

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amardeep College, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-1440/141 Meeting/2009/5814 dated 09/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The list of appointed Faculty as per NCTE Norms has not been submitted till date".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Amardeep College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Nitin Misra, Joint Secretary, Amardeep College, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in pursuance of the conditional recognition granted on 26/7/2006, the appellant has been sending details of staff selected to NRC but the NRC has been insisting upon nomination of a member on the Selection Committee by the affiliating body. The appellant has made efforts to get experts nominated by the SCERT but to no avail. The appellant also submitted that the State Govt. of UP has prohibited conduct of BTC course in any self financing institution and that according to information now available, the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad has passed an order on 31/7/2009 directing the State Govt./SCERT to grant affiliation to private unaided institutions which have been granted recognition by the NCTE. Continuing the efforts to select faculty, the appellant stated that they have again advertised and will be selecting qualified staff and informing NRC. In these circumstances the appellant requested that the order of NRC appealed against may be set aside and appropriate relief granted.

AND WHEREAS taking into account the submissions made by the appellant, the Council came to the conclusion that the case deserves to be remanded to NRC, who may examine the list of faculty to be submitted by the appellant institution and take further appropriate action in the light of any fresh decision that may be taken by the Govt. of UP/SCERT reviewing BTC course in self-financing institutions.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 09.07.2009 and remand the case to NRC for examination of the faculty profile and thereafter pass appropriate orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Amardeep

College, Firozabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Joint Secretary , Amardeep College, Amar Nagar, Jalesar Road, , Firozabad - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bankey Bihari Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/08/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3404/141/MEETING/2009/4114 dated 10/06/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds "Building plan submitted but not approved by competent authority. The letter of approval is also not submitted".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Bankey Bihari Kanya Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Atul Kumar Goel and Shri S.N. Goel, Manager and Member , Bankey Bihari Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the building plan which has been submitted has been approved by the Block Development Officer who is the Competent Authority in the rural areas. It is seen that the building plan bears signature of Block Development Officer, Ujhani, Badaun.

AND WHEREAS in view of the above position, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to NRC for causing inspection and taking further necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 10-06-09 and remand the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within one month of issue of this order and thereafter pass appropriate order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Bankey Bihari Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The President, Bankey Bihari Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 210, Village Khivali, PO Ujhani, District - , Badaun - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Koduvayur P.O. Pallakkad, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14172 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University Centre was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004, with temporary building with the condition to shift its own premises within three year from the date of recognition, but this University Centre has not yet made efforts to construct own permanent building as per the stipulated conditions of recognition order, but in its reply, the University Centre stated that the plan for the proposed building has been just made and an amount of Rs. 1.07 crore is set apart for the construction. Hence, the University Centre is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and regulations, norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The University Centre is running in a temporary building with 3900 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course. The University Centre in its reply given to Notice stated that the 65 cents of land was given by Gram Panchayat for construction of building for lease of 99 years. The University Centre has not constructed permanent building and hence not shifted to permanent premises. 3) The equipment in Science, Psychology, E.T. labs were not adequate to run B.Ed course as per norms and standards of NCTE. The University Centre in its reply stated that the University Centre has allotted an amount to purchase the required equipment. The available lab, equipment were not adequate for B.Ed Course. 4) At present as per VT report, the facilities available were inadequate and also the noisy environment of school is not suitable and disturbing running of B.Ed course. 5) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But the University teacher Education center has not maintained joint account of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 6) The University center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Center stated that the B.Ed. Course is self- financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University center to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. P. Kelu Director and Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, member, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Pallakkad, Kerala presented the case of the appellent institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that : Centre is functioning in a Govt. building which has not anything common with the school; University has acquired land for this center; a new building is under construction and the center will be shifted to the new building after its completion; urgent steps have been taken for procuring more equipment for strengthening the labs; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by a visiting team on 18/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. The team found many inadequacies in instructional facilities. In the course of personal presentation the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the Vice-Chancellor, the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore may conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Koduvayur Post, , Pallakkad - 678501, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

87	06	save	2284	267
----	----	------	------	-----

F.No.89-775/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Kaniyambetta, Waynyada Dist., Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14174 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University center was accorded recognition on 13.07.2004, in the temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course in its own premises within three years (12.07.2007) time limit from the date of recognition. Whereas the University center has not constructed own / permanent building within three years (12.07.2007) time limit as per stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the university center is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per Regulations and Norms and standards. 2) The University center is running in the building located in the premises of Govt. Higher secondary school with built-up space of 5507 sq.ft. Which is not adequate to run B.Ed. course. In reply given to Notice, the institution stated that the building work is progressing and completion certificate will be submitted after completion of the work. The center has not submitted any evidence in respect of progressive of completion of building. 3) The institution has submitted unregistered lease deed of land, which is not acceptable as per regulations of 2007. 4) The Science and Psychology labs. were not equipped for B.Ed. course whereas institution in its reply stated that the required amount is earmarked in the current year budget. Therefore, the available equipments were not adequate to run B.Ed. course. 5) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to notice. But, the University teacher Education Center has not maintained joint account for Rs.5 lakhs and Rs 3. lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations ,2007. 6) The University Center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Para 4.4 (b) of Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Center stated that the B.Ed. course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the university center to create permanent teaching post and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. P. Kelu and Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Director and member,, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Kaniyambetta, Kerala presented the case of the appellatant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Centre is in a Govt. building, construction of a building in the University's own land is in progress and the Centre will be shifted to the new building; urgent steps have been taken to procure items for strengthening labs; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by a visiting team on 16/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. According to the report of the team the existing space is a constraint and there are deficiencies in instructional facilities. In the course of personal presentation the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the vice-chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore may conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the

center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, No. 188/2, Main Road, Kaniyambetta (Near Government Higher Secondary School), Kaniyambetta - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Vadakara, Kozhikode Dist, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14173 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University centre is running with the built up space of 3320 sq. ft. and land area of 1190 sq. mts. The built up space is not adequate to run the B.Ed course with an intake of 115. As per notice reply, the centre has stated that it is proposed to constructed two more floors in the building 2) The University centre is running M.A. (FOLKLORE) of two Departments in the same building Ground Floor. Therefore there is no exclusive space to run the B.Ed Course as per Regulation of NCTE 2007 3) The available equipment in Science, Psychology labs were not adequate . The University Centre stated in its reply, that the funds were allotted to purchase the lab equipments 4) The available furniture is not adequate to run the B.Ed course whereas the University Centre sated in its reply, that it has planned to purchase some more furnitures. 5) The university centre is running B.Ed Course in Self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to the Notice. But, the university teacher Education centre has not maintained joint account for Rs 5 lacs and Rs 3 lacs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 6) The university Centre has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Centre stated that the B.Ed Course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Vadakara, Kerala presented the case of the appellat institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Centre is now running in University's own land and building; the actual built up space is 12804 sq.ft. (1190 sq. mts) and the total floor area (ground + 2 floors) is 19206.6 sq.ft.; (1785 sq. mts); the University has resolved to shift M.A. Folklore Department to Calicut University main campus; steps have been taken for strengthening the labs; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by visiting team on 17/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. The report of the team indicates that only first and second floors of the building are being used for running B.Ed. course and ground floor is earmarked for M.A. Folklore. The team found inadequacies in lab equipment.

