

F.No.89-1019/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of ACC Jain College of Education, Suri, West Bengal dated 02/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7.99.6(1).12/2009/1063(1) dated 05/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The institution has not appointed teaching/non teaching staff as per NCTE norms. As per the documents submitted by the institution, the institution has only identified the teachers and as per the list teaching staff submitted have been identified. Teaching staff is yet to be appointed. 2) The institution has not submitted the downloaded copies of affidavit of Rs.100/- reg. land & building, and Rs.100/- affidavit from the management regarding selection of staff, Rs.10/- reg. individual teaching staff as per the NCTE norms"

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, ACC Jain College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Lachman Bandyopadhyaya, Treasure and Shri. Pasanta Karnakar, ACC Jain College of Education, Suri, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has already submitted the list of faculty and other details in response to the Letter of Intent prior to recognition issued by the ERC on 5-6-09. Subsequently, the ERC vide its letter 13-6-09 asked for submission of (i) Downloaded copies of affidavit on Rs.100 Stamp Paper regarding land and building, (ii) Affidavit on Rs.100 Stamp Paper regarding staff and (iii) Affidavit on Rs.10 on Stamp Paper for individual teaching staff and (iv) The appointment letter and joining report for both teaching and non-teaching staff. It was further submitted that the requisite details were submitted to the ERC and the same were received by them on 24-7-09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the requisite documents were furnished by the institution and the same were available on the file. The Council also noted that the staff appointed was as per the NCTE norms. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed ERC's order dated 05.08.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 05.08.09 was reversed with direction to the ERC to grant recognition to the institution immediately.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Treasurer, ACC Jain College of Education, Vill.-Dariapur, Ps.-Sainthia, Dist.-  
Birbhum, Suri - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89 - 1031/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Bajali Teacher's Training College , Barpeta, Assam dated 01/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6(1)(1S).15/2009/1122(1) dated 06/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Requisite numbers of teaching staff are not possessing qualification as per NCTE norms has not been appointed by the institution. 2) Downloaded copies of the website of the institution not submitted as per the NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Bajali Teacher's Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Homeswar Das, Principal, Bajali Teacher's Training College, Barpeta, Assam presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that requisite and required number of teaching staff possessing qualification as per the NCTE norms had been appointed by the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the staff appointed including the Principal and two Lecturers who belonged to the ST, were qualified. the Council was therefore, of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed ERC's order dated 06.08.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 06.08.09 was reversed with the direction to the ERC to grant recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Principal, Bajali Teacher's Training College , Pattacharkuchi, District, Barpeta - 781326, Assam**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.

F.No.89-1024/2009-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Banshidhar Mahavidyalaya, Jalaun Dist., Uttar Pradesh dated 02/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-1924/141 Meeting/2009/6070 dated 10/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Banshidhar Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Amit Agrawal, Jt. Secretary, Banshidhar Mahavidyalaya, Jalaun Dist., Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the HOD appointed was qualified but in case NCTE was of the view that the HOD was not qualified it should consider relaxing the norms as the institution could not get another HOD despite making several advertisements.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the NRC vide its letter dated 01-12-08 informed the institution that HOD was not qualified without specifying how he was not qualified. the Council, was therefore, of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for examining whether adequate faculty for all the teacher education courses including the proposed BTC course were available with the institution as the institution was running a number of teacher education courses i.e. B.Ed. (2 units) , B.P.Ed. and M.Ed. also.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to NRC for re-examining the faculty profile of all the existing courses namely B.Ed. (2 units), M.Ed., B.P.Ed. and others, if any, including the proposed BTC course after obtaining relevant documents. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for further action.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Banshidhar**

**Mahavidyalaya, Jalaun Dist., Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Joint Secretary , Banshidhar Mahavidyalaya, Jhansi Road, Orai,, Jalaun Dist. - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 1033/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of College of Innovative Management & Science (Human & Educational Research Society), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP - 3099/2009/9839 dated 31/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies regarding Land and Labs still exist".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, College of Innovative Management & Science (Human & Educational Research Society) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. D.R. Bansal, Secretary, College of Innovative Management & Science (Human & Educational Research Society), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having adequate land and building as per the NCTE norms and the visiting team was also satisfied with the available infrastructure of the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution has removed the deficiencies pointed out to it by the NRC and therefore the Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for further processing of the case for issuance of Letter of Intent prior to recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion the appeal to be accepted, set aside NRC's order and remand the case to NRC for further processing for issue of Letter of Intent prior to recognition. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for issue of Letter of Intent prior to recognition and further process.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of College of Innovative Management & Science (Human & Educational Research Society), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, College of Innovative Management & Science (Human & Educational Research Society), Post - Gomti Nagar, Hers Educational Campus, Vipul Khanda - 6, District, Lucknow - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1020/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of English and Foreign Languages University, Shilong, Meghalaya dated 01/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.8.8/2009/1127 dated 06/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) An affidavit submitted on Rs.100/- stamp paper does not contain complete information. A duly filled up affidavit in prescribed format has not been submitted again. 2) The registering authority and date was not mentioned in the affidavit. 3) Enclosure of Col.5 was not mentioned in the affidavit. 4) Certified copy of registered land lease/ ownership documents has not been submitted as per Regulation 8(8) & 8(7).(Duly notarized English version of land documents also to be submitted). 5) Approved building plan from competent authority with plot no., Khata no., Built up area, Total area, khasra no. etc to be submitted for the proposed D.Ed course. As stipulated in the instructions enclosed with the application proforma prescribed under clause 5(1) of the NCTE (Recognition norms & procedure) Regulations, 2007 approved building pan along with Completion certificate (if the building has already been constructed) from the concerned competent authority is required to be submitted. 6) Building completion certificate from the competent authority mentioning the plot no., Khata no., Built up area, Total area, khasra no. etc to be submitted for the proposed D.Ed course.(if the building has already been constructed) from the concerned competent authority is required to be submitted. 7) Certificate from the govt. that the course is not self-financed and that is fully financed by govt. 8) As per letter dt.22/06/2009 the buildings are nearby completion, the completion certificate will be produced soon".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, English and Foreign Languages University (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. S. Mohanraj, Professor, English and Foreign Languages University, Shillong, Meghalaya presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that all the requisite documents were furnished by the institution to the ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution had removed all deficiencies pointed out to it by the ERC. the Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for further processing of the case for getting the institution inspected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside ERC's order and to remand the case back to ERC for causing inspection of the

institution within 30 days of issue of this order to ascertain the availability of adequate infrastructure and other facilities as per NCTE norms and thereafter to pass appropriate order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of English and Foreign Languages University, Shilong, Meghalaya to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, English and Foreign Languages University, North Est, Campus Nehu Permanent Complex, Umsing Mawkynroh, , Shilong - , Meghalaya**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Meghalaya, Shilong.

F.No.89-1016/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Gurupad Primary Teacher's Training College, Garhwa, Jharkhand dated 02/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-98.8.3/2009/863 dated 27/07/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The institution has not submitted reply to ERC notice dated 05/06/2009 within the prescribed time limit. 2) The institution is required to submit the building completion certificate mentioning therein Khasra no., Plot no., details of total land area, built up area which should be as per the approved building plan & land documents of the institution and same should be suitable to run teacher training course as per the NCTE norms duly approved by Govt. Engineer and countersigned by the authority concerned. 3) Enclosure of Col. 5 of affidavit has not been submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Gurupad Primary Teacher's Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Rav Bahadur Singh, Trust Member and Shri. Sudis Narayan Thakur, Member, Gurupad Primary Teacher's Training College, Garhwa, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that reply to ERC's letter 5-6-09 was sent by the institution by fax on 3-7-09 and that the building of the institution was completed as per the approved building plan and the building was suitable for D.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the reply of the institution dated 3-7-09 purported to have been sent was not available on the file. The council further noted that the available area of land are indicated in the application was 1085 Sq.mts. as against the requirement of a minimum of 1500 Sq.mts. as per the NCTE norms. the Council, was therefore, of the view that the institution did not fulfill the NCTE norms and therefore the rejection of the application by the ERC was on valid grounds and there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and ERC's order dated 27-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Chairperson, Gurupad Primary Teacher's Training College, Vill.Ranka Kalan, Ranka Raj, , Garhwa - , Jharkhand**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

06/11/2009

## **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of H.I.C.T Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 04/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW04265/222110 (ADDL.)/121st/2009/59329 dated 18/08/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course (additional intake) on the grounds that on scrutiny of original file WRC in its 121st meeting held on July 8-9,2009 came to the conclusion that reply was not received within stipulated time.

