09/2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, B.H. College of Education (B.Ed) (Minority Institution) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 23/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Muslim Akabar Khajik, Secretary and Shri. Jawed Mohd A. Qureshi, Member B.H. College of Education (B.Ed) (Minority Institution), Jalgaon, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Govt. of Maharashtra letter dated 01-09-09 on the basis of which the application was closed has not infact been issued by the State Govt. In support of his claim, the appellant has produced a copy of the Govt. of Maharashtra, Minorities Development Department dated 12-3-10 wherein it has been mentioned that the institution was granted minority status in the certificate dated 24-8-09 and the letter dated 01-09-09 appeared to be fabricated and hence may be ignored. The appellant also enclosed a copy of the minority status certificate dated 24-8-09.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into the account the submissions made by the appellant came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case should be remanded to WRC for further processing on merits.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 30-12-09 and remand back the case to WRC for further processing on merit.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of B.H. College of Education (B.Ed) (Minority Institution), Jalgaon, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, B.H. College of Education (B.Ed) (Minority Institution), Bahuuddeshiya Jan Probodhini, Datta Math Lane, Tal. Bhadgaon, , Jalgaon - 414205, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bal Mukund Bazari College of Education, Agra, Uttar Pradesh dated 27/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F73/UP-1654/141st MEETING/2009/10790 dated 26/09/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Bal Mukund Bazari College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 27/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. M.D. Sharma, Representative, Bal Mukund Bazari College of Education, Agra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC granted recognition for BTC course subject to certain conditions including appointment of necessary faculty; thereafter the appellant advertised the posts and selected and appointed the requisite faculty; in the meantime the State Govt. took a policy decision not to permit private colleges to run BTC course and the decision of the State Govt. was challenged in the Hon'ble High Court who have allowed a judgement on 18-1-10.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the grant of recognition by the NRC in their order dated 14-11-06 was subject to the condition of appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted selection committee as per the norms of NCTE/State Govt./Affiliating University/Body. The Council is aware that on account of the State Govt. policy decision the SCERT, UP has not been nominating any expert of the selection committee of the private colleges intending to conduct BTC courses. However, in view of the submissions made by the appellant, in the light of a judgement of Hon'ble High Court, the Council came to the conclusion that the appellant institution may be given an extension of 3 months time to make selection of teaching staff with a nominee of the SCERT on the Selection Committee and intimate the outcome thereof to the NRC for taking further action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept set aside NRC's order dated 26/09/2009 and remand the case with a direction to NRC to allow 3 months extension of time to the institution to make selection of teaching staff with a nominee of the SCERT on the Selection Committee and intimate the outcome

to the NRC. The NRC may take further action on receipt of the requisite information from the institution as per regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Bal Mukund Bazari College of Education, Agra, Uttar Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Bal Mukund Bazari College of Education, Near Runkata Railway Station, Post- Artoni, , Agra - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 139/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of College of Physical Education (Bharti Vidyapeeth University), Pune, Maharashtra dated 24/02/2010 is against the Order No. No.WRC/APW06396/114043/129/2009/62737 dated 01/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P. Ed (M) (Co. Ed) course on the grounds "1) Readers are not qualified as per the NCTE Regulations, 2009. 2) Lecturers not qualified as per the NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, College of Physical Education (Bharti Vidyapeeth University) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. T.K. Bera, Principal and Shri. Akash Gulati, University Nominee, College of Physical Education (Bharti Vidyapeeth University), Pune, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. On the date of hearing the appellant submitted a letter dated 17-3-10 in which it has been stated that after advertising the posts in the newspaper on 28-01-10 and conducting interviews on 15-3-10, appointment letters have been issued.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the selection of teaching faculty was made only after issue of refusal order dated 01-01-10. In the circumstances the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 01-01-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, College of Physical Education (Bharti Vidyapeeth University), Sr. No. 26, 27, Village - Dhankawadi, District, Pune - , Maharashtra**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89 - 145/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gurukul College of Education (Shri Rampal Singh Chouhan Shiksha Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-63870 dated 02/02/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "Recognition for B.Ed course was granted to the institute from the session 2009-10 vide order dated 11/07/2009. The institute did not complete three academic sessions. The institute did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Gurukul College of Education (Shri Rampal Singh Chouhan Shiksha Samiti) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 26/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Ashutosh Awasthi, Secretary, Gurukul College of Education (Shri Rampal Singh Chouhan Shiksha Samiti), Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the society is running a college in the name of Gurukul Institute for B.Ed. course, which has been recognized by NCTE from the academic session 2009-10 and applied for a new college in the name of Gurukul College of Education for conducting D.El.Ed. course. From the details given in the appeal it is seen that the existing institution and the proposed institution are in the same campus and on the same Khasra Nos.