AND WHEREAS The Committee noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of

endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Committee noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Palolippalam, Puthuppanam, Kozhikode District, Vadakara - 673105, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Calicut, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14176 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The university center was accorded recognition on 13.10.2004 in temporary corporation building of Calicut with condition to shift the B.Ed. course to the permanent premises within three years (12.10.2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University Center has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The university center has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the university Center is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The Calicut university Teacher Education center has not submitted own registered land document and stated that the procedure of the registration of the proposed land is in progress. The own land is not available, as per regulations of NCTE. 3) The University center is running in a rent free commercial complex of corporation of building of Calicut. The building is not suitable to run B.Ed. course and the built-up space is also not adequate for B.Ed. course with intake of 112. 4) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But the university teacher Education Center has not maintained joint account for Rs.5 Lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as per Para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations,2007. 5) The university center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University centre stated that the B.Ed. course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University Center to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. P. Kelu Director and Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, member, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Calicut, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it is now functioning in a Corporation Building under the local self government of Govt. of Kerala; Calicut Corporation accorded sanction for handing over adequate land to the University for running the Centre; the Corporation Building has an area of 15000 sq.ft., exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Committee noted that the Visiting Team that inspected this Centre on 12-12-08 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act reported that the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor assured that a new building would be constructed in the campus within six months. However, it is mentioned in the appeal that Govt. concurrence for the land allotted by the Calicut Corporation to the University of Calicut is awaited for registering the land in the name of the Registrar. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the vice chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the

center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Committee noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Corporation Building, Old Passport Office, Big Bazar, , Calicut - 673001, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-770/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Nattik P.O., Thrissur Distt., Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14169 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University center was accorded recognition on 13.07.2004, in temporary accommodation wherein insufficient built-up space is available and with the condition that the University Center shall shift B.Ed. Course to its own Premises/building within three years(12.07.2007) from the date of recognition order issued. The University Center has not constructed its own building and also not shifted B.Ed course as per stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University Center is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations. Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The University center is running in temporary accommodation adjacent to the secondary school with built -up space of 5400 Sq.ft. The built -up space is not adequate to run the B.Ed. course. The University center in its reply stated that necessary steps have been taken by approaching Govt. authority to get the ownership of land and building in the name of the University center. Therefore, the center is not possessing own land and building for B.Ed. course as per para 8(7) of NCTE Regulation,2007. 3) The university center has not submitted registered own land document as per regulation. 4) The equipment of Science, Psychology and E.T. labs. were not provided. Whereas in notice reply. only assurance is given to provide the equipment. 5) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provide in its reply given to Notice. But the University teacher Education center has not maintained joint account of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 6) The University center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Center stated that the B.Ed. Course is self- financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University center to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Thrissur, Kerala presented the case of the appellent institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Centre is functioning in a Govt. Building within the premises of a Govt. school; the building has been constructed exclusively for running the B.Ed. course; the Centre is having only a strength of 60 students, University is awaiting Govt. concurrence to get the land and building on long term lease; the Centre is not offering science as an optional subject; efforts are being made to strengthen the labs; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by the Visiting team on 17/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. It is mentioned in the report that the Centre is functioning in a small two storey building built exclusively for running B.Ed. course with a built up area of 5,400 sq. ft. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the vice-chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Nattika Post, , Thrissur - 680306, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Ollur, Thrissur Distt., Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14148 dated 25/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution is functioning in a temporary accommodation at Government Vocational Higher Secondary school compound with a built-up space of 11,700 sq.ft. The built-up space is not adequate to run B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice the institution stated that the permanent building is under construction. As per records, the recognition was accorded for B.Ed. course on 13.07.2004, in temporary accommodation with the condition that the university Centre shall shift to its own premises/building within three years (12.07.2007) from the date of recognition order. But the University center failed to construct its own building and shift the B.Ed. Course to own premises/building within three years (12.07.2007) from the date of recognition order and violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University center is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The University Center has not submitted the registered own land document as per Regulations of NCTE, 2007. 3) As per reply given to Notice, the University has provided budget amount of Rs. 75,000/- for purchase of books. The available books are not adequate. 4) The University is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided, in its reply given to the Notice. But the University teacher Education Center has not maintained joint account for Rs.5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 5) The university center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the university stated that the B.Ed. course is self-finance one and hence the Government has not permitted the University to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Thrissur, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Centre is presently functioning in a Govt. building and construction of a new building in University campus is in progress;, the University of Calicut is having its own campus at Aranattukara, Thrissur; the Centre is having 3685 books and funds are earmarked for purchase of books as per NCTE norms; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by the Visiting team on 17/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the vice-chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction

within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Committee noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 25-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Government VHS Compound, Ollur,, Thrissur - 680306, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

E.No.89-771/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Malappuram,

Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14171 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The University center was granted recognition on 13.07.2004, in temporary school building with a condition to shift the B.Ed. Course to its own Premises/building within three years(12.07.2007) from the date of recognition order. As per Notice reply, the University Center building is under construction. Therefore, the B.Ed course is not yet shifted to its own premises as per the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University Center is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The University Centre is running in a Government School Building as a temporary accommodation with the built up space of 7800 sq. ft. It is not adequate to run the B.Ed Course. The University Centre has stated in its reply, it has taken initiative for construction of new building in its own hand. 3) The books in the library were not adequate and the University Centre has stated in its reply, that they have taken steps to procure more number of books. 4) The temporary school building space for Science & Psychology labs were not adequate. 5) The University Centre is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University teacher education Centre has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 6) The University Centre has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In reply to showcase notice also the University centre stated that the B.Ed course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Malappuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellatant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the University has already acquired its own land and construction of building is in progress; presently the Centre is functioning in a Govt. Building; the Centre is having 3668 books and sufficient funds are earmarked for more books; the new building will have sufficient rooms for establishing all labs as per NCTE norms; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by the Visiting team on 17/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. It is mentioned in the report that the present accommodation is on sharing basis with a Govt. upper primary school. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the Vice-Chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order dated 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax dated 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis

merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, KKB Building, Manjeri, District, Malappuram - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

87	06	save	2283	267
----	----	------	------	-----

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Malappuram, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14182 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University Center was accorded recognition in the temporary building on 13.7.2004 with insufficient built-up space with the condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years time limit from date of recognition. But, the institution failed to construct its own permanent building as per the conditions of the recognition order. Hence, the University Center is not eligible to continue in the rented premise as premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The university center is functioning in temporary building with built-up space of 7610 sq.ft and not adequate to run B.Ed. course with 120 intake. In the reply to the notice, the institution stated that with existing building and available facilities, the B.Ed. course will be conducted, till construction of permanent building. 3) The books in the library were not adequate for 120 intake as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. The institution stated in its notice reply, that the funds were allotted to procure the required books. 4) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to notice. But, the University teacher Education Center has not maintained joint account for Rs.5 lakhs and Rs.3. lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1)of NCTE Regulations, 2007". 5) The University Centre has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In reply to showcause notice also the University centre stated that the B.Ed course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Malappuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellent institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the University had already acquired land and the construction of the building is in progress; the center has more than 4100 books in 2000 titles and 600 reference books; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS The Centre was inspected by the Visiting team on 16/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. It is mentioned in the report that the accommodation in the present leased building is inadequate In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the Vice-Chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to

substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Kottilangadi, Malappuram, Kerala