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, H.I.C.T Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. M.L. Mahor, Secretary, H.I.C.T Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the WRC without making any communication to the institution in response to its application rejected the application, which was not justifiable.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that no deficiency letter was issued to the institution for giving the institution an opportunity to remove deficiencies if any. the Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the institution for issuing deficiency letter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that the appeal to be accepted, set aside WRC's order and the remand the case to WRC for issuing deficiency letter and thereafter processing the case on merits. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the WRC for further processing the case after issuing deficiency letter.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of H.I.C.T Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, H.I.C.T Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Tansen Road, Gwalior - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills,

Bhopal - 462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-1017/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Indra Singh B.Ed Teacher's Training College, Garhwa, Jharkhand dated 02/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6(1).1/2009/987 dated 04/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The name of the Principal Dr. Harish Chandra Giri also given in the other institution file namely Solanki B.Ed College, Bihar. 2) The affidavit on in respect of individual staff is submitted without photographs affixed as per the format. 3) The institution has submitted colour printout/scanned copies of some of the documents. The institution has not submitted downloaded copies from the website covering, inter alia, the details of the institution, its location, name of the course applied for with intake, availability of physical infrastructure (land, building, office, classrooms and other facilities/amenities), instructional facilities (laboratory, library etc.) and the particulars of their proposed teaching and non-teaching staff etc. with photographs".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ram Bahadur Singh, Secretary and Shri. Sudiot Nr. Thakur, Member, Indra Singh B.Ed Teacher's Training College, Garhwa, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellatant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had sought 20 days more time for appointing another Principal. It was further submitted that download copies of website were forwarded to ERC. The representative also informed that new Principal was appointed and the documents pertaining to the Principal were shown to the Committee.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution has now appointed a qualified Principal Dr. Dalbeer Kumar, in place of Dr. H.C. Giri. It further noted that the appellatant had already submitted downloaded copies of the website to ERC and the same were shown to the Committee. Therefore, the council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal and reverse the ERC's order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed ERC's order dated 04.08.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 04.08.09 was reversed with direction to the ERC to grant formal recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Chairperson, Indra Singh B.Ed Teacher's Training College, Vill- No. - 66, Tehsil - Garhwa, Garhwa - , Jharkhand**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

F.No.89-998/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of International School of Human Resources and Social Welfare Society(M.F.International Minority B.Ed College), Patna, Bihar dated 04/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-92.8.58/1-2009/15261 dated February, 2009 as confirmed vide letter No.ERC/7-99.7(13)/1/2009/11213 dated 06.08.09 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The committee considered the case of the institution and upon consideration of the original institution file alongwith relevant documents, the reply dated 26/06/2008 in response to ERC deficiency letter dated 09/05/2008 and representation dated 22/12/2008 in response to ERC notice dated 20/12/2008 as well as NCTE Hqr. letter dated 19/05/2008 alongwith a copy of the Ld. Commissioner's order dated 23/04/2009 and also legal notice dated 13/05/2009, the committee noted that the land of the institution has been registered after due date prescribed as per the NCTE regulations. Therefore, the committee decided to reconfirm its earlier decision for refusal of recognition under section 14(3)(b)".

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. M. Hasan, Treasurer, International School of Human Resources and Social Welfare Society(M.F.International Minority B.Ed College), Patna, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted "(1). The appellants aggrieved by the objections and the orders of the respondent filed their petition u/s 11 of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution Act 2006 which was registered as petition no. 72/2009 and was disposed off vide order dated 23/04/2009 with direction to the respondent to grant recognition of B.Ed course proposed to be run by the appellants from the session 2009-2010, if the appellants comply the necessary requirements of the respondent. The appellants in compliance of the said order got the lease deed of the land converted into registered Sale Deed in favour of the Appellant no. 2 i.e. M.F. International Minority B.Ed College and has also complied all the provisions within the time given by the Hon'ble Commission in order dated 23/04/2009. (2). The appellants submitted all the documents in order to remove the deficiency raised in letter dated 20/10/2008 alongwith a copy of the order of the Hon'ble Commission in the office of the respondent through Speed Post on 30/04/2009. A copy of the letter for submitted the documents with the prove of the Speed Post was shown to the committee.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the appellant in compliance to the Minorities Commission's order dated 23.04.09, got the land registered on ownership basis in the name of the society on 29.04.09 and hence the deficiency with regard to land does not exist now. It further observed that NCTE Hqrs had issued instructions to all the Regional Committees to process applications of minority institutions after verifying the minority status. Therefore the Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back to ERC for processing the case on merits after verifying the minority status of the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for processing the case further on merits after verifying the minority status of the institution. Accordingly, the Council set aside ERC's order and remanded back the case to the ERC for further processing for causing inspection.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of International School of Human Resources and Social Welfare Society (M.F.International Minority B.Ed College), Patna, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, International School of Human Resources and Social Welfare Society(M.F.International Minority B.Ed College), Vill. Patna, PO-Patliputra, Taluka-Phulwari Sharif, Patna - , Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

F.No.89-993/2009-Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Kishan Institute of Teacher Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-73/UP-2400/142 Meeting/2009/8332 dated 18/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) One professor and one reader have not been appointed at all. 2) Affidavit in proper format on Rs.100/- stamp paper has not been submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Kishan Institute of Teacher Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 04/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Munish Sabharwal, Executive Director, Kishan Institute of Teacher Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution constituted the new Selection Committee and selected the staff and also got the approval from the affiliating university and submitted the entire documents to NRC on 30-3-09. It was also submitted that affidavit on Rs.100 stamp paper as per NCTE norms were submitted to the NRC on several occasions.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the VT Report dated 13-06-09 was positive and it indicated availability of adequate infrastructural and other facilities as per the NCTE norms. Therefore it was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for examination of the faculty and taking appropriate decision thereafter on merits.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for re-examination of the faculty and thereafter issuing an appropriate orders. Accordingly, the Council set aside NRC's order and remanded back the case to the NRC for re-examining the faculty profile immediately and, thereafter, to issue order accordingly.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kishan Institute of Teacher Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Chairman, Kishan Institute of Teacher Education, Near Amar Ujala, Delhi Road,, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1028/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of L.N.Mishra College of Business Management, Muzaffarpur, Bihar dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6.(11)(1S).6/2009/974 dated 04/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) Legally valid land document in favour of the institution not submitted. as per the information the institution is running business management college. Separate area and built up area exclusively earmarked for the proposed teacher training institution course not given. 2) The institution submitted building plan which does not appear as a building plan. 3) Permission from the competent authority to use the land for the teacher training institution not given. 4) Downloaded Copies from the website not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Kumar Shartendu Shekhar, Administrative Officer-cum-Registrar, L.N.Mishra College of Business Management, Muzaffarpur, Bihar presented the case of the appellatant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having land as per the NCTE norms and the same were forwarded to the ERC; with regard to points 2,3 and 4, it was submitted that the appellatant had already removed these deficiencies.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the land documents furnished by the institution were in order and therefore it was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside ERC's order dated 04.08.09. With direction to issue Letter of Intent prior to recognition within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of L.N.Mishra College of Business Management, Bhagwanpur Chowk, , Muzaffarpur - , Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Admin. Officer Cum Registrar, L.N.Mishra College of Business Management, Bhagwanpur Chowk, , Muzaffarpur - , Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-1027/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2487/141 Meeting/2009/3883 dated 09/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The list of faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 09/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Vipin Vihari Gupta, Secretary, Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had submitted all the relevant documents regarding selection of faculty members alongwith affidavits on the prescribed format to the NRC on 15-4-08 by registered post, the receipt of which was shown to the Council.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that in view of the position explained by the institution, the case should be remanded back for allowing more time to the institution for submission of list of faculty as per NCTE norms and thereafter taking appropriate decision by the NRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for allowing more time to the institution to furnish the original documents pertaining to selection and appointment of staff. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for allowing more time for submission of original documents regarding appointment of qualified faculty/staff and thereafter taking appropriate decision.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Etah, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Tahsil Road, Jalesar,, Etah - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School

Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1025/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Midnapore Institute of Education , Paschim Medinipur , West Bengal dated 03/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6(1).15/2009/996 dated 04/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The institution has not appointed teaching staff as per the NCTE norms. 2) An affidavit submitted in respect of the Principal has been submitted on Rs.1/- stamp paper instead of Rs.10/- as prescribed, not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Midnapore Institute of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Mukul Ranjan Ray, Director and Shri Sudhindra Nath, Bag, Scretary, Midnapore Institute of Education , Paschim Medinipur , West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the teaching staff were selected and appointed as per the NCTE norms and that affidavit on Rs.1/- stamp paper which was only available at that time was submitted, but stamps for Rs.9/- were affixed on the affidavit.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council verified the staff profile submitted and found that the staff appointed by the institution were as per the NCTE norms and therefore there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reversed ERC's order dated 04.08.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 04.08.09 was reversed with direction to the ERC to grant recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Midnapore Institute of Education , Rangamati, PO-Vidyasagar University, PS-Kotwali, , Paschim Medinipur - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Nabiul Fatima Women's Teacher Training College, Darbhanga, Bihar dated 26/08/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.8.3/2009/1053 dated 05/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Certified copy of land document as per para 8(7) and 8(8) not submitted. 2) The institution submitted building plan without mentioning total area and built up area. 3) Fixed deposit receipt of Rs. 3 lakhs (in original) not submitted as Reserve Fund. 4) Fixed deposit receipt of Rs. 5 lakhs (in original) not submitted as Endowment Fund. 5) Enclosures of affidavit in Co. 5 not submitted by the institution. So, fresh affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper in complete details given not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. S.M. Naiyer Imam, Secretary, Nabiul Fatima Women's Teacher Training College, Darbhanga, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution fulfilled the NCTE norms and that it furnished all the requisite documents to the ERC but ERC refused recognition to the institution without perusal of the documents available on the file.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the deficiencies pointed out were removed by the institution and the documents pertaining to these deficiencies are available on file. The Council therefore came to the conclusion that there was adequate justification for accepting the appeal and remanding back the case for further processing for getting the institution inspected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Committee reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and remand back the case to ERC for further processing for getting the institution inspected. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and the case remanded back to ERC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Nabiul Fatima Women's Teacher Training College, Darbhanga, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**

Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Nabiul Fatima Women's Teacher Training College, Vill/Po - Bahera, Teh.- Benipur, Darbhanga - , Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-996/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Nalanda College of Education, Dehradun, Uttaranchal dated 04/09/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F.3/UR-110/2009 dated 14-09-09 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Two Blackboards are not enough. 2) Classrooms are too small. 3) Girls common room does not have appropriate furniture. 4) Laboratories are still ill equipped as bills are dt.31/10/2007. 5) No bank statement regarding salary being paid through bank is submitted. 6) Language learning facilities are not available. 7) As per college website, the aims & objectives of B.Ed & M.Ed are not correct. The admission procedure not given completely. There are many language mistakes. The equipments available in different labs are not available on website. On the whole college website does not have all information as required under Regulation 2007. 8) From the college website, it is evident that there is no teacher to teach methods of teaching physics, history, Sanskrit, geography, etc. 9) The no. of titles in the library is not as per NCTE norms. 10) The institution is being run from the residential building. 11) The built up area is not as per NCTE norms. 12) 10 computers are not sufficient for 100 students".

