

F.No.89-1062/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shivalik Hills College of Education, Roper, Punjab dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-3/PB-399/143 Meeting 2009/6036 dated 10/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ETT course on the grounds "The documents related to selection of faculty are not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shivalik Hills College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Y.S. Sodhi, Director, Shivalik Hills College of Education, Roper, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had applied to SCERT, Punjab vide letters dated 21.06.2007, 01.11.2007 and 06.03.2009 for deputing subject experts for selection of teaching faculty. But SCERT, Punjab has not deputed subject experts for selection of teaching faculty for ETT and hence it failed to select the faculty through duly constituted selection committee as per norms. It further requested the Council to give another 3 months time for appointing the faculty following the laid down procedure in compliance with the conditional recognition letter of NRC.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into account, the submission made by the appellant decided to accept the appeal and remand the case to the NRC for giving another three months time for appointing the faculty as per the norms, involving the subject expert nominated by the affiliating body in the selection committee and submit the requisite documents related to faculty selection and appointment, to the NRC. NRC after examining the faculty profile shall decide the case on merit as per regulations.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside the NRC's order dated 10-07-09 and remand the case to the NRC for giving another three months time for appointing the faculty as per norms, involving the subject expert nominated by the affiliating body in the selection committee and submit the requisite documents related to faculty selection and appointment, to the NRC. NRC after examining the faculty profile shall decide the case on merit as per regulations. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of ETT course in the State of Punjab imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shivalik Hills College of Education, Roper, Punjab to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Shivalik Hills College of Education, VPO - Manakpuri Patti, Nangal Dam,, Roper - , Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-69/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of P.R.D. College of Education, Distt. Yamuna Nagar, Haryana dated 29/01/2010 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-73/HR-1743/2009/17461-17467 dated 23.02.2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies in respect of Land, CLU, Computers, Building Completion Certificate, Govt. Recommendation still exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, P.R.D. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 29/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Neeraj Kumar, Member, P.R.D. College of Education, Distt. Yamuna Nagar, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 15.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that- (1). Institute was being run and managed by Venus Educational Society and land was in the name of the society, if the NRC grants recognition for running B.Ed Course, then the society would transfer the land property in the name of P.R.D. College of Education. (2). The institution is situated in rural area and therefore no CLU is required, as the District Town Planner Yamuna Nagar, certified that the land does not fall under the controlled area. (3). The institution had 15 computers and 1 laptop for 100 students. (4). The Gram Panchayat had passed a resolution for Building Completion Certificate, which was annexed with appeal (5). the Haryana Govt. had not objected for opening of this college.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was refused recognition for B. Ed course vide NRC's order dt. 02.06.09 and the Appellate Authority vide its order dt. 18.11.09 remanded the case of the institution to the NRC for examination of the reply submitted by the institution in response to the show cause notice. NRC after examining the reply of the institution, conducted an inspection of the institution on 04. 01.10, for verification of the deficiencies as to whether the lapses pointed out in the show cause existed or not. Subsequent to the inspection, it refused the recognition. The Council perused the documents submitted with the appeal and noted that the land was in possession of the society on ownership basis and this was acceptable as per regulations; CLU issued by the Gram Panchayat office was acceptable, as the District Town Planner vide his memo dt. 27.06.08 certified that 8 Kanal of land at Kh No. 18/9, 18/3, 18/8/2 falling in the revenue estate of village Harnaul does not fall within the controlled area; the institution was having 10 computers as per the report of VT and this was adequate as per norms; it was also having adequate built-up area In view of the above, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification to accept the appeal and remand the case to the NRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of **Haryana** for the **B. Ed.** course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the letter of intent prior to grant of recognition be issued under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside **NRC's order 23.02.10** and remand back the case to **NRC** for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition in respect of institution under Section 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be effective from the date of withdrawal of the said ban on further recognition of **B.Ed.** course in the State of **Haryana**.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of P.R.D. College of Education, Distt. Yamuna Nagar, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, P.R.D. College of Education, Village & P.O.- Harnoul, Tehsil - Jagadhari,, Distt. Yamuna Nagar - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-19/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Baba Khyali Nath College of Education, Distt. Rohtak, Haryana dated 01/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/HR-1856/149 Meeting/2009/11712-11713 dated 29/10/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the grounds "The institution did not respond to the NRC letter dated 25/07/2009 till date".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Baba Khyali Nath College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Satish Rathee, President and Shri. Dharamveer Malik, Admn. Officer, Baba Khyali Nath College of Education, Distt. Rohtak, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.03.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant institution had replied to NRC's letter dated 25.07.2009 vide its letter No. BKS/COE/Off.09/144 dated 4.8.2009 through registered post. A copy of the said letter along with receipt from the post office was also submitted along with the appeal. The representative also showed the original postal receipt to the Council.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that in response to the application of the institution dated 31.10.2008, the NRC had issued a deficiency letter dated 26.3.2009 pointing out a number of deficiencies to be removed by the institution within the stipulated period of 90 days. The institution submitted its reply vide its letter dated 21.6.2009 along with requisite documents. Thereafter the NRC, vide its letter dated 25.7.2009 under reference, issued readiness letter for inspection and also directed the institution to obtain CLU as per clarification to be given by State Govt. of Haryana and also advised the institution to register the land in the name of institution within a period of 3 months. It was in response to this letter that the institution furnished its reply vide its afore mentioned letter dated 4.8.2009 through registered post. However, the copy of the said letter was not available on the relevant file of the NRC. Since the original postal receipt was produced by the representative of the institution to the Council during the presentation, the Council was of the view that a copy of the letter of the institution dated 4.8.2009 along with enclosures should be sent to the NRC and the case remanded to the NRC for further processing of the case for getting the institution inspected and thereafter, taking appropriate decision.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 29-10-09 and remand the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within 30 days from the date of issue of this order. The final decision