AND WHEREAS in the circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the society has not fulfilled the conditions as required in para 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 and therefore the appeal deserves to be rejected and rejection of the application by the WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Director, Gurukul College of Education (Shri Rampal Singh Chouhan Shiksha Samiti), Plot no. 991/1, 2, 1001/2/1, Keshodar Road, Gariyabandh, Brindawangarh, District, Raipur - , Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89 - 142/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Nath Mal Todi B.Ed College, Sikar, Rajasthan dated 25/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/RJ-1216/2009/14844 dated 09/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The faculty has not been appointed as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. 2) CLU has not been submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Nath Mal Todi B.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Babulal B.Todi, Trustee and Shri. Ashkaranti Secretary Trust, Shri Nath Mal Todi B.Ed College, Sikar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that as per the NCTE Regulations, the Principal and Lecturers were appointed on the recommendations of the duly constituted Selection Committee; the land has been in the Jamabandi as Banjarad and as per the provisions of Rajasthan Land Revenue Act, only agricultural land is required to be converted for residential, commercial or educational purposes; For the land which is already classified as non-agricultural land, there is no requirement for its conversion. From the documents submitted by the appellant to the Regional Committee it is seen that the appointment of faculty is in order.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the order of the NRC dated 09-01-10 reversed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 09-01-10 with direction to the NRC to restore recognition to the institution, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Shri Nath Mal Todi B.Ed College, Lachhmangarh, District , Sikar - ,
Rajasthan

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89 - 143/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Rishikul B.Ed. College (Shri Rishikul Vidyapeeth), Sikar, Rajasthan dated 24/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ-1075/2010/16179 dated 04/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies communicated through letter F.No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ-1075/149 Meeting/2009/10942 dated 30/09/2009 still exist. The deficiencies pointed out in the NRC's show cause notice dated 30-9-09 are : (i) the building plan does not indicate Plot Number/Khasra Number. Further the building plan is not approved by competent authority; (ii) All laboratories are ill equipped. (iii) Ten computers are not enough; (iv)The appointment of Faculty is not approved by the affiliating University; (v) No play grounds are available; (vi) Work-experience room does not exist; (vii) No language learning facilities are available; (viii) Total number of titles is not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed; (ix) Common rooms for Males and Females do not exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Rishikul B.Ed. College (Shri Rishikul Vidyapeeth) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Moti Lal Josh and Shri. Chhagan Shastri, Member, Shri Rishikul B.Ed. College (Shri Rishikul Vidyapeeth), Sikar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has sent replies alongwith necessary documents to the communications issued by the NRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the replies furnished by the institution in response to the deficiencies pointed out by the NRC are not satisfactory and the NRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition. The Council therefore came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the NRC dated 04-02-10 confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the

Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 04-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Rishikul B.Ed. College (Shri Rishikul Vidyapeeth), Near Water Tank, Laxmangarh, District, Sikar - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89 - 148/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shriram College of Education (M.Ed) {Shriram Shikshan Sanstha), Solapur, Maharashtra dated 20/02/2010 is against the Order No. No.WRC/APW07756/125215/123109/128/2009/62793 dated 02/01/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed (M) (Girls) course on the ground "The Principal Does not have 10 years of experience of teaching M.Ed classes. The application is refused as the Council is not satisfied that the institution has qualified staff."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shriram College of Education (M.Ed) {Shriram Shikshan Sanstha) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 26/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Pramod Arvind Zagade, Lecturer, Shriram College of Education (M.Ed) {Shriram Shikshan Sanstha), Solapur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has now made arrangement of a Principal as required by the Council and enclosed of the Principal's experience.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the experience of the selected candidate for the Post of Professor/Head is not adequate as per the NCTE norms. In the circumstance the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the WRC dated 02-01-10 confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 02-10-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The President, Shriram College of Education (M.Ed) {Shriram Shikshan Sanstha), Plot no. 110/02, Village - Paniv, District, Solapur - , Maharashtra
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Sai Balaji College of Education, Warangal Dist., Andhra Pradesh dated 25/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/Ref/2008-09/5867 dated 06/10/2008 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) As per the VT Report of Kakatiya University, Warangal the institution has the building which is located in not a congenial atmosphere for running B.Ed Course. 2) The institution in its written communication dated 13.08.2008 informed about completion of civil work of the building by 10/09/2008. However, neither it shifted to new premises nor allowed the NCTE visiting team to inspect the institution on 17.09.2008. 3) The institution breached oath taken by it in the undertaking submitted to the university for shifting the building to its permanent building but failed to do so. 4) The institution did not cooperate to the visiting team in carrying out inspection unbecoming of an educational institution. 5) The institution violated the provisions contained under various sections of NCTE Act and regulations there under."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sri Sai Balaji College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/10/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. P. Someshwar Rao, Secretary and Mohd. Yakus Ali, Correspondent, Sri Sai Balaji College of Education, Warangal Dist., Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was given three years time for moving into its own premises; permission for running B.Ed. college having been granted on 12-4-07 the said period of 3 years will be completed with the ending of the academic year 2009-10; in pursuance of the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of AP in WP NO.22178 of 2008 dated 28-8-09 the appellant college was allotted students in counseling for the academic year 2009-10. The appellant vide letter dt. 15-3-10 stated that the appellant during the pendency of writ petition, have already shifted the college into the completed building and the same is being run in the new premises. The appellant has not given any explanation for shifting into the new building without getting it inspected by the NCTE providing with all necessary documents relating to the new building such as building plan, completion certificate etc. The appellant has also not furnished any reply for his non-cooperation in carrying out an inspection.

AND WHEREAS the Council also noted that Kakatiya University, Warangal while considering grant/extension of affiliation to this institution for the year 2007-08 has adversely commented on the atmosphere and failure of the institution to move into

a new building despite their having given an undertaking to that effect. The Council taking into account all aspects of the matter and in particular the directions of the Hon'ble High Court allowing allotment of students to this institution and directions to maintain the status-quo obtaining as on 28-8-09 i.e. the date of Order till such time the appeal is disposed of has come to the conclusion that there was no justification for accepting the appeal and it should be rejected but the order of withdrawal shall be effective from the academic session 2010-11.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 06-10-08 was confirmed with the modification that the order of withdrawal shall be effective from the academic session 2010-11.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against, with the modification that the same shall be effective from the academic session 2010-2011.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The, Sri Sai Balaji College of Education, No.3-32/7, Balaji Nagar Post, Thorrur,, Warangal Dist. - , Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

F.No.89 - 136/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research (SIHER), Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3257/155th Meeting/2010/15814 dated 01/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) ET, Language Learning and Computer labs are in the same room which is not as per NCTE norms. 2) As per VT report although building completion certificate has been issued in May 2009 but the building is still under construction. 3) The number of titles in the library is not as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. 4) The internet facility does not exist. 5) As per VT observation, the institute has been named as Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research and does not have any reference to being college of Education."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research (SIHER) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Nagendra Goel, Chairman, Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research (SIHER), Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that though the ET and Computer lab are in the same room at present, all equipments like computers have been provided as per NCTE norms; the minimum built-up area of 1500 Sq.mts. has been constructed and completed in December 2008 itself and completion certificate was shown to VT; the institute is adding more facilities for which construction has already been done and only finishing work are carried out; there are 3000 books 1030 titles and 209 reference books; internet facility has been in existence for long; and as the name of the institute is Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research it automatically cover B.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was inspected twice i.e. on 5-8-09 and 17-11-09 and in both the teams' reports it has been reported that the building is still under construction. The appellant has however submitted a building completion certificate dated 30-7-09 from the Pradhan of Gram Panchayat. Therefore the submission made in the appeal that the construction of the building was completed in December 2008 itself contradicts the building completion certificate. In the circumstances, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the NRC dated 01-02-10 confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 01-02-10 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Syadwad Institute of Higher Education & Research (SIHER), Khasra no. 1414 of Khata no. 59, SIHER' Adjacent to River Park, Delhi Road, District , Baghpat - 250609, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 147/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

12/04/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vaishno Devi Prashikshna Mahavidyalaya, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 26/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-1668/141st Meeting/2009/15602 dated 25/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Vaishno Devi Prashikshna Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 26/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Anil Kumar Yadav, Member and Shri. Hari Krishan, Advisor, Vaishno Devi Prashikshna Mahavidyalaya, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC was informed regarding the appointment of teachers and the institution followed due procedure in the appointment of faculty members.

AND WHEREAS the Council on perusal of the records of the NRC noted that after the issue of a conditional recognition by the NRC on 24-01-07, there is no further correspondence between the institution and the Regional Committee. While the refusal of recognition is on the ground that the institution has not submitted any representation and a list of appointed faculty, the institution claims to have informed the NRC about the appointment of staff. The refusal of the recognition has been done without issuing any show cause notice to the institution. In the circumstance the Council is of the opinion that the refusal of recognition may be changed to withdrawal of recognition effective from the ensuing academic session.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 25-01-10 was confirmed with the modification that the refusal of recognition may be changed to withdrawal of recognition effective from the ensuing academic session.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Vaishno Devi Prashikshna Mahavidyalaya, Gondahi, Chergarh, Tehsil - Kunda, District, Pratapgarh - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.