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

87	06	save	2283	267
----	----	------	------	-----

F.No.89-774/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Poomala, Sulthan Batgry, Wayanad, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14175 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University Centre is functioning in rented building with 5234 sq. ft., built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course. In reply to show cause notice, the University stated that the permanent building is under construction. The University Centre shall be shifted to its own premises/building within three years (12/07/2007) from the date of recognition order issued. The university centre has not constructed its own building to shift B.Ed course as per stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University Centre is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and regulations, norms and standards of NCTE. 2) As per notice reply, the University Centre has not established Science lab, Psychology labs, Language Learning labs, which were required as per Norms & Standards of B.Ed College. 3) The university center has not submitted registered own land document as per regulations of 2007 of para 8(7) of NCTE Act. In reply to show cause notice the University Centre stated that the deed of Pattayam Right assignment with an extent of 0.5059 Hectors available for B.Ed course. 4) The University center is running B.Ed. course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But the University teacher Education center has not maintained joint account of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 5) The University center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Center stated that the B.Ed. Course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University center to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director ,Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Wayanad, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that presently this center is functioning in a hired building on long term lease; construction of a building on the land purchased by the University is in progress; center is not offering science and English as optional subject; efforts are being made to strengthen the labs; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS the Centre was inspected by the Visiting team on 17/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. It is mentioned in the report that the present accommodation is not adequate. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the Vice-Chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner

stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Sy No. 787/1A, 788, 789 (Old No.), New No. 439/1, Block - 18, Poomala, Sulthan Bathery,, Wayanad - 673592, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-776/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Kozhikode, Kerala dated 10/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14170 dated 26/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The university center was accorded recognition on 13.07.2004, with the condition that the University Center shall shift to its own premises/building within three years (12.07.2007) from the date of recognition order issued. The university Center has not constructed its own building for B.Ed. course as per stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University Center is not eligible to continue in the rented/temporary premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and standards of NCTE. 2) The University center is running in the first floor of the building roofed with asbestos sheet which is not suitable as per Regulations. In the reply, the center stated that the permanent building is under construction. The University Center has made temporary arrangement for class rooms, Library in adjacent building and built-up space for all labs is also not adequate. 3) The books in the library and equipment in Psychology, E.T. Labs were not adequate. The University center has earmarked funds to purchase books and lab equipment as per reply to the notice issued. 4) The University Center is running B.Ed. Course in self-financing mode as per information provided, in its reply given to Notice. But, the university teacher Education Center has not maintained joint account for Rs.5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs FDRs as para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 5) The University center has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per Norms and standards of B.Ed. course. In reply to show cause Notice also the University Center stated that the B.Ed. course is self-financed one and hence the Government has not permitted the University Center to create permanent teaching posts and appoint them on permanent basis".

AND WHEREAS Dr. K.K. Balachandran Nair, Member & Dr. P. Kelu, Director, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Kozhikode, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that presently the center is functioning in a govt. building; construction of the center's own building is in progress and the center will be shifted after completion of the building; the center is having 4172 books; the library and labs will be strengthened; exemption may be given for maintaining endowment and reserve funds as the university is directly running this Centre and the selected faculty are being appointed on long term basis.

AND WHEREAS the Centre was inspected by the Visiting Team on 16/12/2008 under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. The Visiting Team was not satisfied with the existing facilities/arrangements. In the course of personal presentation, the representatives of Calicut University have promised to intimate by fax after discussion with the Vice-Chancellor the list of University Teacher Education Centres for which the construction will be completed within three months. A fax dated 13/10/2009 sent by the Registrar, Calicut University received on 16/10/2009 contains an undertaking that inter-alia the construction of a building for this center will be completed within three months and the SRC, Bangalore could conduct a visit within six months.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the University, which has been running this center for many years, has not been able to have a building constructed for shifting the center till date, violating the condition stipulated in the NCTE's Order date 13.07.2004 granting recognition. The University has sent a fax date 13.10.2009 in a routine and causal manner stating that the construction of a building not only for this center but construction of buildings for another ten centers too will be completed within a period of three months. Considering the inordinate delay that has already occurred and lack of any dependable evidence to substantiate the preparedness of the University, their claim of completion of construction within such a short span of time cannot be accepted. The University has even now made only promises to remove deficiencies relating to various instructional facilities, which have been persisting for years together. The University cannot seek any exemption from maintenance of

endowment and reserve funds as the center is admittedly conducting the course on self-financing basis and this requirement is mandatory as per NCTE Regulations, 2007. Similarly the centre cannot be allowed to function without faculty appointed on full-time and regular basis merely on the ground that the Govt. has not permitted the University Centre to create permanent posts. Needless to mention that a centre which is reportedly being run directly by a university should be a model institution conforming to the norms and standards of NCTE and providing quality teacher education. The Council noting that this centre is not functioning in accordance with the provisions of the norms and standards laid down in the NCTE Regulations and failed to comply with the conditions imposed while granting recognition as far back as in 2004, has come to the conclusion that its appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 26-06-09 was confirmed

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Calicut University Teacher Education Centre, Chakkittapara, Kayanna Via, Perambra, Koliyandy, , Kozhikode - 673526, Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of J.S.R. College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 12/08/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW08072/222493/2009/56058 dated 03/06/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed. course on the grounds "Khasra number not mentioned in building plan".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, J.S.R. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Prem Singh Rajput, Chairman, J.S.R. College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that on the building plan, the word survey no. has been used which is the English term for Khasra and the impugned order is based on the wrong interpretation of the terms used by revenue authorities. From the records relating to the institution, it is seen that the number 4/min-1 has been shown as plot No./Khasra No./Survey No.

AND WHEREAS in view of the clarification furnished by the appellant, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the matter remanded to WRC for causing inspection and taking further necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, to remand the case to WRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the availability of infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within 30 days of issue of this order and thereafter pass appropriate order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of J.S.R. College of Education, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **09977935979, J.S.R. College of Education, Jay Shri Radhe Shiksha Prasara Samiti, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, Airport Road, Maharajpura, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, Gwalior - 222493, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal -

462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

87	06	save	2288	267
----	----	------	------	-----

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Jiut Das Vidyalaya , Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 19/08/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP/-3328/141st Meeting/2009/4582 dated 18/06/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds " 1) The Institution has submitted without signed and seal. 2) FDR of Rs. 5 Lac & Rs.3 Lac. 3) Building completion certificate. 4) Affidavit of Rs. 100/- Stamp Paper. 5) copy of approved building plan. 6) Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Jiut Das Vidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Vijay Bahadur, Jiut Das Vidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has not received any letter/ information stated in the rejection letter. However, from the records, it is seen that the deficiency letter dated 6th February, 2009 was sent to the appellant by registered/speed post.