of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Haryana imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Baba Khyali Nath College of Education, Distt. Rohtak, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The President, Baba Khyali Nath College of Education, VPO- Kharkara, Tehsil-Meham, , Distt. Rohtak - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-17/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of College of Applied Education & Health Sciences, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/12/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3027/149th Meeting/2009/11812 dated 29/10/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The bills for purchasing equipments are submitted but the stock register where the purchased equipment is entered is not submitted. 2) The land register in the name of institution is on Khasra No. 112 and plot No. 72, 73, 74 and 75 all these plots are purchased only for residential purpose but not for running B.Ed course, the building plan submitted is for Khasra No. 568/2 and 569 and these do not match. 3) The bills for purchase of books are submitted but the photocopy of accession register where the purchased books are entered is not submitted. 4) The playgrounds are still not develop hence the committee decides to refuse recognition to the institute for conducting for B.Ed".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, College of Applied Education & Health Sciences (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Raj Mohan Singh, Member and Dr. Lalit Kumar, Associate Professor, College of Applied Education & Health Sciences, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had submitted the photocopies from register regarding entries in the stock register; institution was having 7544.37 sq.mtrs. of total land in khasra no. 568, 569, 556 and 112 out of which 2500 sq. mtrs. of land of khasra No. 112 was registered in the name of institution and remaining land was of khasra no. 568, 569, 556 and 112 was registered in name of AAS Educational Society; photocopies of the accession register were submitted alongwith the reply to LOI issued by the NRC and the same were also submitted to the VT members and the institution was having 2500 sq mtrs. of land exclusively for play ground purpose.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the VT report dated 25-6-09 was positive and, inter-alia, indicated that it was having adequate infrastructural and other facilities as per NCTE norms. In view of the above, the Council, came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification to accept the appeal and remand the case to the NRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the

general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of **Uttar Pradesh** for the **B.Ed.** course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the letter of intent prior to grant of recognition be issued under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside **NRC's order 29.10.09** and remand back the case to **NRC** for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition in respect of institution under Section 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be effective from the date of withdrawal of the said ban on further recognition of **B.Ed.** course in the State of **Uttar Pradesh**.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of **College of Applied Education & Health Sciences, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh** to the **NRC, NCTE**, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, College of Applied Education & Health Sciences, A-122, Gangotri Colony, Roorkee Road,, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 111/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kanchan Singh Bhooli Devi Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh dated 15/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2713/155th Meeting/2010/15780 dated 01/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl.) course on the grounds "The deficiencies communicated through letter F.No./NRC/NCTE/UP-2713/Appeal/151 meeting 2009/14671 dated 07/01/2010 still exist".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kanchan Singh Bhooli Devi Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Dharm Pal Singh Bhadauria, Authorised Person, Kanchan Singh Bhooli Devi Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC issued the letter of intent prior to recognition dated 7.01.2010 giving the institution only a period of 7 days, which was not sufficient for appointing the faculty and getting approval from the affiliating university for which usually 30 days was allowed. Still the institution complied with the requirement as directed by NRC and submitted reply on 18.01.2010. It was also submitted that the institution complied with all the requirements but the same was not taken into account by the NRC and recognition was refused to the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC had issued letter of intent prior to recognition vide letter dated 7.1.2010 asking the institution to make appointment of faculty/staff by a duly constituted selection committee and ensure selection of candidates possessing qualification under rules. The institution was also required to submit an affidavit on Rs. 100/- in the prescribed format along with minutes of selection committee and approval of affiliating university and also launch its on website and inform the NRC for issue of formal recognition. The Council also noted that the institution submitted its reply vide letter dated 16.1.2010 alongwith the requisite documents. The Council was therefore, of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal issuance of formal recognition order.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009

immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 01.02.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kanchan Singh Bhooli Devi Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Kanchan Singh Bhooli Devi Mahavidyalaya, Village - Bhikhanapur, Post - Sarwan Khera, District, Kanpur Dehat - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 110/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.K.R. P.G. Institute of Technology (M.D. Educational Social Society), Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2804/155th Meeting/2010/15826 dated 01/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The land is still in the name of the society. 2) Psychology and work experience labs are in one room and ill equipped. 3) No separate language lab but lingua phone was kept in computer room. 4) Girls common room was ill equipped. 5) Nine computers are not enough for 100 B.Ed students".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, M.K.R. P.G. Institute of Technology (M.D. Educational Social Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Sanjeev Yadav, Secretary, M.K.R. P.G. Institute of Technology (M.D. Educational Social Society), Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (i) the land has been registered in the name of the institution vide its letter dated 27.1.2010, (ii) work experience lab was not mentioned in the list of required resource center in the norms of NCTE B.Ed. course but one separate room was made available by the institution where all the activities regarding work experience could be carried out and in order to equip the psychology resource center properly, more articles had been provided, (iii) a well furnished ICT resource center well equipped with all necessary articles had been provided, (iv) a well furnished girls common room was provided and (v) six more computers had been provided in the ICT resource center making the total available computers in the ICT to 15 numbers.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the VT report dated 22-12-09 was positive. In view of the position explained by the institution and the positive VT Report, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand the case to the NRC for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(9) of NCTE regulations, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of **Uttar Pradesh** for the **B.Ed.** course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for

forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the letter of intent prior to grant of recognition be issued under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside **NRC's order 01.02.10** and remand back the case to **NRC** for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition in respect of institution under Section 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be effective from the date of withdrawal of the said ban on further recognition of **B.Ed.** course in the State of **Uttar Pradesh**.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.K.R. P.G. Institute of Technology (M.D. Educational Social Society), Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, M.K.R. P.G. Institute of Technology (M.D. Educational Social Society), Hassonpur Mussoorie, Khekra, District, Baghpat - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 103/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Manyawar Kanshiram Mahavidyalaya, Raibareilly, Uttar Pradesh dated 11/02/2010 is against the Order No.F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP.3319/154th Meeting/2009 dated 19-01-2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) Appointed lecturer Dr. Suman Lata Sharma has 45.44% marks in M.Ed as against the recruitment of 50%. Hence, she is not qualified. 2) Mrs. Deepti Arora appointed as HOD does not have Ph.D Degree. Hence she is not qualified. (The college does not have qualified faculty as per NCTE norms)".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Manyawar Kanshiram Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. R.J. Singh Chauhan, Director, Manyawar Kanshiram Mahavidyalaya, Raibareilly, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.01.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had appointed Dr. Suman Lata Singh (not Dr. Suman Lata Sharma) as lecturer in Economic subject and Deepti Arora as HOD and other faculty as per norms and standards with effect from 10.12.2007; that the norms did not specify any percentages in M.Ed for appointment as HOD; still the institution appointed new HOD/Principal and qualified faculty as per the new NCTE Regulations and norms and standards w.e.f. 31.09.2009 by a duly constituted selection committee issued by C.S.J.M.U, Kanpur vide letter No.521 dated 08.10.2009 and letter No.711 dated 31.12.2009.Thus, as of now the institution was having one HOD/Principal and seven qualified lecturers ad two part-time lecturers of fine arts and DPE and affidavit on Rs. 100 and other supporting documents were attached to the appeal and the same were also shown to the Council.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that institution appointed new HOD/Principal and other qualified faculty as per the new norms and standards contained in NCTE Regulations 2009. The staff profile in respect of new appointments was verified by the Council and it was found to be in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that copies of the documents pertaining to new appointments made should be forwarded to NRC and the case be remanded to NRC for issue of formal recognition order to the institution.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has

been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 19.01.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Manyawar Kanshiram Mahavidyalaya, Raibareilly, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Manyawar Kanshiram Mahavidyalaya, Pure Gosai Mazre Charuhar Jiyayak, District, Raibareilly - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 99/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Durga Shiksha Niketan Mahavidyalaya, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 29/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2868/145th Meeting/2009/14655 dated 06/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The required faculty has not been appointed till date through dully constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms for B.Ed".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Durga Shiksha Niketan Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Suresh Chandra Pandey, Coordinator and Shri. Dinesh Singh, Secretary, Shri Durga Shiksha Niketan Mahavidyalaya, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.3.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that institution had repeatedly requested the Lucknow University for nomination of representative in the selection committee for selection of faculty but no action was taken by the university and that only on 3.12.2009 the university nominated its representative. Thus, the delay in completion of the prescribed formalities was beyond the control of the institution. It was further submitted that institution has since appointed faculty through the duly constituted selection committee.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant has appointed faculty following the laid down procedure. Further the faculty profile was also verified by the Council and it was found to be in order. The Council was therefore of the view that the copies of documents pertaining to appointment of the faculty since submitted by the institution should be forwarded to the NRC and the case remanded to NRC for issue of formal recognition order.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 06.01.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Durga Shiksha Niketan Mahavidyalaya, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Shri Durga Shiksha Niketan Mahavidyalaya, Deveri Rukhara, Post - Arjun Pur, District, Lucknow - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-24/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Veer Bahadur Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 11/01/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2111/153 Meeting/2009/14088 dated 22/12/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "As per available records, the Faculty was appointed in 2007. It is not clear whether the appointed Faculty still exists."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Veer Bahadur Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/01/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Anirudh Singh, Principal and Dr. Sunil Singh, HOD, Veer Bahadur Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 11.02.2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had submitted the list of appointed faculty, Selection Committee proceedings etc. to NRC, NCTE, Jaipur along with all the necessary documents. During the presentation the representative however sought time for producing the documentary proof regarding continuance of the staff appointed till date. As per the appeal rules, upto three chances are allowed to an institution to present its case before the appeal committee. Accordingly, the request was acceded to and the case was again heard on 16-03-09, when the representatives of the institution presented the documents regarding continuance of the staff appointed.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that conditional recognition for B.Ed. course was granted to the institution by NRC vide its order dated 29-12-06 subject to appointment of qualified staff through duly constituted Selection Committee as per norms of NCTE/State Govt./Affiliating body given effect before commencement of the course etc. Formal order of recognition was to be issued separately. The NRC in its 141st meeting held on 22nd to 24th May, 2009 decided to refuse recognition and subsequently, vide its order dated 16-07-09 refused recognition to the institution on the ground that the list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms was not submitted by the institution. The institution filed an appeal dated 9-06-09 against the decision of NRC taken in its 141st meeting and the Council, after consideration of the appeal, vide order dated 7-8-09 remanded the case to NRC for inspection of the documents submitted by the institution regarding appointment of faculty as per NCTE norms and regulations. The NRC thereafter called for requisite documents from the institution, vide letter dated 8-10-09 in response to which the institution furnished its reply alongwith the requisite documents, vide letter dated 18-11-09. After considering the reply, the NRC, again refused grant of recognition to the institution vide its order dated 22-12-09 on the ground that as per available records, the faculty was

