

F.No.89-525/2010-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sri Ramnaryan Shikshan Sansthan, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP441 2010/67489 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the ground "CLU not valid."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Sri Ramnaryan Shikshan Sansthan (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Ashutosh Shukla, Member, Sri Ramnaryan Shikshan Sansthan, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 3.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had already submitted a notarized copy of valid CLU which was issued by SDM, Bhatapara, Raipur (CG).

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that in response to a deficiency letter dt. 3.03.2010 issued by WRC, the appellant inter alia sent a notarized copy of the CLU dt. 4.01.2010, the notarization having been done on 24.03.2010. Along with the appeal the appellant enclosed a notarized (on 19.05.2010) copy of a certificate regarding land use which does not bear any number or date or indicate to whom it has been issued. Since CLU was issued on 04.01.2010 and a copy thereof was got notarized on 24.03.2010 and a notarized copy was not sent alongwith the application as required under the provisions of the clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of WRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Sri Ramnaryan Shikshan Sansthan, Plot No. KH-2661/14, Nandghat Road Hathini Para, Bhatapara, Raipur - 493118, Chhatisgarh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-466/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of ASS Narendra College of Physical Education, Bijapur, Karnataka dated 13/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/2010-11/18591 dated 28/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course on the grounds "1) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with 'B' Grade Certificate is not submitted. 2) Not submitted Essential documents as per norms of NCTE. 3) Recognition for the B.P.Ed. course was granted on 3.9.2007 and hence three academic years were not completed, as per the norms of NCTE regulations, 2009".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, ASS Narendra College of Physical Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 17/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Chandrashekar. R. Kavatan, General Secretary, ASS Narendra College of Physical Education, Bijapur, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had submitted an online application for 'B' Grade Certificate and it had been duly acknowledged by the NAAC; the appellant submitted all the necessary/essential documents and the appellant had been continuously conducting the B.P.Ed course in the institution and it had completed three academic years.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 stipulated that an institution shall be permitted to apply for new course of Master of Physical Education (M. P.Ed) and enhancement of intake in Bachelor of Education, Bachelor of Physical Education, Master of Education and Master of Physical Education Programme, after it has been accredited by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with at least a letter Grade 'B' developed by NAAC. During the presentation, the representative of the institution admitted that it was not having NAAC accreditation and that it submitted an application to the NAAC and it was yet to receive NAAC accreditation. Thus, the requirement of Regulation 8(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2009 was not fulfilled in this case. The Council also noted that though the institution claimed that it submitted all the necessary essential documents as per the norms of NCTE, yet land documents, notarized copy of CLU, building completion certificate were not submitted alongwith the application. The Council further noted that recognition to the institution for B. P.Ed course was granted from the academic session 2007-08 and Regulation 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized

course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the completion of three academic sessions. The institution would be completing the requisite three year period of running B.P.Ed. course only in the academic session 2009-10. Therefore, the institution would become eligible for submitting fresh application only in the year 2011 as per the Regulation 8(3) as stated above. Thus the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulations 8(3) and 8(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to become eligible for consideration for recognition for M.P.Ed. course. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the recognition was refused to the institution by SRC on valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 28-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**

Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, ASS Narendra College of Physical Education, 592/2, Kalebagh, Jamkhandi Road, Bijapur - 586101, Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

F.No.89-527/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** he appeal of Sandipani Academy, Raipur, Chhatisgarh dated 24/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP371/2010/67483 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting the application for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU is issued only on 15.01.2010. 2) Certified copy of land documents not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Sandipani Academy (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Mahendra Choubey, Member, Sandipani Academy, Raipur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.07.10. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the process for obtaining CLU from Revenue authorities took time and the CLU was received on 15.01.2010 and they had already submitted certified copy of land document to the WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that while the CLU itself was issued after the submission of the application to the WRC, the institution with their letter dt. 25.04.2010 sent only a notarized copy of the land document and a copy of the said document was got certified by the Sub - Registrar only on 11.05.2010. Since both the certified copy of the land document and notarized copy of the C.L.U were not available at the time of submission of the application as required under the provisions of clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, the Council came to the conclusion that the WRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of WRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**

Member Secretary

1. The President, Sandipani Academy, Lig-II, 148, Sector 2, Pt. Deendayal, Upadhyay Nagar, Rssu, Raipur - 492010, Chhatisgarh

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-486/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of JES College, Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRCAPP208/2010/67487 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of the land documents not submitted. 2) Notarized CLU not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, JES College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 19/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Irshad Khan, Secretary, JES College, Bilaspur, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that certified copy of land document by the Deputy Registrar was sent to WRC on 26-04-10 and on 13-04-10 an affidavit stating that change of CLU had been obtained on 08-12-08 from Revenue Authority presuming that it would serve the purpose of notarized copy of CLU.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that clause 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and notarized copy of CLU within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution did not submit certified copy of land document alongwith the application and it had not also submitted notarized copy of CLU as required under Clause 7(1) of the Regulations, 2009. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the norms prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, 2009 to become eligible for consideration for recognition by NCTE. Therefore, the Council was of the view that the application of the institution for recognition was rejected by the WRC for valid reasons and, thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, JES College, Plot No,21, Karbala Road, Bilaspur - 495001, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.

F.No.89-532/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of John College of Education, Karur, Tamilnadu dated 20/05/2010 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2010/18369 dated 13/04/2010 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Original FDRs are not submitted. 2) Notarized affidavit is not submitted in the prescribed format. 3) Minority Certificate is not submitted. 4) The institution has not completed three years of recognition for the B.Ed. Course".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, John College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 24/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. P. Christy Raj, Trust Member and Shri. S. Gopal Krishnan, Section Officer, John College of Education, Karur, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had furnished the FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs to SRC on 08-01-10, affidavit as prescribed by the NCTE on Rs.100 stamp paper duly attested by a notary public was submitted, translated copy of minority certificate was also submitted and as the institution was applying for the B.Ed. course and not for additional intake the stipulation regarding completion of 3 years after recognition was not applicable in its case.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including fixed deposit receipt for Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh of a nationalized bank towards endowment and reserve funds, certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and affidavit in the prescribed form of Rs.100 stamp paper duly attested by Oath Commissioner or Notary Public within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution did not submit FDRs alongwith the application and the Minority Certificate furnished by the institution was not in respect of the institution but it was in respect of an individual. The Council also noted that Regulation 8(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, applicable in this case, stipulated that an institution can apply for one basic unit only of an additional course or for an additional unit of the existing recognized course after completion of three academic sessions of the respective course, for which the institution shall submit application before the cut off date prescribed for submission of applications in the year succeeding the

completion of three academic sessions. The institution was granted recognition for D.Ed. course, which is of 2 year duration, from the session 2006-07 and thus the first batch would be completing the course in 2007-08, second batch in 2008-09 and third batch in 2009-10. Therefore, it did not compete 3 succession academic sessions, on the date of submission of an application for an additional course i.e. B.Ed. course. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulation 8(3) also. The Council was, therefore, of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the SRC for valid reasons only thus, there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 13-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Correspondent, John College of Education, Sivayam P.O. Kulithalai, Karur - 639120, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-456/2010-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

19/08/2010

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Sheetala Educational Society , Rajnandgaon, Chhatisgarh dated 12/05/2010 is against the Order No. WRC/WRC App.715/2010/67498 dated 30/04/2010 of the Western Regional Committee, rejecting its application for recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The institution has not submitted certified copy of land documents. 2) Notarized CLU not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Maa Sheetala Educational Society (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/05/2010 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Rajendra Sinha, Principal, Maa Sheetala Educational Society , Rajnandgaon, Chhatisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 14-07-2010. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that the appellant had submitted copy of registered land document certified by itself by mistake and therefore it was forwarding certified copy of land document alongwith the appeal and that it had furnished notarized copy of CLU alongwith their letter dated 24-03-10.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Regulation 7(1-A) of the NCTE Regulations, 2009, stipulated that application submitted online but not followed by dispatch, through registered post or by hand with seven documents mentioned at (i) to (vii) of Regulation 7(1) including certified copy of registered land documents issued by the Competent Authority and notarized copy of CLU within 7 days shall be considered as incomplete and shall be summarily rejected. The institution furnished only self attested copy of land documents and it had also not submitted notarized CLU alongwith the application. Thus, the institution did not fulfill the requirement of Regulation 7(1-A) of NCTE Regulations, 2009. Therefore, the Council, was of the view that the application of the institution was rejected by the WRC for valid reasons and thus there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after considering oral arguments advanced during hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 30-04-10 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(Hasib Ahmad)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Maa Sheetala Educational Society , Uphar Jewellers-Manav Mandir Chowk, Rajnandgaon - 491441, Chhatisgarh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhatisgarh, Raipur.