AND WHEREAS the Council came to the conclusion that the NRC was justified in closing the file relating to the institution as the latter has not removed the deficiencies pointed out and which related to basic documents that should accompany the application itself, within the stipulated period. In the circumstances the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 18-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Jiut Das Vidyalaya , Khasara No. 540, Village Chainpru, Post Sadat, Teh Jakhaniya., Ghazipur - -, Uttar Pradesh**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1078/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ranjit Singh Memorial Post Graduate College, Bijnore Dist., Uttar Pradesh dated 16/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/ NCTE/F-7/UP-3063/2009/10155 dated 09/09/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies regarding Language Learning facilities still exist".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ranjit Singh Memorial Post Graduate College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Arjun Singh, Associate Professor and Sri Ram Narayan Singh, Secretary, Ranjit Singh Memorial Post Graduate College, Bijnore Dist., Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has a well equipped language laboratory and purchased the requisite materials. The appellant also submitted bills for the purchases, photographs of the language learning lab and a CD.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking into account the submissions made by the appellant has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted, the order of the NRC reversed with the further direction to the NRC to issue necessary orders under Section 7 (9) of the NCTE Regulations, 2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 09.09.09 and remand back the case to NRC to issue letter of intent prior to recognition under clause 7(9) of the NCTE Regulation, within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ranjit Singh Memorial Post Graduate College, Bijnore Dist., Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Ranjit Singh Memorial Post Graduate College, Vill. Rampur Bhola, Post - Dharmpur, Bijnore Dist. - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 816/2009 - Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Royal College of Education & Research (Royal Higher Education Society), Thane, Maharashtra dated 05/08/2009 is against the Order No. No.WRC/APW06959/123871(ENG)/119th/2009/156286 dated 06/06/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Eng.) (Co. Ed) course on the grounds "Whereas on scrutiny of original file WRC in its 119th WRC meeting held on May 27-29, 2009 came to the conclusion that the institution has not removed the deficiencies within the stipulated period of 90 days u/s 7(1) of NCTE Regulations,2007".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Royal College of Education & Research (Royal Higher Education Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 06/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Royal College of Education & Research (Royal Higher Education Society), Thane, Maharashtra , presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that all the necessary documents have been furnished and they possess 2500 sq.mt. of land and 1500 sq.mt. for classrooms. In a written submission made on 13/10/2009, it has been mentioned that their parent body reserved the fourth floor of a five floor structured building measuring 20600 sq. ft. on nominal rent of Rs.1/- per annum to provide an opportunity to Muslim girls in particular to acquire a B.Ed. degree; all the permanent trustees of the parent body have signed a declaration that the B.Ed. college once started on the fourth floor will never be disturbed and will continue to function perpetually; all the other courses that have been started since inception have been continued without any interruption since last more than 20 years and they have spent several lakhs of rupees in developing the infrastructure facilities for a good B.Ed. college. In the personal presentation, it has also been stated that the parent body namely Royal Society has sublet the land to another body namely Royal Higher Education Society, which is a part of the parent body.

AND WHEREAS taking all aspects of the matter into consideration the Council has come

to the conclusion that the appeal may be accepted and the matter may be remanded to the WRC for further examination on merits.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside WRC's order dated 06-06-09 and remand back the case to WRC for processing the case further on merit

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Royal College of Education & Research (Royal Higher Education Society), Thane, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Trustee, Royal College of Education & Research (Royal Higher Education Society), 236, Sector - 1, Mira Road, District, Thane - 401104, Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

87	06	save	2702	281
----	----	------	------	-----

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Raliyatba P.T.C.College, Vadodara Dist., Gujarat dated 29/07/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW07265/322581/2009/55894 dated 28/05/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting P.T.C.(Guj.)(Co-Ed) course on the grounds "WRC considered the case of the institution in 110th meeting {Item no.6 (iii)} in light of letter F.No.46-3/2008/NCTE(N&S) dt. 31/10/08 received from Vice-Chairperson, NCTE, New Delhi and negative recommendation received from Gujarat State Govt. in respect of P.T.C Course. Keeping in view of the negative recommendation received from the Gujrat State Govt. in respect of P.T.C Course and directions received from NCTE Hqs. the WRC decided that no further processing will be done in this case".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shri Raliyatba P.T.C.College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 30/07/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Deelsukhbhai G. Prayati, President & Shri Ramesh C. Pashupati, Trustee Shri Raliyatba P.T.C.College, Vadodara Dist., Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it has inter-alia been submitted that he is having all the facilities for starting the PTC college, the rejection order has been passed without assigning any reason except the negative recommendation of the Gujarat State Govt.; NCTE should have taken independent decision without depending on the State Govt's recommendation and copies of the directions received from the NCTE Hqs. have not been supplied to him.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the State Govt. of Gujarat took a policy decision not to allow establishment of more institutions of PTC course in the State and at the instance of the State Govt., the NCTE Hqs., asked the Western Regional Committee not to process applications for recognition of PTC colleges, from the State of Gujarat. Since stopping of further processing of application of the institutions for PTC course in Gujarat State and closing of the file was made by the WRC in accordance with the said policy decision of the State govt. of Gujarat and the directions issued by the NCTE Hqs., the Council was of the view that there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 27-05-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Principal, Shri Raliyatba P.T.C.College, C/O Dalsukhbhai C. Prajapati, Satyanarayan Bhavan, Alembiknagar Refinery RD, P.O.Gorwa, , Vadodara Dist. - 390016, Gujarat**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal -

462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat,
Gandhinagar.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Bulandshar, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F73/UP-2727/145th Meeting 2009/9054 dated 28/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) Two appointed teachers do not have qualification as per NCTE norms for M.Ed. 2) Some of the appointed teachers are in the list of faculty for B.Ed".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Jai Prakash Gupta, Manager and Dr. Anil Gaur, representative of Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Bulandshar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it has been submitted that there is no shortage of teaching staff for the proposed M.Ed. course; the institution may arrange any new appointment against any vacancy if it arises and the college has submitted separate lists of teaching staff for existing B.Ed., proposed additional intake in B.Ed. and proposed M.Ed. course. However, on perusal of the documents, it is noticed that qualified faculty as per NCTE norms is not available for conducting M.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS in view of the above position, the Council came to the conclusion that appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 28-08-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Shikarpur,, Bulandshar - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh,
Lucknow.

F.No.89-1058/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Bulandshar, Uttar Pradesh dated 15/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F73/UP-2728/145th Meeting 2009/9047 dated 28/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl) course on the grounds "1) Minutes of selection committee are not submitted. 2) Affidavit on stamp paper of Rs. 10/- by appointed faculty and Rs. 100/- stamp paper by Management regarding appointment of faculty are not submitted. 3) Some of the appointed teachers are in the list of faculty for M.Ed".