appointed in 2007 and it was not clear whether the appointed faculty still existed. The present appeal was filed by the institution against the said order of NRC. The documents submitted by the institution during the presentation on 16-3-09 regarding continuance of qualified staff appointed beyond 2007 were verified by the Council and they were found to be in order. The Council was therefore of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand the case to NRC alongwith copies of additional documents now submitted for issue of formal recognition order to the institution in continuation of the conditional recognition order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 22-12-09 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to issue formal recognition order in continuation to the conditional recognition order, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Veer Bahadur Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sanjay Gandhi Puram, Faizabad Road,, Lucknow - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 141/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Paramhans Shikshan Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 25/02/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-2854/155th Meeting/2010/15730 dated 29/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies communicated through letter F.No. NRC/NCTE/UP-2854/152 Meeting/2009/13596 dated 17/12/2009 still exist. The deficiencies communicated in the NRC letter dated 17-12-09 are (i) The website has not been developed as per NCTE norms. (ii) The experience of the appointed Principal has given in affidavit does not match with the documents."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Paramhans Shikshan Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/02/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Gopal Shukla and Shri K.K. Pandey, Member, Shri Paramhans Shikshan Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 17.03.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the deficiency relating to website was rectified by uploading all the requisite details of the institution on its website; another affidavit stating that due to typographical error in the affidavit the experience of the Principal was shown differently as compared to the experience mentioned in the certificate and the experience mentioned in the certificate was the correct duration of the experience. In the course of personal presentation it was clarified that the service of the Principal in the earlier institutions was on contract basis and was not on part time.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the order of the NRC dated 29-01-10 refusing recognition reversed with the direction to the NRC to issue formal recognition order.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 29.01.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of **Shri Paramhans Shikshan Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh** to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Shri Paramhans Shikshan Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Vidya Kund, Ayodhya, District, Faizabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89- 794/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Janta Shiksha Sansthan Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-800/141 Meeting/2009/17205 dated 20/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B. Ed course on the ground "The applicant institution has not submitted representation to the NRC, NCTE, Jaipur."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Janta Shiksha Sansthan Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 03/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Kenti Vardhan Singh, Administrator officer, Janta Shiksha Sansthan Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 20.04.10. In the appeal and a further letter dated 19.4.2010 and in the course of personal presentation he has stated that a reply / representation to the show cause notice dt. 27.3.2009 was sent on 22.4.2009. While the website of the NRC posted after the 141st meeting held from 22-24 May, 2009, the Council decided to withdraw recognition on the ground that the reply submitted by the institution was not found to be satisfactory and the deficiencies pointed out continue to exist, the order of the NRC dated 20.4.2010 withdrawing recognition has stated, that the applicant institution has not submitted representation to the show cause notice dated 27.3.2009. The applicant has submitted that this contradiction itself indicated that NRC took the decision to withdraw the recognition without considering the reply of the appellant.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the reply of the institution dated 22.4.2009 is available on the relevant file of the NRC. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the matter be remanded back to NRC to consider the reply of the institution dated 22.4.2009 and take an appropriate decision.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dt. 20.02.10 and remand the case to NRC to consider the reply of the institution dated 22.4.2009 and take an appropriate decision. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Janta Shiksha Sansthan Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Janta Shiksha Sansthan Mahavidyalaya, Town and Post-Ghatampur, , Kanpur Nagar - - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1250/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Modern Academy B.Ed College, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 19/10/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3155/145th Meeting/2009/7793 dated 12/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, closing the file for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The reply of the institution has not been received in the stipulated time."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Modern Academy B.Ed College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/10/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Sanjay Agarwal, Manager, Modern Academy B.Ed College, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 20.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has not received NRC's letter dated 2nd May, 2009 even though they were writing letters to the NRC from time to time.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that as there is no indication on the letter of the NRC dated 2nd May, 2009 whether it was sent by registered post or ordinary post and opined that the NRC may be directed to re-issue their letter dated 2nd May, 2009 to the appellant institution and examine the matter on receipt of the reply. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the matter be remanded back to NRC for re-issuing the letter dated 2nd May, 2009 of the NRC to the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dt. 12.08.09 and the matter is remanded back to NRC for re-issuing the letter dated 2nd May, 2009 of the NRC to the appellant institution. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Modern Academy B.Ed College, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)

Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Modern Academy B.Ed College, Khasra No. 375/550, Vill. Sikri Kalan, Teh. Modinagar, Ghaziabad - , Uttar Pradesh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1322/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Tridev College of Education, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 12/11/2009 is against the Order of the Northern Regional Committee No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3307/145th meeting/2009/8937 dated 28/08/2009 closing the file of the institution for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The reply of the institution has not been received in the stipulated time."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Tridev College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/11/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Jaidev Kumar, Secretary and Shri Sandeep Kumar, Tridev College of Education, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 20.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that while the ground for closure of the file is non receipt of a reply to the letter of intent seeking unconditional consent for inspection of B.Ed. course issued by the NRC on 2.5.2009, he has not received that said letter. However, from the file of the NRC it is seen that the letter was received back in NRC office undelivered with the postal remarks that there is no college with such name.