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Harender Singh Rawat, President and Dr.(Mrs.) Savita, Rawat, Principal, Nalanda College of Education, Dehradun, Uttaranchal presented the case of the appellatant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having all the requisite infrastructural and other facilities as per the NCTE norms and therefore withdrawal of recognition of the institution by NRC was not in order. The representative also stated that the institution has already paid requisite fee of Rs. 40,000/- for inspection of the new building.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the inspection report dated 15-06-09 inter-alia indicated that the institution was being run in a rented building for the last one session and the present building was not sufficient and that the institution gave an affidavit that it would be shifted to a new own building by October 2009. Institution is also running M.Ed course. Therefore, the Committee, was of the view that the new building of the institution where the B.Ed & M.Ed courses would be shifted should be inspected to ascertain availability of infrastructural, instructional and other facilities as per the NCTE Norms for B.Ed and other existing teacher educational courses on payment of 40,000/- if not already paid. The team would also specifically verify the grounds of withdrawal of recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal, set aside NRC's order dated 14-09-09 and remand back the case to NRC for causing inspection of the institution's the new building where the existing B.Ed & M.Ed courses would be shifted, to ascertain availability of infrastructural, instructional and other facilities as per the NCTE Norms within 30 days and thereafter pass appropriate orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Nalanda College of Education, Dehradun, Uttaranchal to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The President, Nalanda College of Education, S-1, C-28, Defence Colony, Near Vidhan Sabha,, Dehradun - , Uttaranchal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.

F.No.89-1018/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Narmada Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 31/08/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW04419/223517/123rd /2009/59340 dated 18/08/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed (Addl) course on the grounds "Reply of clarification dated 26/06/2009 not received within stipulated time".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Narmada Shiksha Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 08/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Navneet Maheshwar, President, Narmada Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had not received any letter dated 26-6-09 purported to have been issued by the WRC and that all the deficiencies pointed out in clarification letter dated 4-7-09 were removed by the institution as per details furnished in their letter dated 27-7-09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the reply furnished by the institution vide letter dated 27-7-09 was not examined by the WRC. The Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to WRC for examination of reply dated 27-07-09 with reference to other documents and to take appropriate decision.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the WRC for examination of reply dated 27-7-09 of the institution with reference to other documents and, thereafter, taking appropriate decision. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the WRC for examination of reply dated 27-7-09 immediately and thereafter, to issue order accordingly.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Narmada Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Narmada Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, D.B Vallabh Das Palace, Hanuman Tal, , Jabalpur - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School

Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-1013/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Prajna Educational Trust (Prajna D.Ed College), Hassan, Karnataka dated 13/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/D.Ed/2009-2010/14806 dated 28/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The First Floor of the building roofed with asbestos which is not suitable to run the proposed D.Ed course as per para 8(10) of NCTE regulations, 2007. The VCD, the visiting Team Report indicates that the first floor is roofed with asbestos sheet whereas the building completion certificate indicates that the first floor is roofed with RCC. 2) The Building Completion Certificate provided by the Management is not in conformity with the VT report. The built up space mentioned in Building Completion Certificate of 28,694 sq. ft. whereas the Visiting Team report stated that only 11,692 sq. ft. is available including first floor. It is also misleading to the SRC, NCTE".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Prajna Educational Trust (Prajna D.Ed College) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 26/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. C.S. Jadimaih, Principal and Ch. Laxama, Chairman, Prajna Educational Trust (Prajna D.Ed College), Hassan, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the management of the institution had constructed the full-fledged two storied building with the built-up area of 28690 sq.ft. Due to heavy rain in the area, earlier the first floor of the building was covered with asbestos sheet but after the deficiency was pointed out by the VT, the asbestos sheets were removed and the first floor was also covered with RCC roofing. As regard the second objection it was submitted that perhaps the VT took into account only the area covered by RCC which was 11692 Sq.ft. and the same was more than adequate for the proposed B.Ed. college.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution was having adequate built-up area covered by RCC at the time of inspection and in any case the asbestos sheet was subsequently removed by the institution. Therefore the Council, was of the view that there was adequate justification for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside SRC's order dated 28.07.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and SRC's order dated 28.07.09 was set aside with direction to the SRC to issue Letter of Intent prior to recognition to the institution.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Principal, Prajna Educational Trust (Prajna D.Ed College), Totadagadde, B.M. Road, Anemahal-Saleshpura,, Hassan - , Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Principal, Prajna Educational Trust (Prajna D.Ed College), Totadagadde, B.M. Road, Anemahal-Saleshpura,, Hassan - , Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

F.No.89-1015/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Raj Shankar College of Education, Kaimur Distt., Bihar dated 07/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.7.(1S).5/2009/1041 dated 05/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Regarding verification of infrastructural & instructional facilities by the visiting team, the institution replied vide letter dated 22.23/07/2009. The Committee noted that the last date of disposal of the cases is 31/07/2009 as per the NCTE Regulation, and the institution come on the stage of the Visiting Team on 22.23/07/2009, hence no time left for the institution to further comply with the NCTE Rules & Regulations".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Nagesh Dranath, Admin Chief and Shri. Ashok Kumar, Member, Raj Shankar College of Education, Kaimur Distt., Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the recognition to the institution was wrongly refused on the grounds that the last date of disposal of the case was 31-7-09 as per the regulations without apprising the appellant at any point of time regarding the mandatory deadline of 31-7-08.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that seeking extension of time for conducting inspection as such cannot be a valid reason for refusal of recognition. The Council also noted that the institution was now willing for inspection and therefore it was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for processing the case further for getting the institution inspected, as the NCTE Hqrs has issued a notification extending the disposal of the cases by 31.08.09.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the institution should be inspected to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the ERC for inspection of the Institution within 15 days of issue of this order and for further processing as per procedure.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raj Shankar College of Education, Kaimur Distt., Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Raj Shankar College of Education, Vill/Po - Bare, Teh.-Bhabua, , Kaimur Distt. - , Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

F.No.89-1014/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Renuka Primary Teacher's Training Institute, Paschim Medinipur , West Bengal dated 07/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6(1).5/2009/1121 dated 06/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ETE (D.Ed) course on the grounds "Principal appointed by the institution is not qualified as per the NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Kali Dada Ghorai, Chairman and Shri. Krishna Kanta Ghorai, Staff, Renuka Primary Teacher's Training Institute, Paschim Medinipur , West Bengal presented the case of the appellatant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the ERC vide its letter dated 8-3-07 held that the Principal Rajati Hazra was qualified as per NCTE norms and therefore it cannot refuse recognition to the institution on the ground that the Principal appointed by the institution was not qualified as per the NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the Principal appointed by the institution was not having adequate experience as per the NCTE norms. Looking into the problems of primary teacher education in West Bengal, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal with a direction to the institution to appoint qualified Principal within 6 months.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse ERC's order dated 06.08.09 with direction to the ERC to grant recognition to the institution immediately, with an instruction to the institution to appoint qualified Principal within 6 months and send its compliance report.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Chairman, Renuka Primary Teacher's Training Institute, Makard, Aknageria, Pingla, Paschim Medinipur - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89 - 867/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shatabdi Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 11/08/2009 is against the minutes of the Northern Regional Committee in its 145<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> July 2009 refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the grounds "The reply of the Institution has not been received in the stipulated time".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shatabdi Institute of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 16/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Amit Kumar Nehra, Secretary and Shri. Anuradha Malik, HOD, Shatabdi Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in response to the NRC's letter 17-6-09 reply was sent on 08-07-09 alongwith all requisite documents.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the reply of the institution was available on the file. the Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for processing the same further after examining the case in the light of the present regulations for getting the institution inspected.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for examining the case in the light of present Regulations, for getting it inspected to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for inspection of the Institution immediately and thereafter, to issue order accordingly.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shatabdi Institute of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Shatabdi Institute of Education, Mohiuddinpur, District, Meerut - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School

Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 1035/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shivvati Shivnandan Shukla Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F73/UP - 2526/141st Meeting/2009/9071 dated 20/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ashish Shukla, Secretary, Shivvati Shivnandan Shukla Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after receiving the Letter of Intent prior to recognition the institution had requested the SCERT for constituting a Selection Committee for selection of faculty and that even after repeated reminders no action was taken by the SCERT. Therefore, a writ petition was filed against SCERT and high court issued order dated 02.09.09, to SCERT to constitute a Selection Committee within 2 months.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the delay in selection of faculty was beyond the control of the institution. Therefore, the Council was of the view that there was adequate ground for accepting the appeal and remanding back the case to the NRC for allowing the institution more time for fulfilling the requirement as per LOI prior to recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded to the NRC for allowing more time to the institution to fulfill the requirement as per the Letter of Intent prior to recognition. Accordingly, the Committee remanded back the case to the NRC for allowing extension of time of 90 days for fulfilling the requirement as per the Letter of Intent prior to recognition.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shivvati Shivnandan Shukla Mahavidyalaya, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**

Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Shivvati Shivnandan Shukla Mahavidyalaya, Arholi, Pukhrayan, Distt., Kanpur Dehat - 209111, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-1030/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Sachidanand Shikshan Sanstha, Nagpur, Maharashtra dated 08/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW07439/114092/121st/2009/57625 dated 14/07/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed course on the grounds "On scrutiny of original file by WRC in its 121st meeting held on July8-9,2009 came to the conclusion that the institution has not removed the deficiencies within the stipulated period of 90 days u/s 7(1) of NCTE Regulations,2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Girish Shiram Katkar, and Shri. Vinod L. Thakre, Representative, Shri Sachidanand Shikshan Sanstha, Nagpur, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the reason stated in order dated 14-7-09 for rejection of application was incorrect. It was also submitted that the WRC in its letter dated 23-6-08 had indicated that the application of the institution was for an additional intake which was not correct and therefore the institution had, vide its letter dated 21-3-09 requested the WRC to rectify the mistake which was not done by the WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution was running a number of courses namely B.P.Ed., B.Ed., M.Ed., D.Ed. etc. and therefore the Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the WRC for re-examination, in the light of provisions of regulations 2007 and, if necessary for conducting a comprehensive inspection of the institution to ascertain availability of adequate infrastructural and other facilities for conducting all the courses including the proposed M.P.Ed course.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the WRC for examination of the case in the light of the present regulations and for conducting a comprehensive inspection to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities for conducting all the teacher education courses in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the WRC for a comprehensive inspection of the Institution offering various teacher education courses immediately and thereafter, to issue order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Sachidanand Shikshan Sanstha, Nagpur, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Chairman, Shri Sachidanand Shikshan Sanstha, Koradi, Mahadula, Kamptee, Nagpur - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-36/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of The Kaavery Educational Trust's The Kavary College of Education for Women, Salem Dist., Tamilnadu dated 10/12/2008 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed-AI/2007-2008/5934 dated 08/10/2008 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Additional Intake course on the grounds "1) The built up space for proposed B.Ed Addl. and existing teacher education courses are not adequate. 2) The management is already running two D.T.Ed's, two B.Ed's and other teacher training courses in the same complex. The infrastructural and instructional facilities for all courses are not adequate. 3) The additional books and lab. equipment are not adequate for existing teacher training courses and proposed B.Ed. Additional intake".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, The Kaavery Educational Trust's The Kavary College of Education for Women (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 05/01/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. S.K. Elangovan, Secretary and Shri. K. Kamalokannan, Member, The Kaavery Educational Trust's The Kavary College of Education for Women, Salem Dist., Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having a total built-up area of 61308 Sq.ft. for the existing B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses and the proposed additional intake in B.Ed. and that it was having adequate books and laboratory equipments as per the NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution was not having adequate infrastructural and other facilities for the existing B.Ed. (2 units), D.T.Ed. (2 units) courses and the proposed additional intake in B.Ed. The Council also noted that the institution was not having NAAC accreditation which is a pre-requisite for consideration of request for additional intake in B.Ed. the Council was therefore of the view that the recognition was refused to the institution on valid grounds and therefore there was no grounds for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 08-10-08 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Chairman, The Kaavery Educational Trust's The Kavery College of Education for Women, Mecheri, M. Kalipatti - Post, Mettur - Taluk, Salem Dist. - 636453, Tamilnadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.

F.No.89-1029/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vinayak Shiksha Samitee, Katni , Madhya Pradesh dated 08/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06839/223729/124/2009/59900 dated 27/08/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "Staff not appointed as per the NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vinayak Shiksha Samitee (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 09/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Rajeev Agnihotri and Shri. Rajendra Agrawal, Member, Vinayak Shiksha Samitee, Katni , Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it had completed all the requisite formalities and the land was also transferred to the name of the college.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the selection procedure followed by the institution for selecting faculty was not proper and the staff selected were also not qualified as per the NCTE norms. The Council was, of the view that the refusal of recognition to the institution by the WRC was on valid grounds and therefore there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no adequate ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and WRC's order dated 27-08-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Vinayak Shiksha Samitee, Jalpa Marg, Shakti Nagar, , Katni - , Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

F.No.89-1011/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vivekanand college of Education, Gurgaon, Haryana dated 04/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/ NCTE/F-7/HR-1830/143 Meeting/2009/5647 dated 07/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs from Nationalized Bank are not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vivekanand college of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 07/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Mahavir Bhardwaj, Chairman, Vivekanand college of Education, Gurgaon, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that FDR for Rs.5 lakh dated 20-6-09 and FDR for Rs.3 lakh dated 03-07-09 were forwarded to NRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted the application of the institution dated 31-10-08 was not submitted alongwith the requisite FDRs as required as per the NCTE regulations and the FDR for Rs.3 lakhs was forwarded after refusal of recognition. the Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfil the requirements and therefore there was no justification for accepting the appeal. .

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no justification to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 07-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Chairman, Vivekanand college of Education, Sector- 7 Ext. Gurgaon, Gurgaon - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of

Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89-1051/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Arya College of Education, Bhiwani, Haryana dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/F-7/HR-1708/2009/6201 dated 15/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The Govt. recommendation is negative. 2) The land is not in the name of the institution. 3) CLU is not enclosed. 4) Library facility is not as per NCTE norms. 5) Sufficient number of computers for 100 students is not there. 6) No supporting documents (photocopy stock register) for the entry of equipments of different laboratories. 7) There is no Language lab. 8) Classroom size is less than the prescribed norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Arya College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. K.S. Dalal, Officer Incharge and Shri. OM Pal Singh, President, Arya College of Education, Bhiwani, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the NRC had not received any negative recommendation from the State Govt. in the case of the institution; Land was transferred in the name of the college vide registered sale deed dated 8-4-09; As the land did not fall in the controlled area there was no requirement of CLU; Library facilities were as per the NCTE norms; Ten additional computers were purchased after the receipt of show cause notice; Photocopy of stock register was furnished the NRC alongwith reply to show cause notice ; The language lab has been earmarked in the lay out plan and the appellant had provided size of classrooms which was sufficient for B.Ed. course as per NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that as per the building plan submitted the built-up area of the institution was 11827 sq.ft. as against the requirement of 16164 Sq.ft. and size of the classrooms was also not as per the NCTE norms. the Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the requirement as per the NCTE norms and therefore there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The President, Arya College of Education, VPO - Rajgarh, Bhiwani - , Haryana**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

F.No.89 - 1037/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Divyanand Vidya Mandir College, Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP - 3226/144th Meeting/2009/8957 dated 28/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The deficiencies communicated to the institution still exist".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Divyanand Vidya Mandir College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ashish Mishra and Shri. O.M. Prakas Sharma, Member, Divyanand Vidya Mandir College, Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the appellant institution had constructed building for B.Ed. course and that the construction work of building was complete in all respects. It was also submitted that the institution was having all the requisite infrastructure and other facilities as per NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the VT report dated 9-6-06 was totally negative and therefore the Council was of the view that there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 28-08-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Divyanand Vidya Mandir College, Khasra No. 149, Village - Sarain Marufpur, Tehsil - Sandila, District, Hardoi - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1055/2099-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr.Zakhir Hussain Education Society (Model D.Ed College), Nanded, Maharashtra dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/MISC/07/110/2009/58402 dated 28/07/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed (U) (CO-Ed) course on the grounds "WRC considered the letters F.No.46-3/2008/NCTE(N&S)/84003 dt. 17/09/2008, F.No.49-27/2007/NCTE(N&S) dt.01/10/2008 and F.No.49-27/2007/NCTE (N&S) dt.31/10/2008 received from Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi. As direction received from NCTE Hqs. regarding disposal of application and regulating growth of teacher training institution, the WRC decided".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Dr.Zakhir Hussain Education Society (Model D.Ed College) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Siddiqui Sufyan, Secretary, Dr.Zakhir Hussain Education Society (Model D.Ed College), Nanded, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 14.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was a minority institution and, therefore, it should be considered for grant of recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution claimed that it was a minority institution and that as per the instruction issued by the NCTE Hqs. application of the institutions belonging to minority should be processed after verification of their minority status. Therefore, the Council, was of the view that the case should be remanded back for processing of the case as per the instruction issued by the NCTE Hqs after verifying the minority status of the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the WRC for processing the application on merits after verifying the minority status of the institution. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the WRC for further processing on merits and thereafter, to issue order accordingly