AND WHEREAS Shri Jai Prakash Gupta, Manager and Dr. Anil Gaur, representative of Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Bulandshar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it has been submitted that copies of the minutes of the Selection Committee have been furnished and the Committee consisted of an expert nominated by Ch. Charan Singh University. The appellant submitted original affidavits of management and also individual faculty members, on the requisite stamp paper to the visiting team. Again on 18.05.2009, it submitted the certified copies of the said affidavits, in compliance to NRC's letter dated 02.05.2009. It also submitted separate staff lists for the proposed B.Ed (Addl) and for the existing programmes i.e. B.Ed (Basic unit) and M.Ed programme, to the visiting team. So, none of the appointed faculty are in the list of M.Ed faculty.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into account all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted, the order of the NRC reserved with a direction to the NRC to issue necessary orders under Regulation 7(11), of NCTE Regulations, 2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 28-08-09 with direction to the NRC to issue the recognition order. Accordingly the appeal was allowed, NRC's order dt. 28.08.09 set aside and the case was remanded back to NRC for issue of recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulation.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Bulandshar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, Shyam Lal Saraswati Mahavidyalaya, Shikarpur, Bulandshar - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur -**

302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh,
Lucknow.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Swami Vivekanand College, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 04/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2654/141 Meeting/2009/5781 dated 08/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE Norms has not been submitted".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Swami Vivekanand College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Sandeep Dixit, Manager, Swami Vivekanand College, Muzaffar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it has been submitted that on receipt of letter of intent dated 13/4/2009 from the NRC, NCTE, Jaipur, the college has applied to Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut for nominating an expert on the Selection Committee for recruitment of faculty and the University issued a letter only on 31/7/2009 nominating an expert. The appellant also stated that the faculty members have been selected and they have been appointed on 23rd August, 2009.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that the appointment of faculty took place after issue of refusal order. the Council has, therefore, come to the conclusion that NRC was justified in refusing grant of recognition and therefore the appeal deserves to be rejected and the NRC's order confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 08-07-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. The Secretary, Swami Vivekanand College, Mustafabad, Pachenda Kala By Pass Road, , Muzaffar Nagar - , Uttar Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-710/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Tika Ram Girls College, Sonapat, Haryana dated 21/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/HR-249/2009/6194 dated 15/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting C.P.Ed course on the grounds "The Deficiency Communicated to the Institution still exists i.e. the appointed HOD does not have experience as per NCTE norms for C.P.Ed".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Tika Ram Girls College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 21/07/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Ms. Anita Rathee, Clerk and Ms. Kusum Singh, Lecturer, Tika Ram Girls College, Sonapat, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. The appellant produced the documents relating to the appointment of Head of Department. the Council found that the selected candidates has the necessary experience.

AND WHEREAS the Council has come the conclusion that the appeal deserved to be accepted, the Order of NRC reversed with the direction to issue orders of recognition under para 7(11) of NCTE Regulations 2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, reversed NRC's order dated 15-07-09 with direction to the NRC to issue the recognition order under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulation, 2007 within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Principal, Tika Ram Girls College, Sonapat, Mission Road, , Sonapat - 131001, Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of A.V.S. College of Education, Chikmagalur Dist., Karnataka dated 11/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-2010/15065 dated 11/08/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The built up space 10,000 sq.ft. is not adequate for proposed B.Ed course whereas 1500 sq.mtrs of built up space is required as per NCTE norms and standards. 2) The Size of multi purpose hall of 900 sq. ft. is not sufficient for the proposed B.Ed course. 3) The small sized class rooms are not suitable to run B.Ed course. 4) The visiting team has visited two RCC buildings and other two Asbestos sheet roofed buildings. The asbestos sheet roofed buildings are not suitable to run B.Ed course as per para8(10) of NCTE regulations, 2007. 5) The space in Library, Hall, Technology and Psychology Labs. is not as per norms and standards of NCTE. 6) The equipment in Science lab are not adequate. 7) The infrastructural and instructional facilities are not adequate as per norms and standards of NCTE".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, A.V.S. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellants), preferred an appeal dated 16/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Suresh, Authorised Trustee and Atish, Administrator, A.V.S. College of Education, Chikmagalur Dist., Karnataka presented the case of the appellants institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the built up area of the building for the B.Ed. college is 16725 sq. ft.; a building completion certificate has been issued by the Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD, Terikeri, Chikmagalur Dist; the size of the multi purpose hall/seminar hall is 1682 sq. ft.; the size of all the rooms is more than 600 sq. ft; all the buildings are constructed with RCC roofing and no asbestos sheet has been used; labs, sports room and library room are having more than 725 sq. ft. each; and Science lab is equipped with multiple sets of equipments for 50 students at a time.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that according to the data furnished to the Visiting Team which inspected the institutions on 12/6/2009, the built up area has been shown as 10,000 sq. ft. only. The inspection team also reported that there are four leased buildings and two of them are having asbestos sheets roofing. The Council also noted that the building plans do not show the area to be built and the building completion certificate has not been submitted in original.

AND WHEREAS the Council after taking into account all aspects of the matter has come to the conclusion that appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 11-08-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Managing Trustee, A.V.S. College of Education, Chikmagalur Town, K.M. Road,, Chikmagalur Dist. - , Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ashoka D.S. College, Morena, Madhya Pradesh dated 17/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06349/222344/121st /2009/58348 dated 28/07/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed. (H) (CO-Ed) course on the grounds "Reply of clarification not received within stipulated time".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Ashoka D.S. College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Ashok Singh Secretary & Shri Alok Devpuriya, Member, Ashoka D.S. College, Morena, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that all the directions given by the WRC have been complied with. He has also shown a copy of his reply dated 15/11/2008 in response to NRC's letter dated 5/11/2008 wherein experience certificate of the principal was to be produced. while, this reply is not on the records of the WRC, the appellant showed a receipt thereof

AND WHEREAS the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to WRC for re-examination in totality including the report of the Visiting team.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate grounds to accept the appeal set aside WRC's order and remand back the case for re-examination in totality including the report of the Visiting team and taking further action as per procedure.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ashoka D.S. College, Morena, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Principal, Ashoka D.S. College, C/o Vishal Singh Sikarwar, Gopalpura Tomar Gali, Vankhandi Road, , Morena - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal -

462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS te appeal of Baba Jangali Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3541/141 Meeting/2009/6698 dated 23/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU submitted is not on proper format of State Government. 2) Submitted FDR for Rs. 3 Lakhs is for the period of 36 months instead of 60 months".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Baba Jangali Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellatant), preferred an appeal dated 18/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shailesh Kumar Yadav, Baba Jangali Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellatant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has furnished the CLU as required by the Regional Committee; due to ignorance the FDR was prepared for three years earlier which has now been made into five years.