AND WHEREAS the appellant did not receive the NRC's letter dated 2.5.2009, the Council came to the conclusion that the letter of intent for inspection may be reissued to the appellant institution and further action taken thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dt. 28.08.09 and remand the case to NRC for reissuing the readiness letter for inspection to the institution and for appropriate decision thereafter. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Tridev College of Education, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Tridev College of Education, VPO-Mustafabad, , Muzaffar Nagar - ,
Uttar Pradesh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1325/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pandit Sukhraj Raghunathi Institute of Education Technology, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 04/11/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3474/141 Meeting/2009/6046 dated 10/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee closing the file for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Pandit Sukhraj Raghunathi Institute of Education Technology (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 13/11/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Lalji Tripathi, Member from Pandit Sukhraj Raghunathi Institute of Education Technology, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 20.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the deficiency letter of NRC dated 16/2/2009 has not been received. However, from the file of the NRC it is seen the letter was received back undelivered with the postal remark "The name of the institution could not be found".

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appeal of the institution was initially not admitted in the Council's letter dated 11/12/2009 on the ground that it was preferred after the expiry of the stipulated period of the 60 days. The appellant filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble high court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow against the order of the Council. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dated 3.2.2010 set aside the Council's decision and remanded the matter for taking a decision in accordance with law after hearing the party concerned expeditiously, say within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of that order. The Council taking into account the plea of the appellant that he did not receive the deficiency letter dated 16/2/2009 came to the conclusion that the appeal may be accepted and the NRC directed to reissue the deficiency letter and take further action thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dt. 10.07.09 and remand back the case to WRC for issuing deficiency letter and for further action thereafter. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pandit Sukhraj Raghunathi Institute of Education Technology, Pratapgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Pandit Sukhraj Raghunathi Institute of Education Technology, Ranjeetpur, Chilbila, Khasra No-1970, Post-Madhwgarh, Pratapgarh - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-169/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sardar Rajinder Singh Memorial Mukat College of Education, Rajpura, Punjab dated 08/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/PB-356/155 Meeting/2009/16841 dated 15/02/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The teaching staff was appointed in 2007 year which is very old. Thus, teaching staff is not in position."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sardar Rajinder Singh Memorial Mukat College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Brig. M.P. Singh, Director and Shri S. Umrao Singh, Director, Sardar Rajinder Singh Memorial Mukat College of Education, Rajpura, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that- (1). The College had not defaulted in appointment of teaching staff as alleged by NRC and the college was running its fourth session with full complement of teaching staff. (2). The grounds of refusing recognition, that is, the teaching staff was appointed in the year 2007, was wrong whereas it had been accepted in the order of NCTE dated 05/01/2010. The college vide its letter dated 18/08/2006 intimated the NRC, details of selected staff and seeking relaxation of net qualification in respect of certain selected candidates and this letter was available in file of NRC. From this letter it was very clear that the Principal and eight lecturers were appointed in July 2006 and not in 2007.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant applied for recognition of B. Ed course on 22.05.05; it was granted conditional recognition vide NRC's letter dt. 24.07.06 and subsequently NRC's vide order dt. 30.09.09 refused recognition on the ground that the deficiency communicated to the college regarding appointment of faculty is not removed within stipulated time; against which it filed an appeal before NCTE and the NCTE disposed the appeal vide its order dt. 05.01.10 by remanding the case to the NRC for examination of the reply dt. 10.08.09 of the institution; subsequent to this, the NRC vide its order dt. 09.02.10 once again refused recognition on the ground stated in para 1. It further noted that the appellant on receipt of the conditional recognition order dt. 24.07.06, forwarded the list of appointed faculty vide its letters dt. 01.07.06 and 13.07.06 to the NRC. After appointing the staff and with due affiliation of the university, the institution started conducting the academic sessions 2006-07, 2007-08 & 2008-09; the process for the appointment of the faculty was initiated well before the date of inspection; the NRC vide its letter dt. 24.07.09, after almost 3 years of issuance of conditional letter, asked the institution to submit the documents with regard to the appointment of the faculty within 30 days, failing which conditional recognition order would be withdrawn. In response to this the