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr.Zakhir Hussain Education Society (Model D.Ed College), Nanded, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The General Secretary, Dr.Zakhir Hussain Education Society (Model D.Ed College), Gadegaon Road, Vill.Brahmpuri,, Nanded - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-1117/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Boys High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Pathanamthitta, Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14564 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 2) The University College is functioning in the building with 12320 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course with 165 intake. In reply to showcause notice, the University College stated that the steps have been already taken for the construction of the building with 1220 sq. ft. using MLA fund. The University College was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004, with the condition that the University College shall be shifted to its own premises/building within three years (12/07/2007) from the date of issue of order. The University College has not constructed own building with adequate build up space for B.Ed course with 165 intake as per stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE 3) The built up space of 12320 sq. ft. is not adequate for B.Ed course. The new building in under construction and it is also not adequate. The college has not submitted any evidence in respect of progress of completion of building. 4) The furniture is not adequate to run the course. The University College has placed order to purchase furniture as per reply to the notice issued. 5) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 6) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Govt. Boys High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education) (hereinafter referred to as the appellants), preferred an appeal dated 03/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam, Dean and Shri. P. Raghavan,

Joint Registrar, Govt. Boys High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Pathanamthitta, Kerala presented the case of the appellat institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Kerala University College of Teacher Education, Adoor, Pathanamthitta, Kerala was functioning in a temporary building and not in rented premises as the building where the college was run belonged to the Govt. school which was allocated by the Govt. to the college. As regards built-up area it was submitted that though the present building was having only 12320 Sq.ft. of built-up area, a new building with 1220 Sq.ft. was getting ready for the college. It was further submitted that adequate furniture was available, teachers appointed as per NCTE norms and that Govt. of Kerala had already issued a Govt. order authorizing the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long term lease with local self Government.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the available built-up area was only 12320 Sq.ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 16164 Sq.ft. as per the NCTE norms and even if the new building was completed with 1220 Sq.ft. of built-up area, the total available area would not be adequate. Therefore, the Council, was of the view that there was no justification for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. Boys High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Adoor,, Pathanamthitta - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1115/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Boys High School(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kayamkulam, Alappuzha Dist., Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14551 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004 in Government Model School as temporary accommodation with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/07/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in a school building with 16759 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course with 165 intake. The University College in its reply given to Notice stated that a building of the school vacant will be given to the college shortly. Moreover the local MLA has promised to give fund for constructing new building. 3) The multipurpose hall is roofed with asbestos sheet and not as per NCTE regulations. The university in its reply has stated that the work has been started to change asbestos roof of the hall. 4) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 5) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 6) The University Centre is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam, Dean and Shri. P. Raghavan, Joint Registrar, Govt. Boys High School(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kayamkulam, Alappuzha Dist., Kerala presented the case of the appellat institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Kerala University College of Teacher Education, Kayamkulam, Alappuzha was functioning in Govt. land and buildings which were not used by the school and transferred to the university to run B.Ed. college and that university constructed its own building and these buildings were not rented. As regards built-up area, it was submitted that 16759 Sq.ft. was available and more space would be added using development funds obtained from MLA/MP and Development Committee. It was also submitted that the asbestos sheets roofing of the multi-purpose hall was being replaced and the work would be completed soon. Govt. had authorized the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long-term lease agreement in respect of land and building with the local self government; all the teaching staff appointed are as per NCTE norms and requested that the college be exempted from payment of endowment/reserve fund. However, the representative informed that they would deposit FDRs for the requisite amount if NCTE so decided.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard ground of withdrawal of recognition cited at Sl.Nos.1 and 4, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed is yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding ground of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.No.2, the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 165 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 16759 sq. ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 21528 sq. ft. or 2000 sq. mts. for running 2 units of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.3, the Council noted that the multipurpose hall was roofed with asbestos sheet and the institution did not get it removed even upto on the date of hearing. As regard objection at Sl.No.5, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As for objection at Sl.No.6, the Council noted that the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Govt. Boys High School(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kanyamkulam,, Alappuzha Dist. - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1114/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Aryad, Alappuzha, Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14558 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004 in temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/07/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in Government School campus in a temporary building with 16334 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course with intake of 225. The University College in its reply given to Notice stated that the college has approached the MP for construction of new building having 1755 sq. ft. built up space. The University College has not constructed permanent building with adequate build up space and hence not shifted to permanent premises. 3) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice, the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 4) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10 (1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 5) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakavam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. High School Campus (Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Aryad, Alappuzha, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Kerala University College of Teacher Education, Aryad, Alappuzha, Kerala was functioning in Govt. land and building which was not used by the school and transferred to university to run B.Ed. college and that the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings are permanent and not rented in anyway. Regarding built-up area it was submitted that in addition to existing 16334 Sq.ft. of built-up area, a proposal for construction of new building with 1755 sq.ft. of built-up space was under consideration. It was also submitted that the teachers recruited by the university on contract basis are highly qualified and competent ; the college be exempted from paying the endowment/reserve funds but it was willing to deposit the requisite amount in FDRs if so decided by the NCTE and the Govt. of Kerala had already issued GO authorizing the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long term lease of land and building with the local self government.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard ground of withdrawal of recognition cited at Sl.Nos. 1 and 5, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the

college nor in the name of the university and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed was yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2, the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 225 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 16334 sq. ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 26910 sq. ft. or 2500 sq. mts. for running 3 units (more than 200 but upto 300 students) of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.3, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As regard objection at Sl. No. 4, the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits of requisite amount towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Aryad,, Alappuzha - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1112/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Higher School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kulakkada, Kollam, Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14556 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 27/09/2004 in temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (26/09/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in a school building with 21804 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course with 165 intake. The University College in its reply given to Notice stated that steps have already been taken to construct the first and second floor of the existing RCC building by using the fund donated by the Hon'ble Defence Minister. The earmarked build up space in school building is not adequate to run B.Ed course with 165 intake. 3) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice, the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 5) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kollam, Kaniyapuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the college was functioning in a temporary building and not in rented premises as the building where the college was run belonged to the Govt. school which was allocated by the Govt. to the college and the University also constructed its own buildings and all the structure were permanent. As regards built-up area it was submitted that though the present building was having 21804 Sq.ft. of built-up area and 1770 Sq.ft more would be made available by November, 2009.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.No.1 and 3, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the college not in the name of university and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed is yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2, the committee noted that though the built-up area of 21804 Sq.ft. was adequate for running B.Ed. course with an intake of 165 students, the building was not owned by the college or the university. Regarding objection at Sl.No.4, the Council noted that the college has not

appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As for objection at Sl.No.5, the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits of requisite amount towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. Higher School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kulakkada,, Kollam - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1116/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Higher Secondary School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kunnam, Alappuzha Dist., Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14554 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004 in Government Model School as temporary accommodation with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/07/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in a temporary High School building and earmarked 7339 sq. ft. built up space as per VT report which is inadequate to run B.Ed course construction of own building will be started immediately using PTA fund and MP fund. The University College has not constructed permanent building on own land and hence not shifted to permanent premises as per regulation of NCTE. 3) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 5) The University Centre is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 6) The books in the library are not adequate for B.Ed course with 180 intake. The science lab is also not established. The evidence is not submitted for establishing science lab along with reply to the notice".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. Higher Secondary School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Alappuzha Dist., Kunnam, Mavelikara, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that It was functioning in Govt. land and building which were not used by the school and run by university the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings were permanent and not rented in anyway. Regarding built-up area it was submitted that the available built-up area was 16150 Sq.ft. and for creating more space, a proposal for construction of new building using development funds obtained from MLA/Mp and Development Committee was under consideration. It was also submitted that the teachers recruited by the University were highly qualified and competent; the college was under direct control of the University, and, therefore, the college might be exempted from paying Endowment/Reserve funds but the college was willing to deposit FDRs of requisite amount o the NCTE was not in a position to exempt the college from depositing FDRs. It was further submitted that adequate books in the library was available and the Government of Kerala had authorized the Registrar, Kerala University to enter into long-term lease agreement with the local self-Government in respect of land and building.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard ground of objection at Sl.Nos. 1 and 3, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the college nor in the name of the university and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed was yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2, the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 165 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 16150 sq. ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 21528 sq. ft. or 2000 sq. mts. for running 2 units (beyond 100 but upto 300 students)of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.4, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As regard objection at Sl.No.5, the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits of requisite amount towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. As per objection at Sl.No.6, the Council noted that no evidence regarding establishment of science lab was produced. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. Higher Secondary School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Mavelikera,, Alappuzha Dist. - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1108/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Medical College Higher Secondary School Campus (Kerela University of Teacher Education), Kumarapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14559 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/07/2004 in temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/04/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. In notice reply the institution stated that the Government has allotted a fund of Rs. 15 lakhs for construction of building. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 3) The facilities for teaching art, work experience and IT are not available. In reply to notice the university has stated that steps has been taken to appoint teachers for Art and work experience. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice, the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 5) The toilets for lady students need to be increased. The university in reply to notice has stated that steps have been taken to increase the toilets of lady students. 6) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakavam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. Medical College Higher Secondary School Campus (Kerela University of Teacher Education), Kumarapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it was functioning in Govt. land and building which were not used by the school transferred to the University for running B.Ed. course and the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings were permanent and not rented in anyway. Regarding built-up area it was submitted that the institution was having 16473 Sq.ft. and there was proposal for construction of additional built-up area of 3218 within a period of 3 months. It was also submitted that facilities for IT was available and part-time faculty with work experience was appointed; teachers recruited by the university were highly competent and qualified; adequate toilet facilities for girl students were available and the college might be exempted from paying the endowment/reserve fund. The representative also assured that in case the NCTE decided that the college should maintain endowment/reserve fund it would be complied with by the college.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.Nos.1 and 2, the

Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the university nor in the name of the college and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed was yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.3 and 5, the Council noted that the institution has not given satisfactory reply implying thereby that the objections are valid. As regards the objection at Sl.No.4, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As for objection at Sl.No.6, the Council noted that the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits of requisite amount towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. Medical College Higher Secondary School Campus(Kerala University of Teacher Education), Kumarapuram, Medical College, Trivananthapuram - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1111/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Model HSS Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Thevally, Kollam Dist., Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14557 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/04/2004 in Government Model School as temporary accommodation with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/04/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The built up space as per VT report 7200 sq. ft. is not adequate for B.Ed course. The University College is functioning in the building located in the premises of Government Model School. The College has not submitted any evidence in respect of availability of 23680 sq. ft. build up space in temporary premises for B.Ed course (165 intake) and own building is not constructed. 3) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University College has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice, the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 5) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10 (1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. Model HSS Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Thevally, Kollam Dist., Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it was functioning in Govt. land and building which were not used by the school and run by university and the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings are permanent and not rented in anyway. Regarding built-up area it was submitted that the institution was having 13960 Sq.ft. of built-up space and construction of additional built-up area of 10988 Sq.ft. using development funds obtained from MLA/MP and Development Committee would be completed in 3 months. It was further stated that even in SRC's order dated 15-7-09 it was stated that the available built-up area of the college was 21804 Sq.ft; Regarding land it was submitted that the Govt. of Kerala had issued an order authorizing the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long-term lease agreement for land and building with local self government; the faculty appointed by the university were highly qualified and competent and requested for exemption of the college from the requirement of endowment/reserve funds but assured that in case NCTE decided that exemption could not be given it would immediately submit the FDRs for the requisite amount.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.Nos.1 and 3, the

Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the university nor in the name of the college and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed is yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2 the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 165 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 13960 sq. ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 21528 sq. ft. or 2000 sq. mts. for running 2 units (beyond 100 students upto 200 students) of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.4, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As for objection at Sl.No.5, the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits of requisite amount towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, Govt. Model HSS Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Thevally Post, , Kollam Dist. - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1110/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. U.P School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kaniyapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2009-10/14560 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 13/04/2004 in Government Model School as temporary accommodation with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (12/04/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The university college is functioning in the building located in the premises of Government school. The earmarked built up space as per VT report of 3840 sq. ft. is not adequate. In reply to the notice the university college has stated that the 7190 sq. ft. carpet area available for B.Ed course with 165 intake. It is also not adequate as per norms and standards of NCTE. 3) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University Centre has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 5) The University Centre is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. U.P School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kaniyapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the college was functioning in a temporary building and not in rented premises as the building where the college was run belonged to the Govt. school which was allocated by the Govt. to the college. As regards built-up area it was submitted that though the present building was having only 12050 Sq.ft. of built-up area more space of 4010 Sq.ft. would be added using development funds obtained from MLA/MP and Development Committee, making a total available built-up area of 16660 Sq.ft. It was further submitted that Government of Kerala had issued a Govt. Order authorizing the Registrar to enter into long term lease agreement in respect of land and building with local Self Government; teachers appointed were highly qualified and competent and requested that the college be exempted from payment of Endowment/Reserve Fund but issued to maintain requisite FDs if the college could not be exempted.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.Nos.1 and 3, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the university nor in the name of the college and it was still on the ownership of the local self

government and lease deed was yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2, the Council noted that the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 165 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 12259 sq. ft. as claimed in the appeal as against the requirement of a minimum of 21528 sq. ft. or 2000 sq. mts. for running 2 units (beyond 100 students but upto 200) of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.4, the Council noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As for objection at Sl.No.5, the Council noted that the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Govt. U.P School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Kaniyapuram,, Trivananthapuram - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-1109/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Govt. Vocational Higher Secondary School for Boy's Campus (Kerala University of Teacher Education), Nedumangad, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala dated 29/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14553 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 27/09/2004 in temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (26/09/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in a temporary building with 16212 sq. ft. built up space which is inadequate to run B.Ed course with 165 intake as per norms & standards of NCTE. The University College in its reply given to Notice stated that the college development council has started the construction of an administrative block with build up space of 3075 sq. ft. 3) The University College has not constructed permanent building with adequate build up space and hence not shifted to permanent premises. 4) The University College has not submitted registered own land document as per Regulation. In reply to notice the University Centre has stated that the matter has been taken up with State Government and the Government has given assurance to lease out the land and building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 5) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms and standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favourable decision. 6) The University Centre is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs. and Rs. 3 lakhs. FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 7) The available furniture is not adequate to run B.Ed course".

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam and Shri. M.P.Raghavan, Govt. Vocational Higher Secondary School for Boy's Campus(Kerala University of Teacher Education), Nedumangad, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala presented the case of the appellat institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the college was functioning in Govt. land and building which was not used by the school and transferred to university to run B.Ed. college and that the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings are permanent and not rented in anyway; Regarding built-up area it was submitted that in addition to existing 16212 Sq.ft. of built-up area, a proposal for construction of new building with 3075 sq.ft. of built-up space was under consideration and the construction would be completed within 3 months, making available a total built-up area of 19287 sq.ft. It was also submitted that the teachers recruited by the university on contract basis were highly qualified and competent; the college might be exempted from paying the endowment/reserve funds but it was willing to deposit the requisite amount in FDRs if so decided by the NCTE; the Govt. of Kerala had issued GO authorizing the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long term lease of land and building with the local self government and

that it was having adequate furniture.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.Nos.1 and 3, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the university nor in the name of the college and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed was yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.2, the Council noted that the institution was running B.Ed course with an intake of 165 students but the built-up area available with the institution was only 16122 sq. ft. as against the requirement of a minimum of 21528 sq. ft. or 2000 sq. mts. for running 2 units (beyond 100 but upto 200 students) of B.Ed course, as per the NCTE norms. Regarding objection at Sl.No.4, the committee noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approval was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. As regard objection at Sl.No.5, the Council noted that the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not maintaining fixed deposits towards endowment and reserve fund as required as per the NCTE Regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Registrar, Govt. Vocational Higher Secondary School for Boy's Campus(Kerala University of Teacher Education), Nedumangad,, Trivanthapuram - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89-961/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Institute for Advance Studies (Pt. Ratan Lal Educational and welfare Trust), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 26/08/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP-2937/141stMeeting/2009/5765-5761 dated 08/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Institute for Advance Studies (Pt. Ratan Lal Educational and welfare Trust) (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 28/08/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Kapil Sharma, Secretary, Institute for Advance Studies (Pt. Ratan Lal Educational and welfare Trust), Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had already submitted the affidavit in respect of selected staff on Rs.100 stamp paper to the NRC on 12-9-08.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council found that the staff appointed by the institution were as per the NCTE norms. The council was therefore of the view that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside NRC's order dated 08.07.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and NRC's order dated 08.07.09 was reversed with direction to the NRC to grant recognition to the institution within 15 days of issue of this order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Secretary, Institute for Advance Studies (Pt. Ratan Lal Educational and welfare Trust), Ratan Market, Near OBC Bank, Delhi - Meerut Road, Modi Nagar, District, Ghaziabad - 201201, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar,

Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1047/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jalpaiguri Dooars Primary Teachers Training Institute, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-100.4 (1).2/2009/1352 dated 31/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "1) Principal appointed by the institution is not qualified as per the NCTE norms. 2) Three teachers namely Anup Kumar Berma and Pranab Dey & Sagnik Das are also found serving in other institution namely "Narsinghpur PTI, West Bengal"