AND WHEREAS the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to NRC for causing an inspection in course of which the requisite documents could be got verified.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 23-07-09 and remand back the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the availability of infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms within 30 days of issue of this order and thereafter pass appropriate order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Baba Jangali Mahavidyalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager/Secretary, Baba Jangali Mahavidyalaya, Salempur, Vazidpur,, Ghazipur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh,
Lucknow.

F.No.89- 670/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Domkal B.Ed College, Murshidabad, West Bengal dated 06/07/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-95.8.96/2009/16534(1) dated 14/05/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Information in Col. 5.3(i) (ii) 6.1 not submitted, 2) certified copy of registered land lease /ownership documents has not been submitted as per Regulation 8(8) & 8(7). Certified copy of the registered land documents along with duly notarized English version of land documents not submitted, 3) The institution has not submitted affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper, a fresh affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper duly filled in with no column left blank along with enclosures, 4) Approved building plan from competent authority with plot no. Khata No. built up area, total area, khesra no. etc to be submitted for the proposed B.Ed. course. As stipulated in the instructions enclosed with the application proforma prescribed under clause 5(1) of the NCTE (Recognition Norms& Procedure) Regulations, 2007 approved building plan along with completion certificate (if the building has already been constructed) from the concerned competent authority not submitted, 5) Building completion certificate from the competent authority mentioning the plot no. Khata No. Built up area, Total area, Khesra no. etc to be submitted for the proposed B.Ed. course (if the building has already been constructed) from the concerned competent authority not submitted, 6) Fixed Deposit receipt of Rs. 5.00 lakhs (in original) not submitted as Endowment Fund. As per clause 10(i) of the NCTE Regulations, in case of self- financed institutions/ courses, Fixed Deposit Receipt of Rs. Five lakhs towards Endowment fund (in original), from a Nationalized Bank of a duration & above 5 years is required, 7) Fixed deposit receipt of Rs.3.00 lakhs (in original) not submitted as reserve fund. As per clause 10(1) of the NCTE Regulations, in case of self-financed institutions/ course, fixed Deposit Receipt of Rs. three lakhs towards reserve fund (in original), from a Nationalized Bank of a duration of and above 5 years is required, 8) A copy each of the certificate of registration in case the institution is managed by a society/Trust".

AND WHEREAS Shri Jafivul Islam, Secretary & Md. Jahid Akhtar, President, Domkal B.Ed College, Murshidabad, West Bengal presented the case of the appelland institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they could not make registration of land and FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs due to sudden death of a treasurer and selection of a new treasurer later.

AND WHEREAS The Council noted that the appelland has neither submitted a complete application with all the requisite documents nor removed the deficiencies in time. In the circumstances it came to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the Order of ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and ERC's order dated 14.05.09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Domkal B.Ed College, Village - Gobindapur, PO - Juginda, Tehsil - Domkal, District – Murshidabad – 742406, West Bengal.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89- 865/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Global College of Education (Karnataka Education Society), Bidar, Karnataka dated 13/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRC/NCTE/2009/B.Ed/REF/14604 dated 16/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B. Ed course on the grounds "1) The built-up space 10,000 sq.ft. is not adequate for proposed B.Ed. course whereas 1500 sq.mtrs. are required as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. 2) The size of multipurpose hall 600 sq.ft. is not adequate for proposed B.Ed course. 3) The space in Library, staff room, E.T. & psychology labs. is also not adequate for proposed course. 4) The old building is not suitable to run the B.Ed course".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Global College of Education (Karnataka Education Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 18/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Md. Younus Khan, Secretary Global College of Education (Karnataka Education Society), Bidar, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 14.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the first report of visiting team indicated correctly the builtup space as 25900 sq.ft. and in the report of the second visiting team it has been indicated as 10,000 sq. ft. and as per the plan they are having 2406.16 sq. mts. for the proposed course. According to the appellant the size of the multipurpose hall is 1500 sq. ft. and that of ET & Psychology lab is 1000 sq.ft. He also submitted that the building which is constructed in the year 2006-07 cannot be considered as a old building.

AND WHEREAS the Council perused the reports of two visiting team which inspected the institution on 13.03.09 and 13.06.09. The details of builtup area form part of essential data submitted by the applicant and countersigned by the members of visiting team. While in the essential data of the inspection report dt. 13.03.09 the builtup area was shown as 25900 sq. ft, the built-up area in the data of the inspection report dt. 13.06.09 was shown as 10,000 sq. ft. approximately. The Council further noted that in the application submitted on 26.09.08 for grant of recognition the builtup area earmarked for the course is shown as 2405.16 sq. mts. and in the building completion certificate dt. 25.03.08, enclosed to the application, the built-up area is indicated as 2406.16 sq. mts. Since the details of builtup area indicated in three documents namely, the application, building completion certificate and the first inspection report are almost the same, giving benefit of doubt to the appellant institution, the Council came to the conclusion that the appeal deserve to be accepted and SRC directed to issue necessary orders under clause 7(9) of NCTE Regulations, 2007 and take further appropriate action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and SRC's order dated 16/07/2009 was set aside within the direction that orders for recognition of the institution under clause 7(9) of the NCTE regulation be issued within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Global College of Education (Karnataka Education Society), Sy. No. 11/3-E, Bidar - Gunnalli Road, Gunnalli,, Bidar

- 585403, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Global College of Education (Karnataka Education Society), Sy. No. 11/3-E, Bidar - Gunnalli Road, Gunnalli,, Bidar - 585403, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

87	06	save	2437	277
----	----	------	------	-----

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of Hope Institute of Bengal (Hope Educational & Welfare Trust), Howrah, West Bengal dated 17/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-100.6(11).2/2009/1366 (1) dated 31/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Principal's experience is not as per NCTE norms".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Hope Institute of Bengal (Hope Educational & Welfare Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri harun Al Rasid, Dr. S.K. Mahammad Ali, Member, Hope Institute of Bengal (Hope Educational & Welfare Trust), Howrah, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the course of personal presentation the appellant has produced necessary documents regarding experience of the candidate selected as Principal. the Council found that the candidate has the experience as per the norms.

AND WHEREAS the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted, the Order of the ERC set aside with the direction that ERC should issue necessary orders under clause 7(11) of the NCTE Regulations, 2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and reversed ERC's order dated 31.08.09 to issue order of recognition to the institution immediately within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Hope Institute of Bengal (Hope Educational & Welfare Trust), Village & Post - Beldubi, PS - Panchla, District, Howrah - 711322, West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751 012.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89-1068/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Institute of Professional Schooling and Research, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh dated 17/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3363/141 Meeting/2009/6424 dated 21/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period".

AND WHEREAS Shri Budi Visham Tiwari, Coordinator Institute of Professional Schooling and Research, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the documents shown in the deficiency letter, namely copy of approved building plan, affidavit on RS 100/- stamp paper and building completion certificate were submitted and only FDRs for Rs 5 Lakhs and 3 Lakhs were obtained on 23.06.09.

AND WHEREAS The committee noted that NRC vide its deficiency letter dated 06.02.09 informed the appellant to comply with the deficiencies within 90 days and maintenance of FDRs was one of the deficiencies. And appellant created the requisite FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs towards endowment fund and reserve fund , in the Bank of Baroda only on 23.06.2009 i.e. after the expiry of the stipulated period of 90 days. In view of the above the council came to the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that should be rejected.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 21-07-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, Institute of Professional Schooling and Research, Khasra No.56,57,76,81, VPO- Sahramau,, Unnao - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mahatma College of Education (Mahamuth Ammal Educational Trust), Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu dated 14/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/M.Ed/2009-2010/14935 dated 31/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The built up space is not earmarked for existing D.T.Ed, B.Ed, and proposed M.Ed course. The built up space of 14262 sq.ft. is available as per building completion certificate submitted by the management. 2) The books in the library numbering 3362 are not adequate for existing D.T.Ed, B.Ed, and proposed M.Ed course. whereas 9000 are required as per norms & standards of course. 3) The lab equipment in science lab is not adequate. 4) The reading space in the library is not sufficient to run all the courses. 5) The management has to submit the filled questionnaire with all infrastructural & Instructional facilities for verification. 6) The management has to submit a certificate from Tamilnadu Teacher Education University stating that no other course/college being run in the same building, for verification. The management has to submit a building plan and building completion certificate with details of built up space and that it is constructed for B.Ed and M.Ed course from PWD/Govt. Engineer".

AND WHEREAS Shri N.M. Nazar, Chairman ,Mahatma College of Education (Mahamuth Ammal Educational Trust), Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant earmarked the builtup space for existing D.T.Ed, B.Ed and the proposed M.Ed programme; library was having 9362 books and created more reading space in the library; procured additional equipment for labs and also submitted building completion certificate issued by a Govt Engineer.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that SRC caused inspection of the institution on 13.3.09 and a sequel to this reports it issued show cause notice on 16.04.09 to the institution, to make a written representation on or before 1st May, 2009 and also issued a reminder on 02.07.09 to submit the necessary documents within 10th July, 2009. In compliance to this reminder the appellant submitted its reply on 09.07.09 which was received in the Regional Committees Office on 10.07.09. So the reply of the institution was available with SRC well before taking a decision for refusing recognition in its meeting held on 13-14th July, 2009. In these circumstances the committee has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to SRC for examining the reply of the appellant institution and taking further necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal set aside the SRC's order and remand the case to SRC for examining the reply of the appellant institution and taking further necessary action.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mahatma College of Education (Mahamuth Ammal Educational Trust), Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Chairman, Mahatma College of Education (Mahamuth Ammal Educational Trust), Ariyur Village, Annavasal Road, Mathiyallore Post, , Pudukkottai - 622001, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Narasinghapur Primary Teacher Training Institute, Murshidabad Dist., West Bengal dated 15/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-100.6(II).6/2009/1362 dated 31/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting PTT (D.Ed) course on the grounds "Three teachers namely Anup Kumar Barman and Pranab Dey and Sagnik Das are also found serving in other institution namely Jalpaiguri Dooars PTI, west Bengal".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Narasinghapur Primary Teacher Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Gunendra Nath Bikas Secretary & Shri Snigdha Shree Baliar Singh , principal, Narasinghapur Primary Teacher Training Institute, Murshidabad Dist., West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the three teachers, namely, Anup Kumar Burman, Pranab Dey & Sagnik Das have joined the institution on 14.08.09. He has also forwarded the sworn affidavits by the concerned teachers to that effect.

AND WHEREAS in view of the above position the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to ERC with a direction to issue orders under para 7(9) of the NCTE regulations 2007.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT Report, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal and that it should be accepted. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 31.08.2009 was set aside within the direction that orders for recognition of the institution under clause 7(9) of the NCTE regulation, 2007 be issued within 15 days of issue of this order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Narasinghapur Primary Teacher Training Institute, Vill. Narasinghapur, Po.Sagarpara, P.s.Jalangi, Murshidabad Dist. - , West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Narasinghapur Primary Teacher Training Institute, Vill. Narasinghapur, Po.Sagarpara, P.s.Jalangi, Murshidabad Dist. - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli,

Bhubaneswar - 751 012.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal,
Kolkata.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of National College of Physical Education, Patiala, Punjab dated 10/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/PB-458/141 Meeting/2009/4467 dated 13/06/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution does not process land as per notification 22/12/2006 for B.P.Ed, M.P.Ed and C.P.Ed courses Appendix 1, 2 & 3. 2) Built up area is not sufficient to run B.P.Ed, M.P.Ed and C.P.Ed courses. 3) CLU is not been obtained by the competent authority 4) Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, National College of Physical Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 12/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Gurjit Singh Gen Secretary & Shri C.S. Virk , Director, National College of Physical Education, Patiala, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the college is an existing institution running C.P.Ed and B.P.Ed courses and it should be asked to fulfill the requirement of land by a given date after granting recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course as the major condition for applying for M.P.Ed course is only running of an approved B.P.Ed course. The appellant also stated that they have at present only 5 acres of land.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that it is clearly laid down in the extant norms and standards for M.P.Ed course that the institution should have atleast 8 acres of land which shall provide enough space for institutional building and for future expansion and open space for organising games and sports. Since availability of the requisite land is an important condition, the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 13-06-09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The General Secretary, National College of Physical Education, Village & Post Office - Chupki, Tehsil - Samana, District, Patiala - 147001, Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur -

302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab,
Chandigarh.

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of S.D. College of Education, Barnala Dist., Punjab dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/PB-610/144 Meeting 2009/7138 dated 29/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies communicated to the institution still exist".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, S.D. College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Rakesh Jindal, Principal & Shri Ravinder Kumar, Superintendent, S.D. College of Education, Barnala Dist., Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that according to the letter of intent for inspection issued by NRC on 30.04.09, the institution was to submit building plan approved by the Municipal Council, Barnala and registration of land in the name of the institution. He further submitted that the necessary documents were furnished to the NRC with their letter dt. 25.06.09. He further clarified that the same land and building which is being used for conducting the approved B.Ed course will be utilized for running M.Ed course also.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated above the Council has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to NRC for conducting inspection and taking further necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order and remand the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution to ascertain the availability of infrastructure and instructional facilities as per NCTE norms and thereafter pass appropriate order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.D. College of Education , Barnala Dist., Punjab to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Director, S.D. College of Education , VPO - Barnala, Barnala Dist. - , Punjab**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur -

302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab,
Chandigarh.

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of S.G. Balekundri D.Ed College (Shri Jagadguru Tontadarya Vidyapeeth), Bagalkot, Karnataka dated 02/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/2009 - 2010/13978 dated 11/06/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds " 1) Accommodation area provided for Work Experience Lad, Music Room, Sports Room, Principal Room are not spacious and inadequate. 2) Equipment provided for the Physical Science Lab, Biological Lab, labs are inadequate. 3) Equipment provided for Psychology Lab and Education Technology Lab is inadequate. 4) Specimen models, charts are not provided in the laboratories. 5) There are only 750 books available in the library against the requirement of 2000 books as per NCTE norms. 6) Furniture provided for class rooms, hall, labs are inadequate. 7) Primary and High School is also functioning in the same building. Further, no separate area for conducting D.Ed is earmarked. 8) Basic amenities are not provided properly. No separate toilets provided for Men and Women and also housed far from the institution".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, S.G. Balekundri D.Ed College (Shri Jagadguru Tontadarya Vidyapeeth) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri S.S. Pattanashetti, Administrative officer & Shri Mahantaswamiji, Member, S.G. Balekundri D.Ed College (Shri Jagadguru Tontadarya Vidyapeeth), Bagalkot, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and further communication given on 12.10.09 and during personal presentation has inter-alia submitted that they have 1110 sq. mts of accommodation which is more than required as per NCTE norms with work experience hall (63 sq. mts), music room (40 sq. mts), sports room (36 sq.mts) & principal's room (36 sq.mts), equipment for all labs are adequate; a new building has been constructed for D.Ed course and there are separate buildings for primary and High School in the campus with an area of 5 acres. The appellant has expressed his willingness to get the institution re-inspected by paying the requisite fees of 40,000/-.

AND WHEREAS the Council taking all aspects of the matter into consideration has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the matter remanded to SRC for conducting a re-inspection on receipt of a written request from the appellant for the same and the fee of Rs 40,000/-.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing the Council reached to the conclusion that the appeal may be accepted, the order of SRC dt 11.06.09 set aside and the case be remanded to SRC for conducting a re-inspection on receipt of a written request from the appellant for the same and the fee of Rs 40,000/-.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.G. Balekundri D.Ed College (Shri Jagadguru Tontadarya Vidyapeeth), Bagalkot, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Administrative Officer, S.G. Balekundri D.Ed College (Shri Jagadguru Tontadarya Vidyapeeth), Chikkapadasalagi, Jamakhandi, District, Bagalkot - 597301, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

87	06	save	2383	276
----	----	------	------	-----

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sant Gadgebaba Adhyapak Vidyalaya (Sarswati Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Kolhapur, Maharashtra dated 26/06/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05815/1221642/110th/SCN/2008/52553/55799 dated 26/05/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed (M) Co. Ed. course on the grounds "WRC considered the case of the institution in light of letters F.No.46-3/2008/NCTE (N&S)/84003 dt. 17/09/2008, F.No.49-27/2007/NCTE(N&S) dt.01/10/2008 and F.No.49-27/2007/NCTE(N&S) dt.31/10/2008 received from Vice-Chairperson, NCTE, New Delhi and negative recommendation received from Maharashtra State Govt. in respect of D.Ed Course. Keeping in view of the negative recommendation received from the Maharashtra State Govt. in respect of D.Ed Course and directions received from NCTE Hqs. the WRC decided that no further processing will be done in this case and communication to this effect to be made to this institution".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sant Gadgebaba Adhyapak Vidyalaya (Sarswati Shikshan Prasarak Mandal) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 30/06/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shivaji Shanker Pandit, Secretary & Shri Sagar Shivaji Pandit, Sant Gadgebaba Adhyapak Vidyalaya (Saraswati Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Kolhapur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that even though the institution has fulfilled all the requirements the WRC issued refusal order.

AND WHEREAS the Council noted that on the recommendation of some of the State Governments including the State Govt. of Maharashtra not to further recognize/permit institutions for conducting B.Ed./D.Ed. course, a public notice was issued by the NCTE on 2-10-08 through the newspapers to the effect that application for D.Ed. course for the academic session 2009-10 from the State of Maharashtra would not be considered and all such applications shall be returned to the institution alongwith the processing fees and documents. the Council also noted that the said step taken by the NCTE was a temporary measure for regulating the growth of teacher training institutions pending state-wise assessment of the manpower requirement of teachers in the country. Accordingly, NCTE Hqs. had vide its letters dated 1-10-2008 and 31-10-2008 directed all the Regional Directors of NCTE not to recognize/permit the institutions for conducting the specific teacher training course as specified in the public notice issued by NCTE and to return all those applications which were received prior to issuance of public notice dated 2nd Oct, 2008. In view of this, the Council came to the conclusion that WRC was right in refusing the recognition to the institution for conducting D.Ed course by closing the file of the institution. the Council also noted that though there was considerable delay on the part of WRC in processing the application, the refusal of recognition was made on valid grounds and therefore there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 26.05.09 was confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Sant Gadgebaba Adhyapak Vidyalaya (Sarswati Shikshan Prasarak Mandal), Plot No. 36, Bambawade, Taluka - Shahuwadi, , Kolhapur - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-1063/2009-Appeal
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sh. Hari Shankar Ji maha Vidayalya , Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 15/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2356/141 Meeting/2009/9738 dated 31/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "1) Copy of approved building plan. 2) Land documents. 3) Affidavit of Rs. 100/- stamp paper. 4) Details of Website 5) Deficiencies communicated in the deficiency letter issued by the office are not removed within stipulated period".

AND WHEREAS the Correspondent, Sh. Hari Shankar Ji maha Vidayalya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 15/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

AND WHEREAS Shri Alok Kumar Pandey, lecturer & Shri Pawan Kumar Mishra, Sh. Hari Shankar Ji Mahavidayalya, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that he has not received any deficiency letter or communication. From the records it is seen that NRC issued a letter on 07.04.08 communicating certain deficiencies in the application.

AND WHEREAS the Council is of the opinion that a copy of the deficiency letter may be supplied to the appellant and he may be given time for furnishing his reply. Accordingly the case may be remanded back to NRC for re-issuance of deficiency letter and further process.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal set aside NRC's order and remand the case to NRC for re-issuance of deficiency letter and further processing as per procedure.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sh. Hari Shankar Ji maha Vidayalya , Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, Sh. Hari Shankar Ji maha Vidayalya , Rampur, Jahanaganj,, Azamgarh - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1065/2009-Appeal

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shayam Baksha Singh Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-3140/139 Meeting 2009/ dated 27/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU is not submitted. 2) The building plan submitted is not approved by competent authority".

AND WHEREAS Shri Mahendra Pratap Singh, Coordinator & Shri Sunder Lal, Shayam Baksha Singh Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellent institution on 12.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that a land use certificate from the SDM, Sultanpur on 22.06.09 has been submitted to the NRC and the Village Development officer and Village Pradhan are competent authorities to certify the building plan as the institution is in a rural area. It is noted that in the CLU submitted section 143 of the relevant Act of UP Govt. has been mentioned.

AND WHEREAS the Committee has come to the conclusion that the appeal deserves to be accepted and the case remanded to NRC for examining the same on merits and taking further necessary action.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, and affidavit after hearing oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was enough ground to accept the appeal set aside NRC's order and remand the case to NRC for examining the same on merits and taking further necessary action.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shayam Baksha Singh Mahavidyalaya, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(S.V.S. Chaudhary)
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The President, Shayam Baksha Singh Mahavidyalaya, VPO- Haliapur, Teh-Musaphir Khana, Sultanpur - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.