institution vide its letter dt. 10.08.09 forwarded the list of faculty that had been downloaded from the website to the NRC and requested for inclusion of its name in the list of recognised colleges. It was clear from the NRC's refusal order that the faculty was in position before the commencement of academic session 2006-07, and the condition laid in the conditional letter of NRC had been complied with and that was the basic requirement for grant of recognition. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal for issuance for formal recognition order in continuation to the conditional recognition order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 15-02-10 was reversed with a direction to the NRC to issue formal recognition order in continuation to the conditional recognition order, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Director, Sardar Rajinder Singh Memorial Mukat College of Education, Damandheri Road, Patial-Rajpura Road, , Rajpura - , Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-245/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of I.P.S.E. College of Education, Distt. Karnal, Haryana dated 05/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7-3/HR-1764/2010/20494-20501 dated 16.04.10 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiencies related to Library, Science lab and Psychology lab still exists."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, I.P.S.E. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri M.C. Kashyap, President and Dr. S.K. Yadav, Member, I.P.S.E. College of Education, Distt. Karnal, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the library had more than 5016 books, some of the books were returned and additional set of books had been purchased, all the books purchased had been entered in accession register. The No. of titles had been increased to 1106. All the laboratories were redesigned and the apparatus/ equipments were additionally purchased and stacked in proper manner and to give it a proper look.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the NRC in compliance with the appellate order dt. 07.08.09 caused inspection of the institution on 11.12.09. The Visiting Team reported that the library was having 5016 books it has purchased schools text books in bulk which are not required in a college of education; it has also reported that the equipment and apparatus in the psychology lab and science lab was adequate and the science lab was not shared with any other school and college. The Council perused the accession register of the library and bills pertaining to lab equipment and noted that institution was adequately equipped. In view of the above, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case for issuance of letter under clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of **Haryana** for the **B.Ed.** course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the letter of intent prior to grant of recognition be issued under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside **NRC's order 16.04.10** and remand back the case to **NRC** for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition in respect of institution under Section 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be effective from the date of withdrawal of the said ban on further recognition of **B.Ed.** course in the State of **Haryana**.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of I.P.S.E College of Education, Distt. Karnal, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, I.P.S.E. College of Education, VPO - Jundla , Distt. Karnal - 132036, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-198/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Modern College of Education, Rewari, Haryana dated 22/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7-3/HR-1780/2010/18560 dated 12/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The faculty has not been appointed till now. It shows that the college was running without the faculty. 2) The land documents seem to be tampered."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Modern College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 22/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Mr. Surender Yadav and Mr. Ashok Yadav, Member of Society, Modern College of Education, Rewari, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was issued show cause notice on 09.11.09, on the basis of the inspection report dt. 10.09.09. In response to this the appellant submitted its reply to the NRC on 29.10.09 and 11.02.10. After considering the reply, the NRC withdrew the recognition to B. Ed course from 2010-11 academic session. The NRC's order dt. 12.03.10 is erroneous as the appellant submitted fresh application for B. Ed course on 22.10.08 and it was not even granted conditional recognition and hence the question of withdrawal of recognition does not arise and also the grounds stated therein. With regard to land it was submitted that the society was in possession of 07 kanal 2 marla of land on ownership basis by way of Hibbanama dt. 01.08.08 and it later, on 20.04.09 gifted the land to the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into consideration, the submission made by the appellant and examining the documents available on file observed that NRC wrongly processed the file considering the case for shifting to new premises and dealt the case accordingly and issued show cause notice as well as withdrawal order. In view of this, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal and remanding the case to the NRC for further considering the case on merit.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 12-03-10 and remand the case to NRC for further considering the case on merit. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Haryana imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Modern College of Education, Rewari, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Modern College of Education, VPO - Meerpur,, Rewari - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-213/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Om Budheshwar Nath R.S.V.S. Mahavidyalaya, Pratapganj, Uttar Pradesh dated 25/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3144/156 Meeting/2010/18064 dated 08/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The Faculty has not been appointed through duly constituted selection committee as per NCTE norms."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Om Budheshwar Nath R.S.V.S. Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 25/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Arvind Kumar Misra, Adviser and Shri Shashi Prakash Singh, member, Om Budheshwar Nath R.S.V.S. Mahavidyalaya, Pratapganj, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the duly constituted selection committee recommended four lecturers of the subject pointed out by the NRC - (i) Shri Sarvesh Pratap Singh (Physics) (ii). Km. Anuradha Singh (Mathematics) (iii) Shri Yogesh Kumar Singh (Botany) (iv) Shri Deepak Singh (Physical Education). The reason for refusing recognition to the appellant institution by NRC was perhaps due to calling the same selection committee constituted by the affiliating university vide letter dated 21/10/2009 on the subsequent occasion also, instead of constituting the same afresh. The NRC erred in deciding the case of the appellant institution as there was a reference no. RMLAV/affiliation/AF-227(2)/2010/17901 dated 19/03/2010 issued by the affiliating university clearly mentioning that the subject expert(s) for selecting faculty members for the appellant institution, as nominated by the university earlier, instead of constituting the same afresh vide letter dated 21/10/2009 would remain the same till the finalization/approval of the faculty of the appellant institution. On having followed the above guidelines, of the affiliating university, the appellant institution had called the same selection committee on the subsequent occasion for selecting the remaining lecturers for the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that reply to letter of intent prior to recognition dated 30/10/2009 was submitted by the institution alongwith documents regarding staff vide letter dated 04/11/2009. Subsequently, further information sought regarding removal of deficiencies namely (i) website had not been developed as per NCTE norms and (ii) there was no staff to teach methods of teaching Mathematics, Physical Science and Biological Science by the NRC's letter dated 17/12/2009 was also furnished by letters dated 05.02.2010 and 09/02/2010. The Council verified the faculty profile submitted by the institution and the same was found to be in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was

adequate justification for accepting the appeal, for issuance of formal recognition order.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 08.03.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Om Budheshwar Nath R.S.V.S. Mahavidyalaya, Pratapganj , Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Om Budheshwar Nath R.S.V.S. Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 226,229, Vill.- Tinachitari, Post- Sagra, Tehsil- Lalganj,, Pratapganj - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 243/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

26/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Ram Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/04/2010 is against the Order No.F.NRCNCTE/F-3/UP.3075/157 Meeting/2010 dated 13.04.2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiencies in respect of common room and toilets for girls still exist."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Ram Institute of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Kant Garg, Member & Shri Amit Kansal, Secretary, Shri Ram Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that deficiencies pointed out did not actually exist and the institution submitted the map and photographs of building showing common rooms and girls toilet as evidence.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that, after considering the VT Report in respect of the institution and other documents, the NRC, in its 154th meeting held on 9th 11th January, 2010 decided to refuse recognition to the institution on the ground that (i) website was not available as per NCTE norms, (ii) 1000 titles of books as per norms were not available, (iii) 4 computers were not enough and (iv) internet facility was not available. The NRC issued a show cause notice dated 19.01.2010 on the grounds (i) 5 computers were not sufficient for 100 students of B. Ed, (ii) no common rooms for girls, (iii) no toilets for girls were available and (iv) language learning lab was not available. Thus, the show-cause notice issued was not strictly in conformity with the decision taken by the Regional Committee. Further, though the VT Report dated 19.01.2010 indicated that common room for girls was available, yet in the show-cause notice it was shown as a deficiency. Therefore, the two ground on which the recognition was refused vide order dated 13.04.2010 were not in order. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for reconsideration.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate justification to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dt. 13.04.10 and the case should be remanded back to the NRC for reconsideration. Accordingly, the case was remanded back to the NRC. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further

recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Ram Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Ram Institute of Education, Village - Timakiya, Post - Jami Khurd, Tehsil - Meerut, District, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-205/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Badli Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/03/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/UP-3208/155TH MEEETING/2010/15738 dated 29/01/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The deficiencies communicated to the Institute through letter no. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3208/149th Meeting/2009/10602 dated 25/09/2009 still exist. 2) Khasra no./Plot no. is not mentioned on building plan. 3) All labs are ill equipped and not proper. 4) Titles of books are not as per norms for B.Ed. course. 5) Encyclopedia and journals are not available. 6) Language learning facilities are not available. 7) As per CD, the building is under construction. 8) In all class rooms, black boards are of small size. 9) Play ground is not well maintained. 10) Drinking water facility and toilets facility are not proper."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Badli Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 23/03/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. R.J. Singh, Director, Badli Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the building plan of the appellant institution was duly approved by the competent authority and Khasra numbers 676 and 852 were indicated in the building plan; all the laboratories were well-equipped with the requisite apparatus and equipments for B. Ed course as per NCTE norms; the institution was in possession of 3285 books with 1085 titles and 236 reference books; there were 7 sets of encyclopedia and 5 sets of journals; the language learning facilities were well equipped with requisite apparatus and equipments; the construction of the building was complete at the time of inspection; size of black board was adequate, the play ground was well-maintained and the institution was having adequate drinking water and toilets facilities.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that two inspections of the institution were conducted on 28.08.2009 and 13.01.2010. The second VT was deputed to verify the position regarding the deficiencies pointed out earlier and the report of the second VT was positive and it specifically indicated that the institution was having all the requisite facilities and the deficiencies pointed out were not existing at the time of second inspection on 13.01.2010.. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of **Uttar Pradesh** for the **B.Ed.** course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the letter of intent prior to grant of recognition be issued under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside **NRC's order 29.010.10** and remand back the case to **NRC** for issuance of letter of intent prior to grant of recognition in respect of institution under Section 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be effective from the date of withdrawal of the said ban on further recognition of **B.Ed.** course in the State of **Uttar Pradesh**.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Badli Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Badli Mahavidyalaya, Amrethu, Dadia, Kadipur,, Sultanpur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 249/2010 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kashi Nath Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 07/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3478/156th Meeting/2010/18126 dated 08/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The reply of the Institute has not been received in stipulated period till date."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kashi Nath Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Anil Kumar, Dy. Manager, Kashi Nath Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.04.10. In the course of personal presentation he has submitted a letter dated 30/4/2010 wherein he has mentioned that a nominee of the university was made available on 1/2/2010, a meeting of the Selection Committee for selection of teachers was held on 15/02/2010 and approval of the University for the Selected Staff was given on 5/3/2010 and in the meanwhile the NRC, NCTE refused recognition. During the presentation, the representation also stated that as per the provisions of the section 14 of the NCTE Act the institution was not issued show cause notice before refusing recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the institution was issued a letter of intent under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2007 on 30th October, 2009 and no letter has been received from the institution intimating fulfillment of the conditions laid down in that letter. In the course of presentation the appellant claimed that he has received no letter after the letter of intent dated 30th October, 2009. The Council noted that NRC has not issued any Show Cause Notice before refusing grant of recognition. Taking all aspects of the matter into consideration the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the matter remanded to NRC with the direction to issue a Show Cause Notice in the first instance and take further necessary action thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 08-03-10 and remand the case to NRC for issuing show cause notice and for taking appropriate decision, thereafter. The final decision of the NRC, if it decides to grant recognition would, however, be made operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh imposed by the NCTE and notified vide Public Notice dated 28-07-09.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kashi Nath Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Kashi Nath Mahavidyalaya, Village - Narayanpur Kakarahi, Post - Daulatpur, Tehsil - Saidpur, District, Ghazipur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-252/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lal Bahdur Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya, Distt. Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3085/156 Meeting/2010/18209 dated 08/03/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiencies communicated to the institute through letter no. NRC/NCTE/UP-3085/154th Meeting/20009/15162 dated 19/01/2009 still exist." the deficiencies pointed out in the NRC's letter dated 19/1/2010 or (i) the appointed Principal does not have the experience as per NCTE norms for B.Ed and (ii) the college website has not yet been developed as per NCTE's norms for B.Ed.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Lal Bahdur Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Soran Singh, MD and Shri. Alok Kumar, Teacher, Lal Bahdur Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya, Distt.Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has replaced the earlier H.O.D., namely Dr. Mahavir Singh, who did not have the requisite experience by a new H.O.D. namely, Dr. Sushila Chaudhary after due selection process and the University has approved her in their letter dated 5/4/2010 and the institution has developed its website i.e www.lbssgohawar.com and the printout of the website was submitted to NRC on 4/3/2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appellant was issued letter of intent prior to grant of recognition on 04.11.09; in compliance to this letter, the appellant requested the affiliating university – M.J.P. University, Bareilly for constitution of the selection committee; selection committee comprising university nominee selected the faculty on 10.12.09 and faculty list was approved by the university on 31.12.09. The details of the appointed faculty were submitted to the NRC on 07.01.10. Thereafter NRC issued a show cause notice with regard to appointed principal's experience and website. The institution vide its letter dt. 04.02.10 requested for some more time and subsequently appointed a new principal who is qualified and also possessed adequate experience as per NCTE norms. In view of the above the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification in accepting the appeal for issuance of formal recognition order.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 08.04.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lal Bahdur Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya, Distt. Bijnor, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Lal Bahdur Singh Smarak Mahavidyalaya, VPO- Gohawar, Tehsil-Chandpur,, Distt.Bijnor - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-275/2010-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Chandraprabha Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 19/04/2010 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-3482/157 Meeting/2010/19823-19829 dated 12/04/2010 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "The deficiency related to the teaching experience certificate of Dr. Ashutosh Pachori still remains."