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Jalpaiguri Dooars Primary Teachers Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Sandip Khan, President, Jalpaiguri Dooars Primary Teachers Training Institute, Jalpaiguri, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Principal appointed was having qualification as per the NCTE norms and that the teacher namely Shri. Anup Kumar Barman and Pranab Dey had given their free consent to institution they were ready to join the institution as Lecturer and accordingly the appointment orders were issued to them. It was also submitted that they had also submitted copies of their letters given to the Principal Narashingapur Primary Teacher Training Institute, Narashingapur stating that they have confirmed to the appellant institution that they would be joining the appellant institution. It was further submitted that the institution was not issued Letter of Intent prior to recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the ERC had not issued Letter of Intent prior to recognition as required as per the regulations. The Council was therefore of the view that the appeal should be accepted.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside ERC's order dated 31.08.09. Accordingly, the appeal was accepted and ERC's order dated 31.08.09 was set aside with direction to the ERC to issue Letter of Intent prior to recognition to the institution.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jalpaiguri Dooars Primary Teachers Training Institute, Vill.Ghagra, PO- Alipurdhar,, Jalpaiguri - , West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The President, Jalpaiguri Dooars Primary Teachers Training Institute, Vill.Ghagra, PO- Alipurdhar,, Jalpaiguri - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89 - 1040/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Janta Mahavidyalaya, Auraiya, Uttar Pradesh dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP - 2590/142nd Meeting/2009/8084 dated 14/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) CLU is not submitted. 2) FDR of Rs. 3 lacs is not submitted. 3) Land documents are not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Janta Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Principal and Shri. Sunil Kumar Dubey, President, Janta Mahavidyalaya, Auraiya, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was very old institution established in the year 1959 as an agriculture college and since then it was running various courses and now it wanted to run the B.Ed. course for which adequate facilities were available. It was also submitted that the institution was having a total of 18.08 acres of land . Regarding FDR it was submitted that the same was not asked for by the NRC in the deficiency letter. It was also submitted that it was a NAAC accredited institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that it was an old institution and it was having adequate land as per the NCTE norms. The Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for further processing on merit for causing inspection to ascertain availability of requisite infrastructural and other facilities as per norms.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back for further processing for inspection to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for inspection of the Institution immediately and thereafter, to issue order accordingly.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Janta Mahavidyalaya, Auraiya, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Minister, Janta Mahavidyalaya, Ajitmal, District, Auraiya - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89 - 1034/2009 - Appeal  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.D.R.B Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F-7/UP - 2270/144th Meeting/2009/8919 dated 28/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed course on the grounds "1) Course-wise demarcated building plan is not submitted. 2) CLU is not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, R.D.R.B Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ram Dular Maurya, Manager and Shri. Yashwant Kumar, Clerk, R.D.R.B Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that building plan indicating the total built-up area etc. was submitted to the NRC and that CLU from ADM was also submitted in original to the Regional Committee. He also assured that the CLU from ADM in the prescribed proforma would be submitted before inspection of the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the building plan and CLU were submitted by the institution to NRC and adequate land and built-up area were, prima-facie, available with the institution. The Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for further processing for conducting the inspection.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should remanded back to NRC for further processing for getting the institution inspected to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for inspection of the Institution immediately and thereafter, to issue order accordingly.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.D.R.B Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, R.D.R.B Mahavidyalaya, VPO - Nathupur, Ladhna, Distt., Ambedkar Nagar - 224149, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

06/11/2009

## ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.D.R.B. Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/ NCTE/F-7/UP-1698/141 Meeting/2009/6443 dated 21/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "The list of appointed faculty as per NCTE norms has not been submitted till date".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, R.D.R.B. Mahavidyalaya (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ram Dular Maurya, Manager and Shri. Yashwant Kumar, Clerk, R.D.R.B. Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution had already submitted list of faculty appointed as per the NCTE norms. The representative also showed the Council the evidence regarding dispatch of their letter dated 4-7-09.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for examination of the staff profile submitted by the institution and thereafter taking appropriate decision.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the NRC for examination of the staff profile submitted by the institution. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the NRC for examination of the faculty profile and thereafter, issuing appropriate order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.D.R.B. Mahavidyalaya, Ambedkar Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Manager, R.D.R.B. Mahavidyalaya, Gram & Post - Natthupur, Lodhna,, Ambedkar Nagar - , Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

F.No.89-1054/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shanti College of Education, Una, Himachal Pradesh dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. F. NRC/NCTE/F-7/HP-260/148 Meeting/2009/9613 dated 31/08/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed course on the grounds "1) The land has not been registered in the name of institution within 90 days as communicated to the institution. 2) The Multipurpose Hall is small in size. 3) Twenty Computers are not enough for B.Ed and M.Ed students. 4) The titles in the library are not as per NCTE norms for M.Ed".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shanti College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. C.L. Sharma, Chairman and Shri. Lokesh Sharma, Office Superintendent, Shanti College of Education, Una, Himachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the land was registered in the name of the society at the time of submission of application which was as per the provision in the Regulations 2007. But, as per the NRC's letter dated 15-5-09, the land was got registered on 9-9-09 in the name of the institution. It was also submitted that the size of the multi-purpose hall was 125.10 sqmt; the institution purchased additional 20 computers and additional books were also purchased for the library.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the size of the multi-purpose hall was not adequate as per the NCTE norms and adequate computers and books were also not available and the institution also admitted that it was not having adequate computers and books at the time of inspection. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the requirement as per the NCTE norms and, therefore, there was no ground for accepting the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 31-08-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Chairman, Shanti College of Education, Vill. Kailash Nagar, Po- Nakroh, Teh.- Amb,, Una - , Himachal Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

F.No.89-1048/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Swami Vivekanand Adarsh Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, Kolhapur Dist. , Maharashtra dated 09/09/2009 is against the Order No. WRC/APW017989/123247/121st/2009/57635 dated 15/07/2009 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed(Girls) course on the grounds "1) The institution has failed to submit the list of staff within stipulated time. (A). Approved staff lists not submitted. (B). Built-up area for B.Ed & M.Ed not earmarked".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Shri Swami Vivekanand Adarsh Vikas Shikshan Sanstha (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Deshmukh Chandrakant. R, President and Shri. Mangalekar Sanjay D., Director, Shri Swami Vivekanand Adarsh Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, Kolhapur Dist., Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the affiliating university namely SNTD Women's University had approved the staff profile of the institution, a copy of which was forwarded to the WRC on 29-9-09. During the hearing, it was submitted that students were admitted by the institution after getting affiliation from the affiliating university.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that the institution was given only a conditional recognition subject to appointment of faculty and no formal recognition was granted to the institution. As per the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, an institution was eligible to admit students only after obtaining formal recognition from the NCTE Nevertheless. It admitted students after obtaining affiliation from the affiliating University. Though the admission of students made was in violation of provision in the NCTE Regulations the fact remains that the refusal of recognition by WRC at this stage would imply that the degree awarded/to be awarded to the students admitted by the institution would become invalid creating legal complications. Therefore, the Council was of the view that in order to protect the interest of the students admitted the appeal of the institution should be admitted and status-quo existing prior to refusal of recognition by the NRC should be restored pending inspection of the institution under section 17 of the NCTE Act and thereafter taking appropriate decision by the WRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and set aside the WRC's Order dated 15.07.09. Accordingly, the appeal was

accepted and the WRC's order dated 15.07.09 was set aside with direction to WRC to restore status-quo as existing prior to issue of refusal order pending inspection of the institution under Section 17 of the NCTE Act and thereafter taking appropriate decision by the WRC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Swami Vivekanand Adarsh Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, Yelaya-Malanakapur, Taluka Shauvadi, Kolhapur Dist. - , Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The President, Shri Swami Vivekanand Adarsh Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, Yelaya-Malanakapur, Taluka Shauvadi, Kolhapur Dist. - , Maharashtra**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

F.No.89-1043/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sri Rudreshwara Vidhya Samsthe, Davanagere, Karnataka dated 07/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/D.Ed/2009-2010/14804 dated 28/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "1) The built up space stated 6509 sq.ft. as per building completion certificate. The building was inspected on 07/05/2009. The management again submitted one more building completion certificate along with notice reply issue wherein stated that the built up space in ground floor is 10203sq.ft. and first floor 4413sq.ft. The above certificate tempered and corrected to mislead the SRC. 2) The right wing of the first floor is under construction as per reply to notice. 3) the built up space 8978.50 sq.ft. given in the building plan whereas the portion of second floor is under construction. the available space is not adequate as per records".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Sri Rudreshwara Vidhya Samsthe (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. K.S. Gangadhar, Principal, Sri Rudreshwara Vidhya Samsthe, Davanagere, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having a built-up area of 13018 Sq.ft. and the VT verified the availability of infrastructural and other facilities on 8-5-09. It was also submitted that construction of right wing of first floor was not at all connected with the built-up area earmarked for D.Ed. course. The representatives informed the Council the institution was prepared for another inspection for which the requisite fee would be paid by it.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that as per the building completion certificate submitted the institution was having a built-up area of 13018 Sq.ft. which was adequate for the proposed D.Ed. course. However, since the built-up area indicated by the VT was only 6509 Sq.ft., The Council was of the view that the case should be remanded back for a second inspection subject to payment of requisite fee of Rs.40,000/- by the institution immediately.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the institution should be re-inspected to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE immediately on payment of requisite fee of Rs.40,000 by the institution. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the SRC for re-inspection of the Institution immediately and thereafter, to issue order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Rudreshwara Vidhya Samsthe, Davanagere, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The President, Sri Rudreshwara Vidhya Samsthe, Hebbalu, , Davanagere - , Karnataka**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.

F.No.89-1044/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Tauheed B.Ed Training College, Kishanganj, Bihar dated 05/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.7.(1S).10/2009/984 dated 04/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) Regarding verification of infrastructural & instructional facilities by the Visiting Team, the institution replied vide letter dt. 22.07.2009. the Council noted that the last date of disposal of the cases is 31.07.2009 as per NCTE Regulations, and the institution come on the stage of the visiting team 22.07.2009, hence no time left for the institution to further comply with the NCTE Rules & Regulations. 2) Certified copy of land document not submitted. Certified copies of land ownership/lease documents have not been submitted. (Lease is permitted only with Govt. land) alongwith affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper clearly specify the land use permission from the competent authority 3) Approved building plan with plot no., Khata No., Khesra No., total area, built up area from the competent State Govt. Authority not submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Tauheed B.Ed Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Abdul Matin, Chairman and Md. A. Rashid, Secretary, Tauheed B.Ed Training College, Kishanganj, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that certified copies of land documents submitted to the ERC, the affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper and copy of approved building plan indicating Khasra No., proposed built-up area were also submitted. The representative also produced the original land document before the Council for verification and the document was found to be in order.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that in view of the position explained above the case should be remanded back for further processing for getting the institution inspected and thereafter taking appropriate decision.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for further processing, for getting it inspected to ascertain availability of necessary infrastructure, instructional and other facilities in accordance with the norms prescribed by the NCTE to become eligible for recognition by NCTE. Accordingly, the Council remanded back the case to the ERC for inspection of the Institution immediately and thereafter, to issue order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Tauheed B.Ed Training College, Kishanganj, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Vice President, Tauheed B.Ed Training College, Ma'hadabad, Khagra, Kishanganj - , Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

F.No.89-1049/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vidya Sagar Teacher's Training College, Malda, West Bengal dated 14/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.6(11).(1S).5/2009/11300 dated 06/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds " 1) The Principal Dr. Arunima Patnaik & one Lecturer, Ms. Monalish Mohanty are also working in other college namely Vivekanand Teacher Training College, Chandipur, Malda, West Bengal. 2) The download copies of the website of the institution have not submitted as per the NCTE norms".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vidya Sagar Teacher's Training College (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 14/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Ram Prabesh Mandal, Member and Shri. Atul Anand, Officer, Vidya Sagar Teacher's Training College, Malda, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the Principal Dr. Arunima Patnaik & one Lecturer Ms. Monalish Mohanty were previously working in another college namely Vivekananda Teacher Training College, Chandipur, Malda and they, after submitting their resignation letters they joined the appellant institution. It was also submitted that downloaded copies of the website of the institution were submitted to the ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that as per the provisions in the NCTE Regulations, the ERC was required to issue a Letter of Intent prior to recognition to the institution after satisfying that the institution fulfilled the requirement regarding infrastructural and other facilities and the question of selection and appointment of qualified staff would arise only thereafter. The Council also noted that the ERC did not follow the prescribed procedure and issue the Letter of Intent prior to recognition. the Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to ERC for issuing Letter of Intent prior to recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for issuing Letter of Intent prior to recognition. Accordingly, the Council remanded ERC for issuing the Letter of Intent prior to recognition as per the provision in the NCTE Regulations.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vidya Sagar Teacher's Training College, Malda, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary**

**action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, Vidya Sagar Teacher's Training College, Vill.Bara Sagar Dighi, PO-Kamalbari,, Malda - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89-1042/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vivekananda Primary Teacher's Training Institute, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal dated 07/09/2009 is against the Order No. ERC/7-99.8.6/2009/1117 dated 06/08/2009 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.Ed course on the grounds "The committee noted that the last date of disposal of the cases is 31.07.2009, and the institution come on the stage of Visiting Team on 23.07.2009. The cut-off date for disposal of cases is 31.07.2009, hence no time left for the institution to further comply with the NCTE Rules & Regulations".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, Vivekananda Primary Teacher's Training Institute (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 11/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Saroj Kumar Das, Staff G.D. and Shri. Tarapada Giri, Ex-Principal, Vivekananda Primary Teacher's Training Institute, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was having all the requisite infrastructural and other facilities and the ERC committed an error substantially in not giving chance to the institution for facing a physical inspection by the visiting team to verify the available infrastructural and other facilities.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 27-11-08 and the institution submitted reply to the ERC within the stipulated time. The Council was therefore of the view that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for examining the response of the institution to the deficiency letter and thereafter taking further necessary action on merits of the case.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report, and after hearing oral arguments advanced during the presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that the case should be remanded back to the ERC for examining the response of the institution to the deficiency letter dated 27.11.08 and, thereafter, taking appropriate decision. Accordingly, the case was remanded back to the ERC.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vivekananda Primary Teacher's Training Institute, South 24 Parganas, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The President, Vivekananda Primary Teacher's Training Institute, Vill.Dhukrihara, PO-Sadhurhat, , South 24 Parganas - , West Bengal**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

F.No.89-1113/2009-Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of West Govt. High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Anchal, Kollam Dist., Kerala dated 27/07/2009 is against the Order No. F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed./2009-10/14555 dated 15/07/2009 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed course on the grounds "1) The University College was accorded recognition on 27/09/2004 in temporary building with the condition to shift the B.Ed course to the permanent premises within three years (26/09/2007) from the date of issue of order. But the University College has not purchased own land and also not constructed own building till date. The University College has violated the stipulated conditions of recognition order. Hence, the University college is not eligible to continue in the rented premises as per the rules and Regulations, Norms and Standards of NCTE. 2) The University College is running in the school campus as temporary accommodation with inadequate build up space for B.Ed course (165 intake). The University College in its reply stated that the State Government has given assurance to lease out the land & building on a long term basis for a period of 33 years. At present there is no own land and building to run B.Ed course as per regulations of NCTE. 3) The University College is running B.Ed course in self-financing mode as per information provided in its reply given to Notice. But, the University Teacher Education College has not maintained joint account for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs FDRs as per para 10(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2007. 4) The University College has not appointed teaching faculty on regular basis as per norms & standards of B.Ed course. In its reply to notice the college has stated that the Government concurrence is needed for the appointment of permanent teaching and non-teaching staff in Kerala University. University has taken up the matter to the Government and awaiting for a favorable decision. 5) The unregistered lease deed submitted by University College is not valid as per regulations of NCTE. 6) The 6 computers are not adequate for existing B.Ed course with 165 intake as per norms & standards of B.Ed course".

**AND WHEREAS** Shri. Prof. Jacob John Kattakayam and Shri. P. Raghavan, West Govt. High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Anchal, Kollam Dist., Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the college was functioning in Govt. land and building which was not used by the school and transferred to university to run B.Ed. college and that the university constructed its own building and therefore the buildings are permanent and not rented in anyway; Regarding built-up area it was submitted that in addition to existing 16473 Sq.ft. of built-up area, construction of additional 1610 Sq.ft. of built-up space would be made available within 3 months. It was also submitted that the teachers recruited by the university on contract basis and they were highly qualified and competent ; the college was eligible for exemption from paying the endowment/reserve funds but it was willing to deposit the requisite amount in FDRs if so decided by the NCTE and that the Govt. of Kerala has already issued orders authorizing the Registrar, University of Kerala to enter into long term lease of land and building with the local self government.

**AND WHEREAS** as regard grounds of withdrawal of recognition at Sl.Nos.1, 2 and 5, the Council noted that the land and building of the college was neither in the name of the university nor in the name of the college and it was still on the ownership of the local self government and lease deed is yet to be signed by the Registrar, Kerala University with the local self Government, as per submission made by the appellant. Regarding objection at Sl.No.3, the university centre was running B.Ed course in self-financing mode but it was not

maintaining fixed deposits towards endowment and reserve fund, as required as per the NCTE Regulations. As regard objection at Sl.No.4, the Council further noted that the college has not appointed faculty on regular basis as Government approved was still awaited and therefore appointments made were on contract basis. The Council was therefore of the view that the institution did not fulfill the norms and therefore the recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC on valid grounds and that there was, therefore, no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and that it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and SRC's order dated 15-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**  
Vice-Chairperson

- 1. The Registrar, West Govt. High School Campus(Kerala University College of Teacher Education), Anchal,, Kollam Dist. - , Kerala**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, 1st Floor, CSD Building, HMT Post, Jalahali, Bangalore - 560 031.
4. PS to Chairperson
5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

F.No.89 - 1036/2009 - Appeal

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

06/11/2009

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of YSL College of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 08/09/2009 is against the Order No. F.NRC/NCTE/F73/UP - 1504/141st Meeting/2009/6022 dated 10/07/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting BTC course on the grounds "Experience certificate of Principal has not been submitted".

**AND WHEREAS** the Correspondent, YSL College of Education (hereinafter referred to as the appellant), preferred an appeal dated 10/09/2009 to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the Council) under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the said Order.

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Manika Sharma, Secretary, YSL College of Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 13.10.09. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution was a composite college having B.Ed., M.Ed., and BTC courses and it appointed a qualified principal for the college for all courses and Head of the department separately course-wise. It was also submitted that the Govt. of UP had not started BTC course and therefore formal appointment including that of Head of department could not be made.

**AND WHEREAS** the Council noted that Letter of Intent prior to recognition was issued to institution on 26-7-06. As no reply was received from the institution a reminder was issued by the NRC on 3-7-07 which was replied to by the institution on 21-7-07. The NRC sought further clarification on 26-2-08 and the institution furnished some clarification on 28-4-08 which were not satisfactory and eventually the NRC refused recognition to the institution on 10-7-09. The Council was therefore of the view that the refusal of recognition was on valid ground and there was no ground to accept the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, affidavit, VT Report and after hearing oral arguments advanced during presentation, the Council reached the conclusion that there was no ground to accept the appeal and hence it should be rejected. Accordingly, the appeal was rejected and NRC's order dated 10-07-09 was confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

**(S.V.S. Chaudhary)**

Vice-Chairperson

1. **The Secretary, YSL College of Education, Sardhana Road, Kanker Khera, District, Meerut - 250001, Uttar Pradesh**

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School

Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, A-46, Shantipath, Tilak Nagar, Jaipur - 302004, Rajasthan.

4. PS to Chairperson

5. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.