

F.No.89-242/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1344/153rd Meeting/2009/14592-598 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the ground "The appellent institution does not fulfill the requirements of the Show Cause Notice issued on 13/04/2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Ch. Charan Singh University (hereinafter referred to as the appellent), preferred an appeal dated 05/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. I.S. Sindhu, Professor and Shri Praveen Kumar, Lecturer, Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellent institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the University started the process of fulfilling the conditions laid down by the NCTE; due to unavoidable circumstances and administrative problems, the process took time; 4500 books worth Rs. 15,06,683/- have been purchased for B.P.Ed. and M.P. Ed.; sports gymnasium equipment worth Rs. 11,50,000/- have been purchased; laboratory apparatus worth Rs. 6,00,000/- have been purchased; faculty positions were advertised on 08.12.2009; and one professor-cum. Coordinator, one reader and 9 lecturers were selected on the basis of interviews conducted on 20.12.09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the deficiencies pointed out in the show cause notice dt. 13.04.09 have been pre-existing for the last three years as per the report of the visiting team that conducted an inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act on 31.07.06 and the appellent institution has not even sent any reply to the show cause notice. The improvements like purchase of books and apparatus equipment and issue of advertisement for selection of faculty and holding of interviews are claimed to be effected much after the issue of show cause notice. In these circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal

and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 06-01-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Ch. Charan Singh University, (Campus), Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-241/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1367/153 meeting/2009/14731-37 dated 08.01.2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course on the ground "The appellent institution does not fulfill the requirements of the Show Cause Notice issued on 13/04/2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Ch. Charan Singh University (hereinafter referred to as the appellent), preferred an appeal dated 05/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. I.S. Sindhu, Professor and Shri Praveen Kumar, Lecturer, Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellent institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the University started the process of fulfilling the conditions laid down by the NCTE; due to unavoidable circumstances and administrative problems, the process took time; 4500 books worth Rs. 15,06,683/- have been purchased for B.P.Ed. and M.P. Ed.; sports gymnasium equipment worth Rs. 11,50,000/- have been purchased; laboratory apparatus worth Rs. 6,00,000/- have been purchased; faculty positions were advertised on 08.12.2009; and one professor-cum. Coordinator, one reader and 9 lecturers were selected on the basis of interviews conducted on 20.12.09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the deficiencies pointed out in the show cause notice dt. 13.04.09 have been pre-existing for the last three years as per the report of the visiting team that conducted an inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act on 31.07.06 and the appellent institution has not even sent any reply to the show cause notice. The improvements like purchase of books and apparatus equipment and issue of advertisement for selection of faculty and holding of interviews were claimed to be effected much after the issue of show cause notice. In these circumstances the Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 08-01-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Ch. Charan Singh University, (Campus), Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-374/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Chauhan College of Education, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 23/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66070 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "In the Land Use Certificate at Khasra No. 162 the converted area is only 0.23 acre. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8 (7) (i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Chauhan College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Mohd. Faisal Khan, Director, Chauhan College of Education, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the total land in the name of the society is 2.19 acre i.e. 8853 sq. mt.; the society initially got land use change from SDM, Bhopal for 0.23 acre on 21.05.03 and again got land use change from Town and Country Planning for 2.19 acres on 27.11.09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that only one land use document dt. 21.05.03 for 0.23 acre permitting diversion for residential purposes had been enclosed with the application submitted to the WRC on 30.10.09. Since the converted land as intimated along with the application is far less than 2500 sq. mts. required as per NCTE norms, the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the decision of WRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Chauhan College of Education, Plot No.162, Sunkhedi, Kolar,,  
Bhopal - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-358/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW01574/223256/130th/2010/66663 dated 06/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the document mentioned in the show cause notice nor give plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (v) of the show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Mohan Lal Mahor, Secretary, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that no speaking order has been passed, no inspection was conducted; as regards shifting of establishment the Appellate Authority had already directed the WRC to issue formal permission for shifting to new building, which has already been inspected; if the premises have not been inspected as alleged how it can be contended that in the same premises various courses are being run; no notice with regard to complying with the changed norms has ever been served and without inspection such an allegation cannot be levied; the staff is complete and facilities provided for under clause 5.1.1 do exist and at the time of previous inspection every thing was found existing; and the matter with regard to withdrawal is pending consideration before the Hon'ble Apex Court where the stay is in operation.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution on being refused recognition by the WRC in their order dt. 31.03.09 appealed to the Council and the Council in their order F.No. 89-422/2009-Appeal/A553 dt. 18.08.09, after considering all aspects of the matter remanded the case WRC for issuing a formal permission for shifting to the new building after obtaining all the necessary documents related to the new building and conduct an inspection, if considered necessary. The Council also decided that the institution shall continue as a recognized institution till then. the Council also noted that the impugned order of the WRC dt. 06.04.10 withdrawing recognition against which the present appeal has been filed neither made any reference to the appellate order nor indicated whether any follow up action as per that order has been taken. The order also does not make any reference to the reply

of the institution dt. 13.01.10 to the show cause notice dt. 28.12.09, which is available on the file.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council taking all aspects of the matter into consideration and the directions contained in the Council's Appellate order dt. 18.08.09 has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the WRC's order set aside, and the matter remanded back to WRC with a direction to conduct a composite inspection of the B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses at the new premises and take further necessary action thereafter. The comprehensive inspection in the premises where both the courses are being conducted is necessary as in the report of the Visiting team dt. 17.11.09 it is recoded that the institution was not willing to get the inspection conducted and whatever they observed at the different locations was highly unsatisfactory.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of WRC dated 06.04.10 with a direction to WRC for conducting a composite inspection of the B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses at the new premises and taking further necessary action thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 1st Floor, Triupati Motors, Tansen Road,, Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. **The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal**

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 04/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW04607/225053/130th/2010/66154 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the document mentioned in the show cause notice nor give plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (v) of the show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Mohan Lal Mahor, Secretary, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that no speaking order has been passed; no inspection was conducted; as regards shifting of establishment the Appellate Authority had already directed the WRC to issue formal permission for shifting to new building, which has already been inspected; if the premises have not been inspected as alleged how it can be contended that in the same premises various courses are being run; no notice with regard to complying with the changed norms has ever been served and without inspection such an allegation cannot be levied; the staff is complete and facilities provided for under clause 5.1.1 do exist and at the time of previous inspection every thing was found existing; and the matter with regard to withdrawal is pending consideration before the Hon'ble Apex Court where the stay is in operation.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that an inspection team visited the institution on 17.11.09 and reported on both the courses being conducted namely, B.Ed. and M.Ed. The visiting team reported that the institution was unwilling to get the inspection done and whatever was observed at different locations, despite non-cooperation was highly unsatisfactory. The Council also noted that on the appeal filed by this institution against the order of WRC withdrawing recognition for B.Ed. course being conducted, it was decided that a comprehensive inspection in the premises where both the courses, viz- B.Ed and M.Ed. are being run may be conducted and further necessary action taken thereafter. In view of that decision, the Council came to the conclusion that in the instant case also, the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of WRC set aside, and the case be remanded

to the WRC with the direction to conduct a composite inspection of B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses at new premises and take further action thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside the order of WRC dated 24.03.10 with the direction to WRC for conducting a composite inspection of B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses at new premises and taking further action thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, HICT Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Village- Adupura, Dabra Road, , Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-365/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Servite College of Education for Women, Karur Distt., Tamilnadu dated 29/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2010/18370 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with "B" Grade Certificate is not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Servite College of Education for Women (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Eordelia Mary, Secretary and Shri Lorebce Mary, Member, Servite College of Education for Women, Karur Distt., Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NCTE Regulations do not prescribe the requirement of NAAC 'B' Grade Certificate for grant of permission to start M.Ed., the institution has all the facilities prescribed by NAAC for issuance of 'B' Grade Certificate; and they have applied to NAAC on 28.02.2008 and NAAC informed that a committee will visit the institution on 8-9 May, 2010.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 stipulates that an institution shall be permitted to apply for a new course of Master of Education, after it has been accredited by NAAC with at least a letter Grade 'B' developed by them. Since the appellant institution has not been accredited by the NAAC at the time of applying, the Council came to the conclusion that the SRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Servite College of Education for Women, Plot No. 185, Thogaimalai, Kulithalai,, Karur Distt. - 621313, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-254/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of SSM College of Teacher Education, Calicut/Kozhikode, Kerala dated 27/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2009-2010/17891 dated 03/03/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution is running multiple courses (two B.Ed., two TTCs and PPTC). The built-up space is inadequate as per NCTE norms for all the courses. 2) The same building has been shown for both the B.Ed. programmes, which is against the norms and a serious violation of law. 3) Same building completion certificate and building plan are shown for both the B.Ed. programmes."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, SSM College of Teacher Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri C.P. Cheriya Mohammed, Chairman and Shri Subair K.P., General Secretary, SSM College of Teacher Education, Calicut/Kozhikode, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have separate land and completed construction of the building, in addition to the present campus; the separate building was completed and only plastering was remaining which was also completed soon after the visit; and separate building plan and completion certificates are kept with them and photocopies thereof are enclosed to appeal. In a letter dt. 13.07.10 submitted to the Committee on the day of hearing by the appellant, it was stated that the building was being completed and requested two weeks time to submit building completion certificate and arranging another inspection or hearing.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant in his appeal has not at all contested the three specific grounds on which the recognition was withdrawn. However in the course of personal presentation he explained that another B.Ed. college is functioning at a different location. The Council noted that the visiting team in its report dt. 15.12.09, while recording inadequate equipment in labs had pointed that library, E.T. labs, computer and language lab are shared with TTC programme and the building can accommodate only one B.Ed. course, which implies that the institution is running multiple courses in the campus. The request of the appellant for two weeks time to submit building completion certificate is not understood as they

enclosed a photocopy of the building completion certificate dt. 25.03.10 and claimed that they have kept it (the original) with them. This request also indicates that the building was not complete. Taking all aspects of the matter into consideration and particularly the total absence of any relevant pleadings in the appeal the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 03-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, SSM College of Teacher Education, Green Valley Post, Nellikapamba, Makkam,, Calicut/Kozhikode - 673602, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-526/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr. Vishambhar Singh Pratap Singh Mahavidyalya, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP220/157 Meeting/2010/9792 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds " 1) Land document is not certified. 2) Notarized CLU is not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Dr. Vishambhar Singh Pratap Singh Mahavidyalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Mridula Bhadauria, Secretary and Shri K.S. Bhadauria, Founder Member, Dr. Vishambhar Singh Pratap Singh Mahavidyalya, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the certified copy of land document obtained from Sub- Registrar Bilhaur was enclosed to their reply to NRC given on 04.03.10 and CLU issued by SDM on 09.09.09 i.e. prior to the date of submission of application, was not notarized and the requirement of notarized CLU was not mentioned in the deficiency letter.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the certified copy of the land document was obtained only on 16.02.10 i.e. much after the date of submission of the application. According to the provisions of clause 7 (l) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, among others, certified copy of the land document and also notarized copy of land use certificate have to accompany the application. Since these documents were not enclosed with the application, the Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the file of the institution and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Dr. Vishambhar Singh Pratap Singh Mahavidyalya, Vill- Gadhewa, Post- Tatiyaganj, Tehsil- Bilhaur, Kanpur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-531/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Duja Devi Degree College, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP146/157 Meeting/2010/19689 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Registered Land document is not certified. 2) Building plan does not indicate khasra number / plot number and demarcated area. 3) The submitted CLU was issued on 30-11-2009 after the due date. Further, khasra number 211 mentioned in the affidavit is not mentioned in the land document. Also khasra number 299 and 292 are not mentioned in CLU, affidavit and Certificate of Search submitted by the institute."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Duja Devi Degree College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Anirudh Singh, Head Clerk and Shri Jai Prakash Singh, Clerk, Duja Devi Degree College, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the photocopy of land document issued by Registry Office, Bansdih, Ballia attested by College Manager was submitted; plot number is mentioned in the building plan but site plan could not be mentioned in the plan; due to legal conditions the CLU was issued on 30.11.09; and khatauni and other land records mentioning plot No. 211 and plot Nos 299 and 292 do not belong to the college.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that appellant has not obtained a certified copy of the land document from the competent authority but only submitted a self attested copy; the CLU was also obtained after submission of application and different Khasra numbers are shown in different documents. The Council also noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(l) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, among others, certified copy of the land document and notarized copy of the CLU have to accompany the application. As these documents had not been enclosed to the application, the Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the file of the institution and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council

reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Duja Devi Degree College, Khasra No.-167,168,211,212,220, Vill-Rajauli, Post Office- Sahatwar, Ballia - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-979/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Moga, Punjab dated 31/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/PB-667/144MEETING/2009/7134 dated 29/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ETE additional intake course on the ground "The reply of the institution has not been received in the stipulated time."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 31/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Rohin Sachdeva, Chairman, Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Moga, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in response to the NRC's deficiency letter dt. 25.03.2009 a reply with relevant documents was sent on 21.05.09; similar documents were verified at the time of granting recognition for the basic unit of ETE and additional infrastructure was built for running ETE additional course; no opportunity was given to present the case before closing the file; the institution is not clear about the format of CLU, and a huge amount has been spent on creation of resources for additional intake in ETE. The appellant claimed that he has sent his reply to NRC by registered post.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the reply of the institution dated 21.05.2009 is not on the file of NRC. Since the appellant claimed that similar documents were verified at the time recognizing the basic unit and he sent a reply on 21.05.2009, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of NRC set aside and the case remanded back to NRC for examining the reply of the institution dated 21.05.2009 and taking further action thereafter. The institution is directed to forward the reply dated 21-05-09 to the NRC for their consideration.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set

aside NRC's order dated 29-07-09 and remand the case to NRC for examining the reply of the institution dated 21.05.2009 and taking further action thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Moga, Punjab to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, VPO-Dhudike, teh-Moga, , Moga - , Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-530/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Late K.L. Pandey Memorial Welfare and Education Society, Barwani, Madhya Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP268/REJECTION/134th/2010/67884 dated 12/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Land is for residential and commercial purpose. 2) CLU is for residential and commercial purpose. 3) NOC issued by the SDM for land use for educational purpose on 1.12.2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Late K.L. Pandey Memorial Welfare And Education Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Abhay Pandey, Secretary, Late K.L. Pandey Memorial Welfare and Education Society, Barwani, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has already supplied a land use certificate dated 10.07.2008; the land has been converted for residential and commercial use; sale deed was executed on 20.10.2009; as per the provisions of M.P Govt. there is no separate provision for issue of NOC only; as the land use has been changed on 10-07-08 by the previous owner there is no need to obtain a re-certificate for the same.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant purchased land with building; permission for use of land for residential and commercial purpose was obtained by the previous owner and the appellant sought permission from the concerned Revenue Authorities for use of land/ buildings for educational purpose on 22-11-09 and the authority gave their no objection for use of the land and building for educational purpose on 1-12-09. Since the appellant has applied and obtained permission for use of land for educational purposes after submission of the application and in accordance with the provisions of Clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations 2009, a notarized copy of change of land use certificate has to be available at the time of application, the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of WRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 12-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Late K.L. Pandey Memorial Welfare And Education Society , Plot no.12, Niwali Road, Village- Sendhwa, Barwani - 451666, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-386/2009-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

25/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maharshi Vedvyas Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 27/04/2009 against the Order No. WRC/MP/APW03370/222174/116th/2008/54420 dated 11/04/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "Experience certificate of the Principal submitted not valid" was rejected by the Council in their order F.No. 89-386/2009/Appeal/A 7104 dated 26.11.2009 on the ground that the genuineness of the documents submitted was doubtful.

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Maharshi Vedvyas Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/05/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** aggrieved by to order of the Council, the appellant filed a writ petition No. W.P. 5803/2009 before the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh Bench at Gwalior and the Hon'ble High Court in their Order dated 05.05.2010 directed that the petitioners may submit a fresh certificate in regard to experience and name of the Principal along with required affidavit and thereafter the Respondents may pass appropriate Order in regard to recognition of the petitioner institution within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order. In pursuance of the Hon'ble High Court's Order the appellant submitted a representation dated 19.05.2010 with which he enclosed a copy of the Hon'ble High Courts Order, an affidavit and a copy of experience certificate dated 17.05.2010.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Dharmendra Vyas, President, Maharshi Vedvyas Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh appeared before the Council for a hearing on 13.07.2010. The appellant enclosed copy of a fresh affidavit notarised on 19.05.2010. While the name of the Principal selected, i.e. Shri M.L. Saini appears in the earlier affidavit and the present affidavit, the experience shown in both, the affidavits is different. While the experience in teacher training college shown the affidavit notarised on 21.04.2009 was in RGTV College, Gwalior from 2001 to 2004 (part time / regular) and in Sardar Gyan Singh Memorial College, Morar from 2005-2008 (part time / regular), the experience shown in the affidavit notarised on 19.05.2010 is only in Chaudhary Dilip Singh Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Bhind form 2004 to 2009 (regular), in support of which experience certificate from the said institution has been enclosed. While the name in an earlier certificate issued by this institution

dated 25.03.2009 was written as Shri Saini, in the copy of the certificate dated 17.05.2010, the name has been written as Shri Saini (in Hindi)

**AND WHEREAS** the Council however noted that the institutions where Shri Saini is reported to have worked are not the same in the two affidavits. The appellant was unable to explain the reasons for the changes in the names of the institutions in important legal documents like sworn affidavits. He promised to appear before the Council on 15.07.2010 to clarify the positions, but did not come. the Council after considering all aspects of the matter and in particular the unexplained differences in the two affidavits has come to the conclusion that the documents submitted by the appellant are not reliable and acceptable and therefore the representation of the appellant deserved to be rejected and the appellate order of the Council dated 26.11.2009 confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the representation and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the representation was rejected and the appellate order dt. 26.11.09 confirming WRC's order dated 11-04-09 was reiterated.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Maharshi Vedvyas Mahavidyalaya, Jangipur Pichhore Distt., Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-286/2008-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

30/09/2008

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Parimala Memorial Educational & Charitable Trust's Divine College of Education, Trichy Dist., Tamilnadu dated 31/07/2008 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2006-2007/15385 dated 29/11/2007 of the Southern Regional Committee, against recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The built up space is not adequate for B.Ed Course. 2) The library books and lab, equipment are not adequate for B.Ed Course."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Parimala Memorial Educational & Charitable Trust's Divine College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/08/2008 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri R.Maria Simala, Administrative officer and Mr. Ravi, Trustee, Parimala Memorial Educational & Charitable Trust's Divine College of Education, Trichy Dist., Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. The appellant who appeared in person stated that due to late receipt of communication, he failed to bring the building completion certificate and details of additionally constructed building which are available in the plan. He therefore requested that another date may be given to him to furnish the needed original documents. He has given this request through writing in his letter dt. 12.10.09. the Council agreed to the request of the appellant. The appellant appeared before the Council on 13.07.2010. As they did not bring the building completion certificate on that day they submitted a photocopy of the said certificate dt. 15.02.2007 issued by the village Administrative Officer to the Council on 14.07.2010. This certificate indicates that the construction of the building was completed in 2007 and the built up area is 17,425 sq.ft.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant institution was inspected by a two member team on 26.04.2007 and both the members gave independent assessments which are quite favorable. They have recorded that more than 3000 books are available. There is no adverse comment about the lab equipment. Further in the appeal dt. 31.07.2008, the appellant has claimed that they have 3450 books and their laboratories are well equipped and the built up space of 17,200 sq ft. is more than 16,125 sq ft. which is required for B.Ed course as per norms. The Council taking all aspects of the matter and the submissions made by the appellant into

consideration has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the order of SRC set aside, with the direction to issue orders under the provisions of clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations within 15 days of the receipt of the appellate order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted, set aside the order of the SRC dt. 29-11-07 and remand the case to the SRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations within 15 days of the receipt of the appellate order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Parimala Memorial Educational & Charitable Trust's Divine College of Education, Trichy Dist., Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, Parimala Memorial Educational & Charitable Trust's Divine College of Education, 13/2, Shastri Road, Tennur, Trichy Dist. - 620017, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-440/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Pt. Nehru D.Ed. College, Morena, Madhya Pradesh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66229 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the ground "As per the on-line application the built up area is only 879.9 sq.mt. which is less of 1500 sq. mt. as required in the NCTE, Regulations 2009. The institution did not fulfil the requirement of clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE, Regulations, 2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Pt. Nehru D.ED. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Girraj Pathak, Member, Pt. Nehru D.ED. College, Morena, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have a total land area of 46,695.55 sq.mts. with a built up area of 1695.55 sq.mts. and due to clerical mistake the built up area was mentioned as 879.9 sq.mts. In the course of personal presentation the appellant admitted that they have only one building in which a number of other courses like B.A, B.com., M.A, M.Sc and also a school are being run and the proposed D.Ed course will be conducted in the evening shift while the school will be run in the morning shift.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council after taking into account all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that the appellant institution does not have built up space as per the norms for conducting the D.Ed course and the WRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of WRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 25-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Pt. Nehru D.ED. College, Khasra No.383-384-385,VPO-Banmor, Tehsil- Banmor, Morena - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-477/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of CSI College of Education, Madurai, Tamilnadu dated 14/04/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2010/18666 dated 03/05/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) The institution has not submitted valid Minority Status Certificate from the competent authority".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, CSI College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. J.P. Gambriel, Director, CSI College of Education, Madurai, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant institution was having sufficient academic payload to apply for NAAC assessment and accreditation and hence applied for NAAC and the appellant was making earnest efforts to get officially the order for minority status from the State Govt. of Tamil Nadu and Minority Status was yet to be accorded.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that that Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 stipulated that an institution shall be permitted to apply for new course of Master of Education (M. Ed) and Master of Physical Education and enhancement of intake in Bachelor of Education, Bachelor of Physical Education, Master of Education and Master of Physical Education Programme, after it has been accredited by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with at least a letter Grade B developed by NAAC. The institution, during the course of hearing, admitted that it was not having NAAC accreditation and that it submitted application and it was yet to receive NAAC accreditation. Thus, the requirements of Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulation, 2009, were not fulfilled in this case. The institution also admitted that minority status was yet to be accorded to it by the State Govt. of Tamil Nadu. The Council was therefore of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the SRC for valid reasons and there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 03-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Director, CSI College of Education, Pasumalai, Madurai - 625004, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
5. **The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.**

F.No.89-474/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Science College, Chindwara, Madhya Pradesh dated 10/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06954/223734/131th/2010/66192 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Principal do not have adequate teaching experience as per the NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Govt. Science College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. D.S. Biseri, Principal, Govt. Science College, Chindwara, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the institution re-advertised the post and appointed another Principal namely Smt. Arti Tiwari who was having adequate qualification and experience as per the NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council verified the staff profile of the newly appointed Principal namely Smt. Arti Tiwari and found that she was having only part time experience and therefore she was also not qualified for appointment as Principal as per the NCTE norms. Further selection of the Principal has not been approved by the University. Even earlier appointed Principal - Shri. Surendra Kumar Tiwari was also not having teaching experience as per NCTE norms. The Council was therefore of the view that there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Govt. Science College, Khasra No.-344/2 Pat H.NO.22 Amravti Road, Village And Post- Pandhurna, Chindwara - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-468/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Hindustan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 17/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP 210/REJECTION/133/2010/67373 dated 28/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Notarized CLU not submitted. 2) CLU is not for educational purpose".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Hindustan College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Nitin Manglik, P.R.O, Hindustan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that notarized copy of CLU was filed by the appellant and the CLU submitted was for commercial category which covered educational purpose also.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that notarized CLU was submitted by the institution and the CLU submitted covered the purpose of education also. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside the WRC's Order 28-04-10.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside WRC's order dated 28-04-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the Order of WRC dated 28-04-10 set aside with direction to the WRC to process the case further on merit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Hindustan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Hindustan College of Education, Plot No. 607,608 Village Arru, Post Dabra, Tehsil Tal Dabra, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-472/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Indian Angels Mahila Teacher Training College, Jaipur, Rajasthan dated 18/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ-684/Meeting 159/2009 By Regd./ Speed Post dated 14/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Still CLU has not been submitted. 2) Rooms and Multipurpose Hall are under construction. 3) Faculty has not been appointed as per NCTE norms for B.Ed. It shows that the college has been running without proper faculty and staff".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Indian Angels Mahila Teacher Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Prakash Chandra Khulue, Secretary and Shri. Prem Ballabh, Chairman, Indian Angels Mahila Teacher Training College, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant institution had submitted all the required documents; the construction of rooms and multi-purpose hall was completed in all respects and the appellant had appointed teaching staff selected by duly constituted Selection Committee as per NCTE/University norms for B.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that though the institution claimed that it had submitted CLU, yet it was not the case and the CLU was yet to be issued by the Competent Authority. The Council also noted that VT Report dated 28-10-09, inter-alia, indicated that the size of the multi-purpose hall was 1226 Sq.ft. as against the requirement of 1500 Sq.ft. as per the NCTE norms (as per the latest NCTE Regulations 2009, the size of the multi-purpose hall is required 2000 Sq.ft.). the Council was, therefore, of the view that the first two grounds for withdrawal of recognition were valid. The Council further noted that it was a case of shifting of campus and the sale deed dated 26-12-07 submitted in respect of the land indicated that the institution was having only 0.18 hect of land in Khasra No.405/768 and 407/770 as against the requirement of a minimum 0.25 hect. or 2500 Sq.mt. as per the NCTE norms. Thus, the institution was also not fulfilling the requirement of adequate land as per norms. the Council was, therefore, of the view that the NRC 's order dated 14-05-10 should be set-aside with direction to NRC to reconsider the case and issue appropriate speaking order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 14-05-10. Accordingly, the order dated 14-05-10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to NRC with direction to reconsider the case and issue appropriate speaking order.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of **Indian Angels Mahila Teacher Training College, Jaipur, Rajasthan** to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Indian Angels Mahila Teacher Training College, Devi Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Jaipur - -, Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89-330/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab dated 24/04/2010 is against the Order No.NRC/NCTE/F.7/PB.493/150th Meeting/2009 dated 30-04-10 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed(Distance Mode) course on the grounds "The teacher education programme through Distance Mode is not permissible to be run by any private university as per NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Lovely Professional University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 29/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Sanjay Modi, Senior Dean and Dr. S.K. Bawa, Dean, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the proposed B.Ed. (Open and Distance Learning System) programme was intended to be started by the Directorate of Open and Distance Learning of the Lovely Professional University. Lovely Professional University was a State Private University established by the Government of Punjab through an enactment of the State Legislature Punjab Act No. 25 of 2005 and was duly empowered to offer teacher education programmes through distance mode. Lovely Professional University as a State Private University was recognized by the University Grants Commission under Section 2(f) of the UGC Act. 1956 and enlisted at Sr. 28 in the list of State Private Universities maintained by UGC. Lovely Professional University was recognized by the Distance Education Council (DEC) for offering distance education programmes and enlisted under the list of DEC recognized universities available on DEC website. In spite of fulfilling the above said eligibility conditions, the file of the appellant had not been taken up so as to proceed in this matter for issuing Letter of Intent for inspection of the facilities made available thereat so that the appellant could comply with the formalities and other conditions, as and if prescribed by the NCTE, for the grant of recognition to start B.Ed. (Open and Distance Learning System) Programme from session 2007 and resultantly the appellant Lovely Professional University had to forego the admission for session 2007.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the NCTE Regulations, 2005, which were in vogue when the application was submitted by the institution, stipulated that B.Ed. (Distance Mode) would be offered by the open university or Directorates of Distance Education/Correspondence Education Universities and it was not the policy of the

NCTE to allow private universities/colleges to run the teacher education course through distance mode. The Council also noted that the Lovely Professional University was permitted by the State Government as a self-financing professional university and the UGC notification No.F.9-10/2006(CPP.1) dated 01-11-06 clearly indicated that the Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab was a private/self-financed university. The Council further noted that the current NCTE Regulations, 2009 clearly indicated that the institutions or academic unit specially established for offering ODL programmes like the National Open University, State Open Universities and the Directorates/School of Open and Distance Learning in the Central or State Universities shall be eligible to offer teacher education programme through Open and Distance Learning system. Therefore, the Council was of the view that recognition to Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab – a private self-finance University for conducting B.Ed. course (Distance mode), was refused by NRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Lovely Professional University, Lovely Campus, Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road, NH-1, , Phagwara - 144402, Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-475/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mohammadia Educational Society College of Educational, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh dated 06/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2010/18659 dated 03/05/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The institution has not replied to the deficiency letter even after a lapse of three months and hence it is decided that no further action is necessary and that the application is to be rejected".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Mohammadia Educational Society College of Educational (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. D. Numatulla, Mohammadia Educational Society College of Educational, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant institution had not received any deficiency letter and requested to make available a copy of the deficiency letter to enable the institution to respond to the same.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the address mentioned in the deficiency letter dated 22-12-09 was wrong and therefore the Council was of the view that the appeal should be accepted and the SRC's order dated 03-05-10 set-aside with direction to the SRC to issue fresh deficiency letter to the institution at the correct address.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside SRC's order dated 03-05-10. Accordingly, the order dated 03-05-10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to the SRC with direction to issue fresh deficiency letter to the institution at the correct address.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mohammadia Educational Society College of Educational, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Mohammadia Educational Society College of Educational, Plot No.- 76/97-4, Sampath Nagar, Village- Kurnool, Tehsil- Karnool, Kurnool - -, Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

F.No.89-465/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of S.D.P.S Women's College , Indore, Madhya Pradesh dated 17/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66036 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "The institute has not submitted hard copy as required in the clause 5(3) of NCTE, Regulations, 2009".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, S.D.P.S Women's College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Munish Gupta, Academic Administrator, S.D.P.S Women's College, Indore, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had submitted the application alongwith all required documents to the WRC through registered post on 27-10-09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the hard copy of the application submitted by the institution was received by WRC on 30-10-09 and the same was available in the file. Therefore, the Council was of the view that rejection of application of the institution on the ground that it had not submitted hard copy as required in Clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations 2009, was not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the appeal should be accepted and the WRC's order dated 22-03-10 set aside with direction to the WRC to process the case further on merit.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside WRC's order dated 22-03-10. Accordingly, the order dated 22-03-10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to WRC with direction to process the case further on merit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.D.P.S Women's College , Indore, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, S.D.P.S Women's College , Plot No.26,Khandwa Raod, Opp- Bilawali Tank, Indore - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-464/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shanti College of Education, Daulatpur, Himachal Pradesh dated 17/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/NRCAPP260/157 Meeting/2010/19927 dated 13/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "Approved building plan has not been submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shanti College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. C.L. Sharma, Chairman, Shanti College of Education, Daulatpur, Himachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had furnished a building plan which was duly certified by the Registered Architect and was approved by Assistant Engineer of PWD of Govt. of Himachal Pradesh and that the appellant was already running B.Ed. course duly recognized by the NCTE in the same premises.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution had submitted approved building plan. The Council also noted that recognition for B.Ed. course was granted by the NRC to the institution vide order dated 13-09-07 and thus the institution did not complete the requisite mandatory period of three academic sessions to become eligible for submission of fresh application as per Clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulation, 2009. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations 2009 and taking appropriate decision thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order dated 13-04-10 and remand the case back to the NRC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the order dated 13-04-10 was set-aside and the case was remanded to NRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 and taking appropriate decision thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shanti College of Education, Daulatpur, Himachal Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Shanti College of Education, Plot No.1934, Vill- Kailash Nagar, Post Nakroh, Daulatpur - -, Himachal Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

F.No.89-470/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vivekanand Mahavidyalya, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh dated 17/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP174/157 Meeting/2010/19696 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed. course on the grounds "1) Land document is not certified. 2) Notarized CLU is not submitted. 3) Khasra number/ plot number is not mentioned on the building plan".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vivekanand Mahavidyalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Jitender Singh, Director and Shri. Atul Kr. Chauhan, Secretary, Vivekanand Mahavidyalya, Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had furnished copies of land documents i.e. sale deed by due date on 02-03-2010 in reply to deficiency letter of NRC; only CLU and not notarized CLU was required to submit as per deficiency letter dated 04-01-10 of NRC and the point regarding Khasra No./Plot No. was never raised by the NRC and hence the appellant had no opportunity to remove this objection.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and notarized copy of CLU within 7 days, shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution submitted self certified copy of land document and it had not submitted notarized copy of CLU alongwith its application as required under Clause 7(1-A) of the Regulations 2009. The institution also admitted that the building plan submitted did not indicate Khasra No./Plot No. The Council also noted that building plan without Khasra No./Plot No. could not be treated as a valid document. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the norms prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to become eligible for consideration for recognition by NCTE. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the application of

the institution was rejected by the NRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Vivekanand Mahavidyalya, Plot No.-256, Vill- Darwara, Tehsil- Chandpur, Bijnor - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-469/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of VPN College of Teacher Education, Villupuram, Tamilnadu dated 14/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/M.ED/2010/18624 dated 30/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1)National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Land document is not submitted. 3) The institution has not submitted valid Minority Status Certificate from the competent authority".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, VPN College of Teacher Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. P. Gopinath, Correspondent, VPN College of Teacher Education, Villupuram, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the institution had applied to the NAAC for accreditation but NAAC stated that the institution was not eligible for accreditation as it did not complete three years of running an existing course in B.Ed; the institution was granted recognition for running a course in B.Ed. by the SRC and without submission of land documents earlier, recognition would not have possible and the institution had not submitted application under the minority status and hence there was no necessity to produce valid minority status certificate.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 stipulated that an institution shall be permitted to apply for new course of Master of Education (M. Ed) and Master of Physical Education and enhancement of intake in Bachelor of Education, Bachelor of Physical Education, Master of Education and Master of Physical Education Programme, after it has been accredited by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with at least a letter Grade B developed by NAAC. The institution was not having NAAC accreditation. Thus, the requirements of Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 were not fulfilled in this case. The Council also noted that as per Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, an applicant institution for recognition of teacher education course was

required to submit, inter-alia certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority. The institution admitted that it did not submit the land documents. The Council further noted that the institution had not submitted application under minority category and, therefore, the objection that it did not furnish valid minority status certificate was not relevant. However, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulation 8(4) and Regulation 7(1-A) of NCTE Regulations, 2009, to become eligible for consideration for recognition for M.Ed. course by NCTE. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was no ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, VPN College of Teacher Education, Gingee-Tiruvannamalai Road Kadaladikulam Village,, Villupuram - 604152, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-489/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of AISECT, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh dated 14/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66083 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, AISECT (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Munish Kumar Govind, Manager, AISECT, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the institution was in possession of 6.08 acres of land and total built-up area of 4327.16 Sq.mts. and that the land was on ownership basis.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution was having adequate land as per the NCTE norms and, therefore, rejection of its application on the ground that it did not fulfill the condition as required in 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009, was not in order. the Council, however, also noted that the institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course vide the WRC's order dated 31-08-09 i.e. from 2009-10 and, it had not completed 3 successive academic sessions to become eligible for applying for an additional course i.e. D.El.Ed. as per Clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the WRC order dated 22-03-10 should be set aside with direction to the WRC to reconsider the case in accordance with Clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 and pass appropriate order, thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that WRC's order dated 22-03-10 should be set-aside and the case remanded back to WRC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the order dated 22-03-10 was set-aside and the case remanded to WRC with direction to reconsider

the case in accordance with the Clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 and pass appropriate order, thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of AISECT, Raisen, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, AISECT, Plot No-192/5,197/5, Mendua, Bhopal, Chiklod Road, Bangrassia, Raisen - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-487/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Banjara Physical College of Education, Bijapur, Karnataka dated 14/04/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/2010-2011/18585 dated 28/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course on the ground "National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Banjara Physical College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. K.G. Rathod and Shri. Ashok Rathod, President, Banjara Physical College of Education, Bijapur, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that when the institution submitted its application for M.P.Ed. course, the condition of NAAC certification and completion of 3 academic sessions of B.P.Ed. course was not there.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the application of the institution was submitted on 31-10-09 and therefore the NCTE Regulations, 2009, which came into force with effect from 31-08-09, were applicable to the institution. Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 stipulated that an institution shall be permitted to apply for new course of Master of Physical Education (M. P.Ed) and enhancement of intake in Bachelor of Education, Bachelor of Physical Education, Master of Education and Master of Physical Education Programme, after it has been accredited by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with at least a letter Grade B developed by NAAC. The institution admitted that it was not having NAAC accreditation. Thus, the requirements of Regulations 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 were not fulfilled in this case. Similarly Regulation 8(3) stipulated that an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions. The institution was granted recognition for

B.P.Ed. course from the academic session 2008-09 and, thus it had not completed 3 successive academic sessions to become eligible for applying for an additional course as per clause 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulations 8(3) and 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to become eligible for consideration for recognition for M.P.Ed. course. The Council was, therefore, of the view that there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 28-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Working President, Banjara Physical College of Education, Banjara Nagar, Solapur Road, Bijapur - 586103, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

F.No.89-479/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06074/223675/130th/2010/66381 dated 27/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the Show Cause Notice nor give plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the Show Cause Notice".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Prashant Singh, Secretary, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that all documents of registered lease deed were submitted in the WRC office along with the application, the total area of building was 30372 sq.ft. which was more than the requirement of NCTE, norms for B.Ed and D.Ed. Course, the building duly approved by Nagar Palika and the permission to use the building for educational purpose was taken from Nagar Palika, all teaching staff were selected by the duly constituted committee and all faculty were having the qualification and experience as per the NCTE norms the list of selected/appointed faculty was sent to the university for approval but the same was pending with the university, the institution was having 3000 books in the library for B.Ed. course and 2516 for D.Ed. course which were more than the requirement of NCTE norms and the copy of accession register, bills attached and all above documents/facts were not considered by WRC and WRC decided the case without applying the mind and that the case was considered with prejudice and decision taken was arbitrary.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 stipulated that where the Regional Committee is, on its own motion or on any representation received from any person, satisfied that a recognized institution has contravened any of the provisions of the Act, or the rules, regulations, orders made or issued thereunder, or any condition subject to which recognition under sub-section (3) of section 14 or permission under sub-section (3) of section 15 was

granted, it may withdraw recognition of such recognized institution, for reasons to be recorded in writing. The Council also noted that an inspection of the institution u/s 17 of the NCTE Act was conducted by a visiting team of experts on 11-11-09. The VT report was negative and it, inter-alia, indicated that the building plan submitted by the institution was for construction of plaza, it was not a systematic building with rooms constructed as per requirement for classes, the management created rooms by making wooden partition only, basement was used for tuition classes and shopping center, ground floor was for shops and tuition classes, 1st floor was used as guest house creating 15 rooms to be given on rent, 2nd floor was used for B.Ed. classrooms, office and lab etc., dead-stock register was not maintained, the building was in a congested area (gali), which was not advisable to run B.Ed. course because area was crowded and noisy and boards of many other courses were found displayed on the front part of the building which created doubt that there were many other courses being run in the building. The WRC had issued show cause notice dated 28-12-09 to the institution asking the institution to show cause why the recognition of the institution should not be withdrawn on the following grounds: i) Institution contravened Clause 8(13) of NCTE Regulations, 2007 (upgradation of facilities as per revised norms before commencement of next academic session). ii) Institution contravened Clause 5.1.1 of Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2007 (regarding possession of adequate built-up area). iii) Institution contravened Clause 4.1 of Appendix 4 of Regulations, 2007 (regarding availability of qualified staff). iv) Institution contravened Clause 5.2 of Appendix 4 of Regulations, 2007 (regarding availability of instructional facilities). The WRC had also asked the institution to furnish (i) the registered land document, (ii) the permission of Govt. of Madhya Pradesh to use the land for educational purposes, (iii) building permission of the local authority alongwith approved building plan (iv) the permission of local authority for occupying the building and (v) the University/SCERT approval for teaching staff for B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses which the institution had claimed in its affidavit dated 28-01-08, availability of 1,46,325 Sq.mts. of land 2006.76 Sq.mts. of built-up area. In its reply dated nil received by WRC on 28-01-10, the institution inter-alia claimed that its building was having a built-up area of 30750 Sq.ft., it was granted permission only after inspection and as of now B.Ed. course was not being run, it was having 3000 books, as of now only D.Ed. course was being run, institution was having land measuring 2,47,500 Sq.ft. and the building with built-up area of 3713.44 Sq.mts. was almost completed. The institution did not furnish the specific documents called for by the WRC and the reply furnished by the institution to the show cause notice was also not satisfactory. The Council was, therefore, of the view that recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 27-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Plot No.12/454, Kirar Plaza, Fort Road, Hazira, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-480/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06062/222316/130th/2010/66349 dated 26/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "Institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the Show Cause Notice nor give plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the Show Cause Notice".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Prashant Singh, Secretary, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that all documents of registered lease deed were submitted in WRC office along with the application, the total area of building was 30372 sq.ft. which was more than the requirement of NCTE, norms for B.Ed and D.Ed. Course, the building duly approved by Nagar Palika and the permission to use the building for educational purpose was taken from Nagar Palika, all teaching staff were selected by duly constituted committee and all faculty were having the qualification and experience as per the NCTE norms the list of selected/appointed faculty was sent to the university for approval but the same was pending with the university, the institution was having 3000 books in the library for B.Ed. course and 2516 for D.Ed. course which were more than the requirement of NCTE norms and the copy of accession register, bills attached and all above documents/facts were not considered by WRC and WRC decided the case without applying the mind and that the case was considered with prejudice and decision taken was arbitrary.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 stipulated that where the Regional Committee is, on its own motion or on any representation received from any person, satisfied that a recognized institution has contravened any of the provisions of the Act, or the rules, regulations, orders made

or issued thereunder, or any condition subject to which recognition under sub-section (3) of section 14 or permission under sub-section (3) of section 15 was granted, it may withdraw recognition of such recognized institution, for reasons to be recorded in writing. The Council also noted that an inspection of the institution u/s 17 of the NCTE Act was conducted by a visiting team of experts on 11-11-09. The VT report was negative and it, inter-alia, indicated that the building plan submitted by the institution was for construction of plaza, it was not a systematic building with rooms constructed as per requirement for classes, the management created rooms by making wooden partition only, basement was used for tuition classes and shopping center, ground floor was for shops and tuition classes, IInd floor was used as guest house creating 15 rooms to be given on rent, IIIrd floor was used for B.Ed. classrooms, office and lab etc., dead-stock register was not maintained, the building was in a congested area (gali), which was not advisable to run D.Ed. course because area was crowded and noisy and boards of many other courses were found displayed on the front part of the building which created doubt that there were many other courses being run in the building. The WRC had issued show cause notice dated 22-01-10 to the institution asking the institution to show cause why the recognition of the institution should not be withdrawn on the following grounds: i) Institution contravened Clause 8(13) of NCTE Regulations, 2007 (upgradation of facilities as per revised norms before commencement of next academic session). ii) Institution contravened Clause 5.1.1 of Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2007 (regarding possession of adequate built-up area). iii) Institution contravened Clause 4.1 of Appendix 4 of Regulations, 2007 (regarding availability of qualified staff). iv) Institution contravened Clause 5.2 of Appendix 4 of Regulations, 2007 (regarding availability of instructional facilities). The WRC had also asked the institution to furnish (i) the registered land document, (ii) the permission of Govt. of Madhya Pradesh to use the land for educational purposes, (iii) building permission of the local authority alongwith approved building plan (iv) the permission of local authority for occupying the building and (v) the University/SCERT approval for teaching staff for B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses which the institution had claimed in its affidavit dated 28-01-08, availability of 1,46,325 Sq.mts. of land 2006.76 Sq.mts. of built-up area. In its reply dated nil received by WRC on 28-01-10, the institution inter-alia claimed that its building was having a built-up area of 30750 Sq.ft., it was granted permission only after inspection and as of now B.Ed. course was not being run, it was having 3000 books, as of now only D.Ed. course was being run, institution was having land measuring 2,47,500 Sq.ft. and the building with built-up area of 3713.44 Sq.mts. was almost completed. The institution did not furnish the specific documents called for by the WRC and the reply furnished by the institution to the show cause notice was also not satisfactory. The Council was, therefore, of the view that recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 26-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Bhagwati Institute of Professional Studies, Kirar Plaza, Fort Road, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-478/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.S. Foundation College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 18/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP278/REJECTION/133/2010/67423 dated 29/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the grounds "The institution has not submitted original FDRs in respect of Endowment Fund & Reserve Fund".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, R.S. Foundation College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. M. Mohan, Joint Secretary, R.S. Foundation College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had submitted two FDRs (alongwith a set of photocopies) bearing no. 898917 dt. 22.10.2009 for Rs.5 lacs and FDR no. 898918 dt. 22.10.2009 for Rs.3 lacs each issued by Bank of Rajasthan, South Extn, New Delhi each with maturity date on 22.10.2014, and these FDRs numbers were mentioned in their online application also.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution had not submitted FDRs alongwith the application but in response to the deficiency letter dated 10-02-10 issued, it forwarded FDRs for Rs.5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs which were dated 22-10-09. Thus, the institution was having FDRs for Rs.5 lakh and Rs. 3 lakhs as on the date of the application. Therefore, the Council, was of the view that the rejection of application of the institution on the ground that the institution did not submit original FDRs in respect of endowment fund and reserve fund was not in order and thus there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside WRC's order dated 29-04-10. Accordingly, the order dated 29-04-10 was

set-aside and the case remanded to WRC with direction to process the case further on merit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.S. Foundation College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, R.S. Foundation College of Education, Plotj No. GH-933, Deen Dayal Nagar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-485/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sant Tukaram Shikshan Sanstha, Bhagawtrao Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Snagli, Maharashtra dated 19/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05546/1221533 (Appeal) (Court Case)/133rd/2010/67522 dated 03/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.Ed (M) (CO-ED) course on the ground "The institution did not have land on ownership basis or on lease basis as per requirement of the NCTE regulation".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Sant Tukaram Shikshan Sanstha, Bhagawtrao Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Sunil B. Patil, Secretary and Shri. Rahul R. Khokale, Member, Sant Tukaram Shikshan Sanstha, Bhagawtrao Patil Adhyapak Vidyalaya, Snagli, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant issued letter to the respondent on 10.09.2008, whereby appellant informed to the respondent that the appellant institute was having land bearing City Survey No. 453 and that also the appellant sent letter to respondent by courier, alongwith the list of various property documents on 15.04.2010 in respect of the property of the appellant institute.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that in the application submitted by the institution the available built-up area indicated was only 3805 Sq.ft. as against the requirement of 16,164 Sq.ft. as per the NCTE norms. The Council also noted that in support of available land it submitted a long term lease deed in respect of 3805 Sq.ft. of land which was highly inadequate as per the NCTE norms. The explanation given by the institution on the appeal in respect of ground of refusal of recognition is also vague. The Council, was therefore, of the view that the recognition to the institution was refused by the WRC for valid reasons and there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 03-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The General Secretary, Sant Tukaram Shikshan Sanstha, Bhagawtrao Patil Adhyapak Vidyalyaya, Kameri, A/P Kameri, Talwalwa, Snagli - -, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Saraswati Adhyapak Vidhyalaya , Nagpur, Maharashtra dated 15/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05920/1221679/132nd/2010/67142 dated 16/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds " 1) Multipurpose hall is not as per the NCTE norms. 2) Principal not appointed".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Saraswati Adhyapak Vidhyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Radke D.S., President and Shri. Radke T.D., Member, Saraswati Adhyapak Vidhyalaya , Nagpur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had provided a multi-purpose hall measuring 1082 Sq.ft. (100.55 Sq.mts.) which was as per the norms specified by NCTE which provided that the Assembly Hall should have a minimum floor area of 100 Sq.mts. but preferably 150 Sq.mts. The hall can be used for multiple purposes such as holding of meetings for demonstration teaching for cultural programmes etc and the institution had appointed qualified and eligible person as the Principal.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the multipurpose hall provided by the institution was sufficient as per the provision in the NCTE norms quoted by the institution existing at the time of submission of application but the institution would be required to upgrade the facilities to conform to the new regulations. The Council also noted that the question of appointment of staff would arise only after letter of intent prior to recognition is issued to the institution. Since Letter of Intent prior to recognition has not been issued to the institution so far, the second ground of refusal of recognition that Principal was not appointed, was not a valid ground for rejection of application. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the appeal should be accepted and the WRC's order dated 16-04-2010 set-aside with direction to the WRC to issue Letter of Intent prior to recognition immediately.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 16-04-2010 and remand back the case to

WRC. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted, the order of WRC dated 16-04-2010 set-aside and the case remanded to WRC for issuance of Letter of Intent prior to grant of recognition under Clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Saraswati Adhyapak Vidhyalaya, Nagpur, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Saraswati Adhyapak Vidhyalaya , Plot No.279, Ajani, Post-Ajani, Tah- Kamptee, Nagpur - 441002, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-483/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shadan College of Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh dated 11/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/B.Ed. Add/2010/18653 dated 03/05/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Minority status certificate submitted is not from the competent authority".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shadan College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Mr. K.J. Vijaya Rao, Director, Shadan College of Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the Minority status certificate issued to this college was signed by competent authority i.e. Secretary to Govt. Minority Welfare Department A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad-22 and the minority status certificate submitted was from the competent authority.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that SRC was not justified in demanding the minority status certificate and the institution is yet to be recognized. The claim of the society that it is a minority society should have been accepted and read w.r.t. the memorandum of association and bye-laws of the society. Therefore rejection of application of the institution on the ground that minority status certificate submitted was not from competent authority, was not in order and, thus, there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside SRC's order dated 03-05-10. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the order dated 03-05-10 set-aside and the case was remanded to SRC with direction to process the case further on merit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shadan College of Education, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Shadan College of Education, Plot No-6-2-978, Village-Khairatabad, Hyderabad - -, Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

F.No.89-490/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** The appeal of Shastri Dipam Choudhary Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 19/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP29/157 Meeting/2010/9686 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "Land document is not certified".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shastri Dipam Choudhary Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Kalash Singh Yadav and Shri. Shiv Lal Yadav, Shastri Dipam Choudhary Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the deficiency letter dated 22-12-09 was issued by the NRC to which the appellant duly replied to and complied with by filing reply dated 17-03-10 and thus no deficiency remained.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. As the institution did not fulfill the mandatory requirement of furnishing certified copy of land document alongwith the application it failed to fulfill the requirement of Regulation 7(1-A) of NCTE Regulations 2009. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by NRC for valid reason and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Shastri Dipam Choudhary Mahavidyalaya, Plot No.28-29,09 & 49, Vill-Bhadev, Ghazipur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-481/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Krishana Vidyalaya Prashikshan Sansthan, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP128/158 Meeting/2010/21533-34 dated 07/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified registered land document has not been submitted. 2) The CLU issued by competent authority has not been submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shri Krishana Vidyalaya Prashikshan Sansthan (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ram Bahadur, Manager and Shri. S.D. Yadav, Shri Krishana Vidyalaya Prashikshan Sansthan, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the certified copy of registered land (legally valid) had not been submitted to NRC along with the application because the concerning land Plot No. 981B was allotted to Shri Krishna Vidyalaya Mangari Prashikshan Sansthan by consolidation officer (C.O)during consolidation period; the institution had submitted the CLU issued by Competent Authority (S.D.M., Bikapur, Distt. faizabad) in which it was clearly stated that Shri Krishna Vidyalaya was built up on Gata No. 981 B, which would be used only for the teaching purpose.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and notarized copy of CLU within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution admitted that it could not submit certified copy of registered land document alongwith the hard copy of application. The Council also noted that the CLU submitted was not signed by any authority and it was also bearing a date prior to the date of issue of khatoni, which raised doubt about the genuineness of the document. In any case the CLU submitted was dated 09-04-10 i.e. much after the date of submission of application. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to become eligible for consideration for recognition by

NCTE. Therefore the Council was of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by NRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 07-05-10 was confirmed

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Shri Krishana Vidyalaya Prashikshan Sansthan, VPO- Mangari, Tehsil Bikapur, Faizabad - 215151, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-502/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Aryan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APWO1893/22338/7/130th/2010/66171 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the document mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) & (iv) of the show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Aryan College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Smt. Deepika Jain, Director and Shri Anil Jain, Secretary, Aryan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course vide WRC's order dated 10.04.2007 in rented premises. The institution vide its letter dated 22.02.2010 informed the WRC that the new building had been constructed and sought approval for shifting, but they did not receive any response from WRC. On 31.10.2009 WRC informed the institution that an inspection of the institution shall be caused between 10th -20th Nov' 09. Due to marriage related rituals of one of the trustees, they were not able to support the visiting team in that particular period. The institution was closed on the day of inspection, WRC issued show cause notice u/S 17 of the NCTE Act and the appellant submitted its reply and WRC without taking into account the reply of the institution, withdrew the recognition. It was further submitted that as per the condition of recognition order, the trust constructed its own building at village Dhaneli, Badagaon, Morar and submitted an application in Feb' 2010 for shifting to own premises. The inspection team without inspecting the new premises gave its report. It has provided all the infrastructure and instructional facilities as per norms and is willing to get the new premises inspected.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that WRC issued conditional recognition vide its letter dated 27.06.2005 and the institution had been conducting B.Ed. programme since 2005-06, in rented premises at Bhooteshwar Road, Laxman Talliya and it was supposed to shift to own premises within 03 years. The 03 years were actually

completed by 27.06.2008 where as the institution made application for shifting to own premises only in Feb'10. Further the rented premises had inadequate infrastructure. It also noted that the institution handed over a letter dated 20.11.2009 addressed to Regional Director, WRC to the Visiting Team on 20.11.2009, wherein it was stated that they were not able to allow inspection as they have filed a writ petition before the High Court of Gwalior, The team in its report dated 20.11.2009 stated that the institution denied the cause of inspection, and the Director of the society took the team to a village in Bijoli Police Station 20 km away from the address where recognition was granted; but the premises were locked, despite the information that the team would be arriving for inspection. It further perused the reply submitted by the institution on 12.01.2010 to the WRC and noted that the institution did not furnish the details of the available infrastructure and instructional facilities, except stating 3260 books were available in library. In the light of this explanation, WRC was right to withdraw the recognition. Further the appellant also did not submit any reasonable explanation against the withdrawal grounds and also the reason for denial of inspection submitted in the appeal is contrary to what had been intimated to the team and the RD, WRC. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Aryan College of Education, Bhooteshwar Road, Laxman Talliya, Shindf Ki Chhawani, Lashkar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-503/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** The appeal of Aryan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 21/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW04045/222212/130th/2010/66166 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the documents mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i) to (iv) of the show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Aryan College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Smt. Deepika Jain, Director and Shri Anil Jain, Secretary, Aryan College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the application for D.Ed. course was submitted on 24.11.06 and the recognition for D.Ed course was granted on 28.10.08 in leased premises at C.P. Colony, Morar, Gwalior; WRC vide its letter dt. 31.10.09 informed the institution that an inspection of the institution shall be conducted between 10th -20th Nov'09; The visiting team did not visit the Aryan College of Education, C.P. Colony, Morar, Gwalior where the D.Ed. course was being run at the afore said dates and any later dates; WRC issued show cause notice under section 17 of the NCTE Act; Further the visiting team also stated that it visited Laxman Tallaiya Shinde ki Chhawani and not the C.P. Colony, Morar, Gwalior. With regard to the withdrawal grounds it was submitted that as the WRC did not cause inspection of the institution at the place where recognition was granted, so, the show cause notice grounds were baseless; Institution had never run D.Ed course at Bhooteshwar road and it had been running in the premises at C.P. Colony and its application for permission for shifting to its own newly constructed building was still pending with WRC; At the present premises it is having 8500 sq. ft. of land and 16200 sq. ft. of built-up area and this built-up area is adequate as per norms; It submitted the list of approved teacher's to the WRC, after which only it issued unconditional recognition order; and hence it had not contravened any of the clauses of regulations 2007 as stated in the withdrawal order.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that WRC vide its letter dt. 31.10.09 informed the secretary of the society that on the basis of a written complaint, an inspection of the institution shall be conducted for D. Ed. Course at Bhooteswar Moad, Laxman Talliaya, Shinde ki Chhawani, Lashkar between 10th to 20th of Nov'09. It also noted that the institution, handed over a letter dated 20.11.2009 addressed to Regional Director, WRC to the Visiting Team on 20.11.2009, wherein it was stated that they were not able to allow inspection as they have filed a writ petition before the High Court of Gwalior. The team in its report dated 20.11.2009 stated that the institution denied the cause of inspection, and the Director of the society took the team to a village in Bijoli Police Station 20 km away from the address where recognition was granted; but the premises were locked, despite the information that the team would be arriving for inspection. The Council further observed that the Director of the college should have lead the team to the actual location of the D.Ed. premises instead of taking to a new locality. So, the contention of the appellant that the team did not visit at the actual address cannot be acceptable as it is the Director of the institution who took the team to some other place. As it did not facilitate the inspection for ascertaining the availability of facilities as per norms, the WRC was right in withdrawing recognition. However the location of the institution mentioned in the show cause notice of WRC was factually incorrect. In view of above the Council, came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 24-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Aryan College of Education, C.P Colony, Morar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-497/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Bonnie Foi College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 18/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP234/REJECTION/134th/2010/67906 dated 13/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application of the institution for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU is for residential purpose. 2) Affidavit by Management on Rs. 100 stamp paper not submitted. 3) Certified copy of land document not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Bonnie Foi College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri R.K. Bajpai, Administrator Officer, Bonnie Foi College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the land was diverted by Sahayak Bandobast Adhikari vide order no. 599/B-2/1995-96 for the residential use under section 172(1) of M.P. land revenue Act 1959, under condition Para No. 1 (page-2) that utilization of land should as per approval of M.P.T. & C.P. Department. The Deputy Director Town & Country Planning Deptt. approved the above said land for social development activities as per master Development Plan, not for residential land use as per letter no. 725/T&CP/CP/97 dt. 13.03.97. Original Affidavit dt. 30.10.09 by Management on Rs. 100 stamp paper was submitted alongwith the application and again in reply to the deficiency letter a copy of the said affidavit was submitted to the NRC. Certified and Notarized copy of land document was submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the affidavit dated 30.10.2009 was available in file, a clarification letter dated 13.03.1997 issued by Town and Country Planning office in reference to the CLU dated 16.01.1997 mentioned that the land use for social activity. It however, noted that registered copy of the land document was certified after the date of submission of application i.e. on 25.03.2010, that too from the Tehsildar Office only, not by the Registrar Office. Hence the appellant did not submit to the NRC a certified copy of the registered land document issued by the registrar office. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 13-05-10 was confirmed

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Bonnie Foi College, Plot No.154/1, Plt No. 154/1, 154/2, 154/10,/5, Narela Shankari, Ayodhya, Bhopal - 462021, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-500/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** The appeal of Ch. Mukhtyar Singh Yadav Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP367/159 Meeting/2010/27797 dated 01/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Registered land document is not certified. 2) Building plan is not approved. 3) FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 3 lakh are not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Ch. Mukhtyar Singh Yadav Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Gaurav Yadav, Administrator Officer, Ch. Mukhtyar Singh Yadav Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant submitted to the NRC registered land document certified copy of the building plan approved by Gram Pradhan on 05.03.2009 and the FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs. 3 lakh. The NRC infact returned these FDRs along with the rejection letter.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the registered land document was certified by sub-registrar office on 04.11.2009; building plan was approved by Shri Brahm Swaroop of Panchayat office and the plan, available in NRC's file is also approved by Shri Brahm Swaroop and further NRC returned original FDRs Rs 5 lakh and for Rs 3 lakh to the institution vide NRC's refusal order dated 11.05.2010. It however, noted that the registered land document was certified only on 04.11.2009 and this document was not available on the date of submission of the application. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 01-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Ch. Mukhtyar Singh Yadav Mahavidyalaya, Plot No.564,564 Vill-  
Jaijaipur, Post- Aliganj, Etah - 203247, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-493/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mariam Educational Welfare Society, S S College of Education, Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP216/2010/67476 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "CLU issued on 13.03.2010, which is after the due date."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Mariam Educational Welfare Society, S S College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri M.S.K. Khokhar, President and Shri Shahid Zaman Khan, Secretary, Mariam Educational Welfare Society, S S College of Education, Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the WRC has pointed out reference of letter of 'CLU' dated 13.03.2010 but it is pertinent to mention that no such letter dated 13.03.2010 was ever submitted to the WRC by the institution. It was probably the copy of the letter dt. 14.12.09 for change of land use and its true copy issued on 19.03.10.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant submitted an application in SDM office for CLU, but till date the appellant did not have CLU in the prescribed format of State Govt; The document submitted to WRC, was a copy of the land search and khatauni document. The WRC inadvertently mentioned this document in its order as CLU. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Mariam Educational Welfare Society, S S College of Education, Plot No. 725/5 Joint & 725/5 T, Sarbahra, Pendra Road,, Bilaspur - 495117, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-501/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Phonics College of Education, Haridwar, Uttaranchal dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/NRCAPP-191/157 Meeting/2010/19667 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Certified copy of legally valid document has not been submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Phonics College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Ashit Jain, General Secretary, Phonics College of Education, Haridwar, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant submitted to the NRC alongwith the application self attested copy of the registered land document and they were in possession of requisite land on ownership basis.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that as per regulations 2009, it was mandatory for the institution to submit a certified copy of the registered land document alongwith the other essential documents, where as the appellant obtained the certified copy of the land document from the registrar office only on 09.04.10 that means, the appellant did not possess this document on the date of submission of the application. In view of this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 12-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Trustee, Phonics College of Education, N/A. Lmli Khera Rookee, Haridwar - -, Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

F.No.89-499/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Prince Advance Studies, Delhi, Delhi dated 19/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/DH-259/157 MEETING/2010/20214 dated 15/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting NTT course on the ground "The institution has not replied to letter F.No./NRC/NCTE/F-3/DH-259/2004/12493-12502 dt. 07/12/2005."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Prince Advance Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri P.R. Arya, Member and Smt. Reena Sangar, Principal, Prince Advance Studies, Delhi, Delhi presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (1) The NCTE in its letter dated 7th December 2005, granting conditional recognition has asked for the Endowment Fund and details of staff statement, while the Prince Advance Studies had already submitted the Endowment fund and staff statement to NCTE, Jaipur and SCERT, Delhi in the month of September 2005. (2) The institution inadvertently failed to submit the reply to NCTE, thinking that the required reply would be sent after getting the affiliation from the competent authority. The Prince Advance studies applied for affiliation to SCERT, Delhi, which was processed after two years and finally the SCERT Delhi granted affiliation on 06/2008. (3) Due to some technical reasons they failed to conduct the session 2008-09, so, the already appointed faculty members having no assignment left the Prince Advance Studies. (4) The SCERT, Delhi provided 48 students to Prince Advance Studies for the session 2009-10, and the Institute has now appointed, another faculty for the session 2009-10 (5) Further no show cause notice was ever issued before withdrawing the recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that NRC vide their order dt. 07.12.05 granted recognition to the institution w.e.f. 25.11.05 with the conditions to appoint faculty & submit the staff list signed by the Director, SCERT and convert FDRs into joint operation. The appellant vide their letter dt. NIL received in NRC office on 25.11.09 requested NRC for returning of FDR No. 729193 dt, 31.01.04 and NRC did not reply to

this letter. The Council taking into account the submission made by the appellant and also further noting that NRC after issuing conditional recognition order on 07.12.05, straightaway withdrew the recognition without issuing any show cause notice, came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to NRC for issuing show cause notice as per the provisions of section 17 of the Act and for further decision thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 15-04-10 and remand the case to NRC for issuing show cause notice as per the provisions of section 17 of the Act and for further decision thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Prince Advance Studies, Delhi, Delhi to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Prince Advance Studies, Sector-24, Rohini, Delhi - 110085, Delhi**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Delhi, New Delhi.

F.No.89-419/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

## **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Raja Balwant Singh Shiksha Mahavidhyalaya, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 28/05/2009 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/MP/APWO1856/223371/2009/53270 dated 06/04/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " Reply not received within the stipulated time in response to the show cause notice deficiencies. 1) The land is 16224.65 sq. ft. and the built up area is 1050 sq.mt is only as against the requirement of 2500 sq.mt and 1500 sq.mt respectively. 2) The size of classrooms (120 sq. ft.) is not as per NCTE Regulations 2007. 3) The No. of books in the library are only 2000, as against the requirement of 3000 as per Regulations 2007."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Raja Balwant Singh Shiksha Mahavidhyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 01/06/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Rudrat Ullakhan, Member, Raja Balwant Singh Shiksha Mahavidhyalaya, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has own land & building, the total area of institutional land is 44000 sq.ft. on which constricted area is 1507.87 sq.mt. ie- 16224.65 sq.ft. and remaining open land is 1050 sq.mt. and this area is according to NCTE Regulations 2007. But VT members reported the open space of 1050 Sq.mt. wrongly as constructed area and this may be verified from land & building maps. The institution was having more than 500 sq.ft. sized classroom; the library of institution had more than 3000 books for the B.Ed course, and books were issued to the students, hence the team members found less number of books at the time of inspection. Further the institution had not received the show cause notice issued by the NCTE, WRC, till date. When the institution got information via internet then immediately a reply had been sent by the institute to the WRC and that was received by WRC on 16/03/2009.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant was asked to present the case on 21.07.2009, 19.10.2009, 19.12.2009, 07.01.2010 and also as 18.01.10 the Council could not dispose the case ex-parte, as the institution has filed an 'SLP' before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India against the refusal order of WRC. However, the institution vide letter dated 18.06.2010 requested for giving another date as it did

not receive the last notice of the NCTE for appearing before the appeal Committee. The Council acceding to his request, fixed the case for hearing on 15.07.2010, as a special case. The Council further noted that (a) the institution was granted recognition by WRC vide order dated 22.04.2006; the NCTE Hqs conducted an inspection U/S 13 of the NCTE Act in compliance with Jabalpur High Court directions and thereafter directed WRC to issue a Show Cause notice under Section 17 of the NCTE Act; WRC after issuing such notice, refused recognition vide their order dated 06.04.2009 on the ground that reply to the show cause notice was not received in stipulated time. (b) The inspection team in its report dated 15.11.2008 stated that the institution had been conducting the B.Ed. programme since 2005.06 and is affiliated to Dr. Hari Singh Gour University, Sagar; it was having 16224.65 sq. ft. of land and 1050 sq. ft. of built up area and this was inadequate as per regulations 2007; The size of the class room was only 120 sq. ft. and library was having 2000 books only. (c) The building plan does not indicate the Khasra No./sy.No.; building completion certificate dated 08.04.2008 does not mention the built-up area; though 0.405 acre of land was acquired by the society by way of gift, but only 0.092 hect. of land was only got diverted from agriculture purpose and this diverted piece of land was not adequate as per norms; further the building plan submitted to WRC for obtaining recognition is different from the one submitted to the V.T. and also the Vice President of the Mahavidyalaya furnished a chart indicating the size of various rooms, wherein the class room size was mentioned as 120 sq.ft only. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal, but the refusal order of the WRC shall be modified into withdrawal order, as it had been conducting the B.Ed. programme with due recognition of NCTE and affiliation of the University. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected, with a direction to the WRC for issuing a modified order for withdrawing the recognition of the institution U/s 17 of the NCTE Act.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**

Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Raja Balwant Singh Shiksha Mahavidhyalaya, Near Bus Stand, Post Rajnagar (Khajuraho) , Chhatarpur - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-495/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Saudan Singh Institute of Higher Education, Agra, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP65/157 Meeting/2010/19287 dated 31/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Submitted Land document is not certified 2) Building plan does not indicate khasra number / plot number. 3) Submitted CLU is not notarized."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Saudan Singh Institute of Higher Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Sahayeer Singh, Secretary and Shri Neeran Kumar, Memembr, Saudan Singh Institute of Higher Education, Agra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that NRC neither asked to submit the land documents, building plan indicating Kh. No. and notarized CLU, nor given any chance to submit them, before issuing the refusal order. Now the appellant institution is submitting a copy of the certified land document, copy of the building plan indicating Kh. No. 2112 and the certified CLU in notarized format along with this appeal for kind consideration.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant purchased 2508 sq.mts. of land at Kh. No. 2112 and this piece of land was already diverted from agricultural use on 12.08.04 it self, however the diversion was for residential purpose. And also the appellant did not possess the certified copy of the land document, as on the date of submission of the application rather it was obtained only on 03.04.10 from registrar office. As per regulations 2009, it was mandatory for the appellant to submit this certified copy of the land document alongwith the hard copy of the application. Further there was discrepancy in the photocopy of the land document & the original land document and also submitted CLU was not notarized. The Council, therefore came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 31-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Saudan Singh Institute of Higher Education, Plot No.-21112, Vill-Atmadpur, Agra - 282006, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-496/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Seth Ram Swaroop Govindi Devi Mahavidhyalaya, Agra, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE.F-3/NRCAPP250/158 MEETING/2010/21569 dated 07/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of land document is not submitted. 2) Notarized copy of CLU is not submitted. 3) Building Completion certificate from competent authority is not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Seth Ram Swaroop Govindi Devi Mahavidhyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri P.C. Gautam, Member, Seth Ram Swaroop Govindi Devi Mahavidhyalaya, Agra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted the land document, CLU and the building completion certificate issued by the competent authority to NRC on 08.04.2010.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that there were three land documents which were certified by the registrar office, but the date of certification was not mentioned; CLU was attested by the notary, but the date of attestation was not mentioned and moreover the CLU was issued by 'Chakbandhi Adhikari' on 27.10.09 for residential purpose and construction was to be completed within 90 days; building completion certificate issued by an architect on 14.10.09 mentioned that the building was under construction and an area of 1530 sq.mt. with RCC. roof at ground floor and first floor was completed, as such this document was not acceptable as it was not issued by a competent civic authority and moreover it stated that building was under construction. Further the building completion certificate issued by Grampanchayat Office does not specify any built-up area. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 07-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Seth Ram Swaroop Govindi Devi Mahavidhyalaya, Plot No.605, Vill-Nagia Kesari Majra Post- Etmadpur, Agra - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-498/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shaheed Bhagat Singh College of Education Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 15/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP114/2010/67473 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution has not submitted certified copy of the land documents. 2) Certificate issued in respect of CLU is not proper."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College of Education Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 20/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Anil Kumar Dubey, Director, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College of Education Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had submitted the registered land documents duly attested by concerned authorities in reply to the deficiency letter. They also submitted the certificate of land use issued by legal & civil authorities.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant submitted to WRC only copy of the land document attested by the notary on 31.03.10 and it obtained certified copy of the land document only on 13.05.10 that was much after the date of submission of the application; collectorate office on 16.03.09 issued CLU for residential purpose and CLU for education purpose was issued by Tehsildar office only on 22.03.10 and further Nagarpalika Office Raipur vide its letter dt. 30.03.10 stated that the land falls under Nagarpalika limits and it was meant for education and samajik purpose. Hence certified land document and CLU for education purpose were not available on the date of submission of the application. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council

reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College of Education Technology, Plot No,476-77/81, Vidhan Sabha Road, Prem Nagar, Mowa, Saddu, Raipur - 492007, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Kalyan Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Tonk, Rajasthan dated 18/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCE/F-3/RJ-483/2009/20930 dated 29/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The decision in respect of RJ-483 may be read as 'withdraw recognition to B.Ed course from 2010-11 academic session'."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shri Kalyan Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Satish Chandel, Director and Shri Kamal Kirad, U.D.C., Shri Kalyan Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Tonk, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the order for withdrawal of recognition of the appellant institution for B.Ed was, unjustified because NRC had already granted permission for shifting of the college building from rented premises in its 157th meeting held on 24th to 27th March 2010 and in spite of issuing permission for shifting of college to new building, without giving any notice it has withdrawn recognition. And also no reason has been mentioned in the withdrawal order.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that (a) the appellant submitted an application on 10.08.09 to NRC for shifting of the premises alongwith the requisite fee of Rs. 40,000/-; The visiting team vide their report dated 29.12.09 stated that the institution wanted to defer the inspection for want of CLU; The NRC took a decision in March'10 and permitted the institution to shift to the new premises and asked the institution to give new address for further communication subsequent to this without issuing any show cause notice, NRC withdrew recognition. (b) Further the order of NRC is not self speaking one, as there is some gap in the order-between the NRC's decision for causing inspection of new premises taken in the meeting held on 9-11th Oct'09 and its final decision to withdraw the recognition. In its meeting held on 19-22nd April'10 and moreover no show cause was given to the appellant before withdrawing the recognition. The Council however noted that the institution deferred the scheduled inspection and hence the Council came to the conclusion that the

institution may be inspected at the new premises by the NRC after obtaining a payment of Rs.40,000 from the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 29-04-10 and remand the case to NRC for causing inspection of the new premises of the institution after obtaining a payment of Rs.40,000 and for further decision thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Kalyan Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Tonk , Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Kalyan Mahila Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Opp-Stadium Krishi Mandi Road, Tonk - , Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

F.No.89-449/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of SRV College of Education, Virudhunagar, Tamilnadu dated 26/04/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/2009-2010/17158 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Lease agreement in the name of the college is not acceptable as per new regulations."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, SRV College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri V. Veeranan, Chairman and Dr. Mrs. P. Selvarathi, Trustee, SRV College of Education, Virudhunagar, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted an application on 16-02-09 for getting 'B' Grade certificate on 16.12.2009 to NAAC but the same was returned by the Deputy Advisor, NAAC. However, they made a representation to reconsider the decision on the basis of merits. There is no mention of any deficiency in regard to the lease agreement in the name of the college in the letter dated 22-12-2009 sent by SRC. They were in possession of an acre of land through gift deed in which the college was running and further 4.06 acre of land on lease basis.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant's institution had not yet been accredited with 'B' Grade by NAAC to become eligible for submitting an application for M.Ed. course as per clause 8(4) regulations 2009. It also noted that it had been conducting B.Ed. since 2009-10 vide SRC's recognition order dated 06.08.09 and not yet completed 3 successive academic sessions and also not eligible for making an application for an additional course as per clause 8(3) of regulations 2009. In view of above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council

reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Managing Trustee, SRV College of Education, No.300, Standard Colony, Thiruthangal, Sivakasi, Virudhunagar - 626130, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-504/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of TGT College of Education, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3.NRCAPP63/158 Meeting/2010/21635 dated 10/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "No reply has been received to the deficiencies communicated to the institution vide letter F.NRC/NCTE/2009/B.Ed/DL-14039 dated 22.12.2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, TGT College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Shahid Rasheed Sabri, Chairman, TGT College of Education, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant sent registered letter on 20/03/2010 to the NRC for knowing the status of its application.. The appellant personally approached NCTE Office on 04/04/2010 and gave an application for enquiring the status under RTI on 23.04.2010.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council taking into account the submission made by the appellant, came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for re-issuing the deficiency letter and further decision thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 10-05-10 and remand the case to NRC for re-issuing the deficiency letter and further decision thereafter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of TGT College of Education, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)  
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, TGT College of Education, Plot No.673-233, VPO-Ambehta Tehsil-Nakur, Saharanpur - 247340, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-512/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ansh College Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 08/04/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW02659/224103/130th/2010/66131 dated 23/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the ground "The institution neither submitted the document mentioned in the show cause notice nor gave plausible explanation in respect of grounds (i), (iii), (iv) & (v) of the show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Ansh College Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Amit Jain, Chairman, Ansh College Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant submitted its reply dated 08.01.2010 explaining each and every observation/ground specifically along with all supporting documents including registered land deeds and the University/ SCERT approved teaching staff for B.Ed, D.Ed and B.P.Ed courses but WRC without considering the reply passed the order of withdrawal in an illegal arbitrary and mechanical manner.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution submitted an application for grant of recognition of the institute at Madhoganj; inspection under Section 14 was caused at Madhoganj and recognition order dt. 06.01.07 also mentioned the address of the institution as Madhoganj, Lashkar, Gwalior. Where as WRC detailed the visiting team under section 17 of the NCTE Act for conducting an inspection at the address of the society mentioned in the application and hence the VT reported at the address given by the WRC no institution existed. The Council further noted that the WRC also passed similar such order in respect of B.Ed. course of the institution, against which the appellant preferred an appeal and the Council vide its order dt. 28.05.09 remanded the case for causing composite inspection of the institution at the new premises for all the recognized teacher training programmes of NCTE and for further decision thereafter. In the light of the Council's stand taken in respect of B.Ed. course, the Council felt that this case may also be remanded to WRC for

causing composite inspection of the new premises for all the courses recognized by the NCTE and for further decision thereafter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 23-03-10 and remand the case to WRC for causing composite inspection of the new premises for all the teacher training programmes recognized by the NCTE and for further decision thereafter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ansh College Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Ansh College Education, 60, Ram Bag Colony Shinde Ki Chhawani, Lashkar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-519/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Boston College for Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP166/REJECTION/134th/2010/97195 dated 13/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU has not been issued by proper authority. 2) Plot No./Khasra No. not mentioned."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Boston College for Professional Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Rakesh Pandey, Secretary and Shri Keshav Chaturvedi, Asst. Proj., Boston College for Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the competent authority of state of M.P. on 22.01.1998, 30.07.1998 and 29.01.2003 has granted permission u/s 172(1) of the M.P. Land Revenue Code, 1959 to use the institutional land for educational purpose. The SDM office also passed order dated 27.01.2003 and 29.01.2003 mentioning that land would be used for educational purpose. It was further submitted that the copies of the sale deeds dated 09.05.1997, 12.09.1997 and 10.10.2003 were submitted to WRC, clearly mentioned Survey No. i.e. 18, 43, 44, 45, 46, 81 and 83 situated at Patwari Halka No. 47, Village Sirol, Morar, Gwalior.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the second ground of refusal "i.e. plot No./ Khasra No. not mentioned." was not very clear as to, in which document (land, building plan, building completion certificate or CLU) the Kh. No/ plot No. is not mentioned. Further the Council noted that the CLU was issued by the Competent Civic Authority. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to WRC for further processing on merit.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set

aside WRC's order dated 13-05-10 and remand the case to WRC for further processing on merit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Boston College for Professional Studies, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Boston College for Professional Studies, Putlighar Road, Village Sirol, Post Office- Morar, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-516/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of College of Education & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/05/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-3/NRCAPP299/159 Meeting/2010/21652 dated 10/05/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the grounds "1) Submitted building plan is not approved by the competent authority. 2) Building completion certificate from competent authority has not been submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, College of Education & Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Priyanka Dwivedi, Secretary and Dr. Narendra Dwivedi, Patron, College of Education & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had already submitted building plan approved by the Village Pradhan and Tehsildar Bilhour, Kanpur on 23.04.2010 vide letter dt. 21.04.2010. The institution also has submitted the building completion certificate issued by Engineer, Pradhan and concerned Tehsildar to NRC on 23.04.2010.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council taking into account that building completion certificate issued by the competent civic authority was submitted to the NRC and building plan was approved by the competent authority came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for further processing on merit.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 10-05-10 and remand the case to NRC for further processing of the application on merit. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the case was remanded to the NRC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of College of Education & Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, College of Education & Technology, Plot No.72A, Devpalpur, P.O. Choubeypur, Kanpur - 9, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-518/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Disha College of Information Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. Mis/Appl/Return/2010-2011/CG/67890 dated 12/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The application has not received on-line generated WRCAPP code Number as essentially required in the said NCTE Regulations."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Disha College of Information Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Abhishek Pandey, General Manager and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Manager, Disha College of Information Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted the online application on 30.10.2009 and its hard copy to WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the application submitted was in respect of Disha College of Higher Secondary Studies to be run by Disha Education Society, Raipur. The Council also noted that while the name of the course applied for indicated in the downloaded copy of application was "B.Ed", whereas in the copy of the manually filled in application submitted, the name of the course indicated was "D. Ed". The Council further noted that the institution did not submit certified copy of registered land document issued by the competent authority. However, since the representative of the institution, during the presentation, produced proof regarding submission of hard copy of application in time to the WRC, the Council was of the view that rejecting the application on the ground that hard copy was not submitted on time was not in order and, therefore, the order of WRC dated 25.03.2010 should be set-aside and the case should be remanded back to the WRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 including the provision in Regulation 8 (2).

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to set aside WRC's order dated 12-05-10 and remand back the case to WRC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the order of WRC dated 12.05.10 was set-aside and the case remanded back to WRC for reconsideration in accordance with provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Disha College of Information Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Disha College of Information Technology, New Shanti Nagar, Raipur - -, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-511/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Disha College of Management Studies, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66310 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The hard copy online application received on 01.12.2009 i.e after last, i.e. 31.10.2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Disha College of Management Studies (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Abhishek Pandey, General Manager and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Manager, Disha College of Management Studies, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted the online application on 30.10.2009 and its hard copy to WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution did not submit certified copy of registered land document issued by the competent authority. However, since the representative of the institution, during the presentation, produced proof regarding submission of hard copy of application in time to the WRC, the Council was of the view that rejecting the application on the ground that hard copy was not submitted on time was not in order and, therefore, the order of WRC dated 25.03.2010 should be set-aside and the case should be remanded back to the WRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 including the provision in Regulation 8 (2) as also the existing B.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to set aside WRC's order dated 25.03.10 and remand back the case to WRC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the order of WRC dated 25.03.10 was set-aside and the case remanded back to

WRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Disha College of Management Studies, Raipur, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Disha College of Management Studies, Raipur CG-114, Raipur - -, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-513/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Disha College of Science & Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RK-66311 dated 25/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The hard copy of online application received on 07.12.2009 i.e. after last date, i.e. 31.10.2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Disha College of Science & Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Abhishek Pandey, General Manager and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Manager, Disha College of Science & Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted the application on 30.10.2009 to WRC both online and hard copy.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the application submitted was in respect of Disha College of Science and Commerce to be run by Disha Education Society, Raipur. The Council also noted that the institution did not submit certified copy of registered land document issued by the competent authority. However, since the representative of the institution, during the presentation, produced proof regarding submission of hard copy of application in time to the WRC, the Council was of the view that rejecting the application on the ground that hard copy was not submitted on time was not in order and, therefore, the order of WRC dated 25.03.2010 should be set-aside and the case should be remanded back to the WRC for reconsideration of the case in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 including the provision in Regulation 8 (2) and keeping in view the existing B.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to set aside WRC's order dated 25.03.10 and remand back the case to WRC for reconsideration. Accordingly,

the order of WRC dated 25.03.10 was set-aside and the case remanded back to WRC for reconsideration in accordance with the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, 2009.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Disha College of Science & Technology, Raipur, Chhatisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Disha College of Science & Technology, 712- Raipur(CG), Raipur - -, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-507/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti , Rewa, Madhya Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66038 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "B.Ed course recognized by WRC on 27.08.2008 which has not yet completed 3 academic sessions. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri A.K. Tripathi, Director and Shri Arpit Awasthi, Member, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the running B.Ed college was situated under Municipal Corporation Rewa area namely Allahabad road urrahat distt. Rewa. The land was on long term lease basis, out of 0.314 Hect. of land at Kh. No. 183/2 it was having 0.089 Hect. D.El.Ed course is proposed at Gram Panchayat area Gadaria NH-7 Distt.- Rewa and for this course it was having 1.20 acres i.e. 0.486 Hect. Further, both the areas are situated at different places so ground of rejection is not valid.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that WRC had already granted recognition to Geeta Jyoti B.Ed. college, run by Geeta Jyoti Vidyalaya Samiti vide WRC's order dt. 27.08.08 and this institution is situated at urrhat, NH-7, Allahabad Road, Rewa and the institution has not completed 3 academic sessions. The appellant on 26.10.09 submitted an application for grant of recognition of D.El.Ed course and this institution is also proposed at Gadaria, NH-7, Rewa. Further from the submission made by the appellant also it was clear that the property bearing Kh. No. i.e. 183/2 is also same for both the courses. The Council therefore came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal, as the WRC had rejected the application on valid ground and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it

should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti , Plot No.183/2, N-H-7 Gadaria, Hujur,, Rewa - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-508/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh dated 05/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/NCTE/2010/RL-66091 dated 22/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the ground "Land Use Certificate is only 0.202 Hect. The institution did not fulfill the condition as required in clause 8(7)(i) of NCTE Regulations, 2009."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri A.K. Tripathi, Director and Shri Arpit Awasthi, Member, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti, Rewa, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had two land use certificates for the same land with different order. Each CLU mentioned the diverted land as 0.202 Hect. So, the total land diverted for education purpose is 0.404 Hect. and this area was more than adequate as per Regulations 2009.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant's society was in possession of 0.482 Hect. of land at Kh. No. 194/2 as per the sale deed dt. 11.02.08; Alongwith the appeal the appellant submitted two 'CLU' documents issued against the land at Kh. No. 194/2, each one mentioning diverted land as 0.202 Hect. one of the documents mentioned the land use for commercial and educational purpose, where as the other one for commercial purpose only. However alongwith the hard copy of the application the appellant submitted a copy of the 'CLU' document issued on 12.10.09 by collectrate office for an area of 0.202 Hect. at Kh. No. 194/2 for commercial and education purpose and hence WRC was right in refusing the recognition on the above ground. In view of the this the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council

reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 22-03-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, Geeta Jyoti Vidhyalaya Samiti , Plot No.194/2 Gaddi Road, Dhurehati, Rewa - - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Moral Education Society, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRCAPP617/REJECTION/134th/2010/67821 dated 12/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EL.Ed course on the ground "CLU issued on 23.11.2009, that is after the date of application."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Moral Education Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Hemant Shinde, Director, Moral Education Society, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the land was already converted for commercial and residential purpose on 30.05.08 and the appellant purchased the pre-diverted land on 14.10.09. The CLU document dt. 30.05.08 was already submitted to WRC. It was further submitted that in the State of Madhya Pradesh that the land can be diverted into either residential or non-residential i.e. commercial purpose and diversion is not done for education purpose.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the CLU issued on 30.05.08 mentioned for residential purpose only. The appellant obtained 'NOC' from the issuing authority for using the land and building for education purpose and this 'NOC' was issued only on 23.11.09 that was after the date of submission of the application. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 12-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Moral Education Society, Plot No. 157, Shanti Nagar, Barkheda Pathani, Post Office Piplani, Bhopal - 462121, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-442/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of PSN Educational College, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu dated 21/04/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/M.ED/2010/18368 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Certified copy of Registered Sale Deed is not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, PSN Educational College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri R. Anand, P.R.O., PSN Educational College, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that institution was started conducting B.Ed. programme in the year 2007-08 and the third year is running. Hence they were not in a position to submit the NAAC Certificate; They further stated that the certified copy of registered sale deed was submitted along with the application.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant's institution had been conducting B.Ed. programme since 2007-08 with the NCTE's recognition vide order dt. 31.10.07 and the institution had not completed 3 academic sessions to become eligible for applying an additional course i.e. M.Ed as per clause 8(3) of regulations 2009. Further the institution is eligible to apply for 'NAAC' accreditation only after completing 3 years of conducting the programme. So, it was also not eligible to submit an application for an M.Ed. course as per clause 8(4) of regulations 2009. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it

should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Managing Trustee, PSN Educational College, 12, Court Road, Naercoil, Kanyakumari - -, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-533/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of SBG College of Education, Trichirappalli, Tamilnadu dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2010/18665 dated 03/05/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Institution has to apply for only one course as per para 8(2) of NCTE Regulations, 2009. 3) The institution has not submitted valid Minority Status Certificate from the Competent authority."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, SBG College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri R. Senthamarai, Corresponds Staff, SBG College of Education, Trichirappalli, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant has applied before the NAAC for accreditation of their institution on 20.03.2010. The members of the NAAC are to conduct necessary inspection and grade the appellant institution in a short while; it had applied for only one course namely M.Ed and the appellant has not made any application for any other course other than M.Ed; that the petitioner institution is run by S.B.G. Sanskrit Mission Trust which is known as Sree Bala Gurukulam Sanskrit Mission and this trust has been declared as a Linguistic Sanskrit Minority by the District Musif Trichirappalli by its judgment and Decree in O.S. No. 1116/1980 dated 03.01.1984.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant had been conducting B.Ed. programme since 2007-08 and now proposed for M.Ed. programme. The appellant was not eligible to submit the application for M.Ed. course under clause 8(3) and also 8(4) of regulations 2009 because it has not completed 3 academic sessions of conducting B.Ed. programme and also the institution had not been accredited by NAAC. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council

reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 03-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, SBG College of Education, 96/8-B, Perugamani, Trichirappalli - 639115, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-521/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Chintamani Education Society, Barwani, Madhya Pradesh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP344/REJECTION/134th/2010/67865 dated 12/05/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed course on the ground "CLU is issued on 8.12.2009, that is, after the date of application."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shri Chintamani Education Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Vijay Johry, Member, Shri Chintamani Education Society, Barwani, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had already submitted a copy of the 'CLU' dt. 06.11.1995; the appellant purchased the pre-diverted land on 23.10.09. As per the provision of the M.P. Govt. the land can be diverted for residential or non-residential i.e. commercialization only. The appellant after purchasing the land obtained an 'NOC' on 08.12.09 from the revenue department for using the land & building for education purpose.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant executed a sale deed on 23.10.09 for a total land area of 2601.2 sq.mt. alongwith a residential building with a built-up area of 1672.2 sq.mt. The 'CLU' dt. 06.11.95 also mentioned the diversion of land for residential purpose only and the appellant obtained a clarification from the revenue department on 08.12.09 stating that it has no objection for using land and building for education purpose. However such clarification was not available on the date of submission of an application for D.El.Ed. course by the Society. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and hence it should be rejected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 12-05-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Chintamani Education Society, Plot No.42, Shiv Colony, Niwali Road, Sendhwa, Barwani - 451666, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-515/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Subhash Chandra Bose College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 22/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/APW04640/225054/131th/2010/66222 dated 24/03/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the ground "The institution has not submitted the document / reply of show cause notice issued to the institution u/s 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Subhash Chandra Bose College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Keshav Singh, Secretary, Subhash Chandra Bose College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant had not received any show cause notice dated 28.12.2009 and thus the appellant could not submit the reply of the aforesaid notice nor it could submit relevant documents to WRC. The appellant had submitted all the relevant documents at the time of making the application and the same were already on record with WRC. Appellant had fully co-operated in the inspection process and the team causing the inspection was also satisfied with the instructional and infrastructural facilities of the institution. The WRC has not considered the material on record in proper perspective and wrongly passed the order of withdrawal.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council after taking into account the submission made by the appellant came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to the WRC for re-issuing a show cause notice dt.28.12.09 and the WRC while issuing notice should also consider the recognition status of the B.Ed. course of the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside WRC's order dated 24-03-10 and remand the case to WRC for

issuing a show cause notice dt.28.12.09 and the WRC while issuing notice should also consider the recognition status of the B.Ed. course of the institution.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Subhash Chandra Bose College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Subhash Chandra Bose College, Plot No. B-81,83, Gpvindpura, Thatipur, Gwalior - -, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-531/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Subhash Chandra Bose College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 09/06/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/5-6/48/2003/4493 dated 27/10/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution is not having adequate furniture. 2) Sports facilities do not exist in the institution. 3) Salary is not being paid through bank as per norms of NCTE."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Subhash Chandra Bose College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/06/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Keshav Singh, Secretary, Subhash Chandra Bose College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (a) the Regional Committee granted recognition to appellant vide order dt. 07.07.03. Subsequently formal unconditional order of recognition was issued on 27.10.03 with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2003-04; (b) NCTE caused an inspection of the appellant college under section 13 of the Act. The inspection team visited the appellant institution and submitted its report and on that basis NCTE made certain observation in the report submitted before the High Court. On the basis of this observation, appellant had been served a show cause notice on 28-02-09 under section 17 of the NCTE Act; there are in all three deficiencies pointed out in the show cause notice. (c) On receiving the show cause notice, appellant submitted its reply by speed post. Regional Committee has considered the reply of appellant institute and observed that "the said institution falls under the principle of Jan Seva Shiksha Samiti and decided that the recognition of the said institution to be refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act." Regional Committee in its 115th meeting held on 21-23 March 2009 at Ahmedabad decided to refuse the recognition on the aforesaid ground. Appellant had been served the refusal order of WRC dt. 11.04.09. (d) The WRC has left with no power to pass an order under section 14 as its power was exhausted after passing the order of recognition on 27.10.03 and thus exercising the power under section 14 again on 11.04.09 cannot be treated as lawful by any stretch of imagination. WRC also failed to appreciate the correct

factual position as per the record submitted by the appellant to it and thus appellant cannot be said to be falling under the principal of Jan Sewa Shiksha Samiti as it was a recognized institution for all purposes as per the stand of the NCTE legal position in this regard.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant was asked to present the case on 21.07.2009, 19.10.2009, 19.12.2009, 07.01.2010 and also on 18.01.10 but the appellant did not appear before the Committee. The Council could not dispose the case ex-parte, as the institution has filed an 'SLP' before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India against the refusal order of WRC. However, the institution vide letter dated 18.06.2010 requested for giving another date as it did not receive the last notice. The Council acceding to its request, listed the case for hearing on 15.07.2010, as a special case.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council further noted that (a) The institution was granted recognition by WRC on 27.10.2003. The NCTE Act Hqrs conducted an inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act in compliance with Jabalpur High Court directions and thereafter directed WRC to issue a Show Cause notice under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. WRC after issuing such notice, refused recognition vide their order dated 11.04.09 on the ground that the institution falls under Jena Sewa Shiksha Samiti principle. (b) The inspection team its report dated 15.11.2008 stated that the institution had been conducting the B.Ed. programme since 2003-04 and is affiliated to Jiwaji University, Gwalior; It however mentioned that the internal infrastructure is inadequate, even sufficient chairs and desks were not there; there is complete dearth of game and sports facilities and play grounds. The overall look of the institution does not show, compliance of the NCTE norms. (c) The WRC issued show cause notice mentioning that the institution was not having adequate infrastructure, sports facilities did not exist and salary was not being paid through bank. (d) The institution submitted its reply stating that after being satisfied that the society had adequate financial resources, accommodation, library, qualified staff, laboratory etc., only WRC granted recognition to the institution; it was having sufficient facility for indoor game and made arrangements for out door game with Govt. Science College; salary was paid in cash in 2007-08 on the instance of staff members, but after the inspection, salary was being paid through cheques. The appellant however did not submit proper reply to the first ground of show cause notice, clearly mentioning, the details of available infrastructure. (e) The Council also noted that the society was in possession of 3 storey building at Sy. No. 81,83 in Govindpuri on 30 years lease as per lease deed dt. 20.09.02. This deed did not mention the total land and built-up area. The society has also submitted a 'putta deed' dt. 11.03.03 which stated the availability of 34,000 sq.ft. of building, whereas the building plan submitted showed 10,900 sq.ft. of land and 27,000 sq.ft. of built-up area. The appellant had been submitting contradicting claims for the availability of the area and filling the documents.. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was no justification in accepting the appeal and it should be rejected, but the refusal order of the WRC shall be modified into withdrawal order, as it had been conducting the B.Ed. programme with due recognition of NCTE and affiliation of the University.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected, but the WRC is directed to issue a modified order withdrawing the recognition of the institution U/s 17 of the NCTE Act.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Subhash Chandra Bose College, B-81, Govindpuri Near Jiwaji University, Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-510/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

23/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vinaya Bhavan, Deptt. of Education, Visva Bharti, Birbhum, West Bengal dated 17/05/2010 is against the Order No. ERC/7-105.9.2/2010-3759 dated 17/04/2010 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution was granted recognition / permission for an intake of 200 students. The institution has admitted 272 students in 2009-2010 and 236 in 2008-09 which is more than permitted intake. 2) Required number of Teaching staff for 200 intake are not appointed. It has appointed Guest lecturers in place of regular staff which is not as per NCTE norms. 3) Affidavit on Rs.10/- stamp paper by Head and the teaching staff along with the mark sheet and certificate not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vinaya Bhavan, Deptt. of Education, Visva Bharti (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Benudhar Chnara, Head of the Department and Prof. Brajanath Kundu, Deen, Vinaya Bhavan, Deptt. of Education, Visva Bharti, Birbhum, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was compelled to increase the intake of students due to U.G.C. stipulation regarding OBC quota in addition to normal seats. The university had applied to ERC on 03.02.2009 and 16.05.2009 for the approval of the increased intake of 72 students over the permitted intake of 200 students. The university has sanctioned 18 teaching posts for conducting B.Ed. and M.A.(Education) programmes and at present the Department has strength of 15 regular staff and the remaining 3 would be shortly recruited for which the process is in advance stage; Affidavits of Head and teaching staff, on Rs.10/- stamp paper along with attested copies of mark sheets and certificates for the appointed regular faculties are submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that ERC vide its order dt. 18.11.98 sanctioned 125 intake in the B.Ed programme from the academic session 1998-99 and an additional intake of 75 vide ERC's order dt. 18.10.10, thereby making the

total intake of the institution to 200. The University in addition to the existing 200 intake further admitted 72 students more, to accommodate the OBC quota without the prior approval of the NCTE and this sort of enhancement intake on its own by the university is contrary to the provision of the NCTE Act. It further noted that the university had been conducting B.Ed. programme and M.A. (Education) and the University has so far appointed only 15 posts as on regular basis and the remaining 3 lecturer posts are vacant (lecturers in psychology, weaving and wood work) as they could not find a suitable candidate, hence they advertised again on 16.04.10 for three lecturer posts alongwith a post of reader in education. And this existing 15 regular teaching staff cannot cater to the needs of 200 intake of B.Ed. and M.A. (Education) programmes. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that the case may be remanded to the ERC for restoring the recognition for the institution of only basic unit of B.Ed. and its additional unit of 100 students be withdrawn.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside ERC's order dated 17-04-10 and remand the case to ERC for restoring the recognition of the institution of only basic unit of B.Ed. programme and its additional unit of 100 students be withdrawn.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vinaya Bhavan, Deptt. of Education, Visva Bharti, Birbhum, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Vinaya Bhavan, Deptt. of Education, Visva Bharti, Visva Bharti, Santi Niketan, Birbhum - -, West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.