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Chandraprabha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/04/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Dheeraj Prakash, Trustee and Shri. Raju Yadav, OS, Chandraprabha Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30.04.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the university nominated subject experts on 2/3/2010, a meeting of the Selection Committee was held on 3/3/2010 and selected Dr. Subhash Singh for the post of Principal and S/Shri Sudhir Kumar and Surya Bhan Singh as Lecturers and the University in their letter dated 8/3/2010 conveyed their approval for the three selected candidates. The appellant also submitted that information regarding the selections has been communicated to NRC on 19/3/2010.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appointed Principal Dr. Ashutosh Pachori was having adequate teaching experience; Dr. Subhash Singh who has been selected in place of Dr. Pachori is also qualified for the post of principal. Since information regarding selection of a new candidate for the post of Principal who is qualified has been intimated to the NRC before the date of issue of refusal order, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted, the order of NRC reversed with the direction to NRC for issue of Orders of Recognition under clause 7(11) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately.

AND WHEREAS the decision of the Council cannot be implemented immediately in view of the NCTE's Public Notice dated 28-07-09, announcing the general policy of the NCTE not to further recognise any teacher training institution in the state of Uttar Pradesh for the B. Ed. course during the 2010-11. Since that has been done as a temporary measure for a period of one year only, in order to regulate the growth of teacher education in the State and the same is to be reviewed for forthcoming years, the Council therefore decided that the formal recognition order be issued under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 immediately to the institution, but its operation shall be effective from the date of lifting of the said general ban orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council came to the conclusion that there is adequate ground to accept the appeal and set-aside NRC's order 12.04.10 and remand back the case to NRC for issuance of formal recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations 2009 immediately. The decision of the Council would, however, be operative from the date of withdrawal of the ban on further recognition of B.Ed. course in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Chandraprabha Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Chandraprabha Mahavidyalaya, Saraineem, Jalesar, , Etah - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89- 813/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

31/05/2010

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kadva Patel B.Ed. College (Shree Arvalli Sadbhavna Charitable Trust's), Mehsana Dist., Gujarat dated 04/08/2009 is against the Order No. NO.WRC/APW01111/323194/120th/2009 dated 03/07/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B. Ed course on the grounds that Principal not appointed as per NCTE norms.

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Kadva Patel B.Ed. College (Shree Arvalli Sadbhavna Charitable Trust's) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Vinod Kantilal Patel Managing Trustee, Kadva Patel B.Ed. College (Shree Arvalli Sadbhavna Charitable Trust's), Mehsana Dist., Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 16.09.09. In the appeal it is noted that the appellant had made contradictory statements about the appointment of a Principal while in para. 6 it has been stated that one Shukla Jayeshkumar G. was appointed as a Principal after an interview held on 30.05.09 in para. 10 it has been stated that advertisement for the post of Principal was published in various newspapers on 8 occasions including 30.05.09 and 16.07.09 but no qualified principal was available. In the course of hearing he submitted a letter dated 16.09.09 with which a copy of the order dt. 06.08.09 of the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat at Ahmedabad is enclosed. In that order it is recorded that the Learned Counsel appearing for the University, under instructions, stated that they will take appropriate steps to provide the list of Specialists/ Interviewers and a date of interview within a week so as to complete process of appointment of Principal and Professors. In view of this order it is clear that even as on date of hearing of appeal Principal as per NCTE norms has not been appointed.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the orders of the Hon'ble High Court which provided an opportunity to the appellant institution to appoint a Principal fulfilling the NCTE Norms and the order of the WRC dt. 10.07.09 according to which the withdrawal of recognition will be effective from the end of the academic session next to the following date of withdrawal, the committee came to the conclusion that the appellant institution may be given a month's time for appointing a qualified Principal and the appeal be further considered and disposed of thereafter. When the appellant did not submit its reply, a letter was issued to the institution on 08.02.10 and the institution submitted its reply on 08.03.10 stating that the institution appointed a qualified principal following the laid down procedure. The case was again considered by the Committee on 30.04.10 and it noted that the appointed principal was qualified as per norms. In view of the above the Committee came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the WRC be directed to restore the recognition granted to it.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT report, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and WRC's order dated 22-12-09 was reversed with a direction to the WRC to restore the recognition granted to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(Hasib Ahmad)
Member Secretary

1. **The Managing Trustee, Kadva Patel B.Ed. College (Shree Arvalli Sadbhavna Charitable Trust's), C/O J.B.Vidhalya, Gothra Compound, Ta. Vishnagar, , Mehsana Dist. - 384315, Gujarat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar.